

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911001	H		FALSE		davis	yolo	TRUE	davis and nearby communities of winters and woodland - make up economic interest; COI is land between two rivers (Cache and Putah Creeks); 3 AD, 2 SD, 3 CD - so don't get adequate representation.	keep Yolo county intact; COI between rivers is 12mile wide N/S, 25mi wide E/W; gave pop stats from ReDrawCA.org
40911002	H	ran as Dem for CD #2	FALSE			shasta	TRUE	2nd CD (biggest geo CD in state) cuts up Butte and Yolo Cos. 1)draw lines around entire counties 2) proposes: CD from coast to Nev draw line at bottom of humboldt county, glenn, butte, plumas (11 cos); Sent email recent with this proposal & had copies.	In CD 2, Butte and Yolo carved up; keep delnorte and put humboldt in 2nd district; exclude southern end of district: sutter, yuba, colusa, & north yolo to be with sacramento-based districts; those in sacramento media market
40911003	H		FALSE			shasta	TRUE	counties split by CD line	sikiyou, shasta, mendo, lake, humboldt, del norte, trinity
40911004	H		FALSE			shasta	TRUE	boundary from Crescent City to nevada border, then bring down	Del North, Siskiyou, Modock, Humboldt, Trinity, Shasta, Lassen as starting point - all mountainous and all rural, but nothing in common with Yolo

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911001	davis, winters, woodland	rivers bound COI	FALSE	TRUE	140,000 people, unique water community - two rivers - land between rely on (cache creek, putah creek) - flow to central valley, managed by local irrigation district	those water ways/same major employer - UCD, same agr: tomatoes, alfalfa, almonds and walnuts, unique water community
40911002	most of Butte in CD 2 but Oroville (county seat of Butte) is carved out and put in CD 1 & far northern Yolo (carved around Woodland) in CD 2 - both are problem for campaigning by county (because voter pamphlet goes out by co)	bottom of Butte to Plumas to be district (formally 2nd)	FALSE	TRUE	2 separate media areas - chico & redding; yuba and sutter co's (marysville& yuba city) in another media market so candidate needs to spend \$ in both - draw district around single media area; eureka is not in CD2 but part of Redding/Chico media area	Because Eureka in media market with Redding and Chico, best to include Humboldt and DN counties in CD 2; COI for him is media market - cause he's candidate
40911003			FALSE	TRUE		these counties share recreation biz, and recreation suffering because of gas prices; also poverty and unemployment similar across the counties (according to demographics)
40911004			FALSE	TRUE		logging or mining; water shed, Klamath and Trinity River - tribe vs potato farmers - need one rep for both areas to resolve H2O wars, mediate between two sides (as work to get votes from both), come up with more moderate solution

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911001	because split up in AD, SD and CD has to fight to get any help with water issues		FALSE					TRUE	written testimony memo to CRC: same essentially as spoken testimony; map of Yolo County with community of interest marked in yellow	04.09.11. E & 04.09.11. F
40911002	counties cut up between CDs - hard to campaign - voters pamphlet goes out county by county so candidate pays for it to go to parts of county that can't vote for candidate; also better chance ag incumbant if only have to buy in one media market vs 2.		FALSE					TRUE	email that speaker sent to Commission prior to meeting with his proposal	04.09.11. D
40911003	more political representation for counties depend on recreation biz.		FALSE							
40911004	not natural community to have northern rural/mountainous countiest with Sac suburbs		FALSE				voted for commission, as redraw should eliminate safe seats			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911005	H		FALSE		bayside - on coast		TRUE	make rural districts smaller = fewer counties; consider creating AD by county, rather than by pop	wants AD 1 = Humboldt, Del Norte, Mendocino counties (as used to be before added more counties because these didn't grow)
40911006	H		FALSE		Montgomery Creek	shasta	TRUE	stick to county boundaries- counties have common interests	
40911007	H		FALSE			shasta 23 yrs (and lived all over and knows upstate CA)	TRUE	proposed CD, SD, and 2 AD's - pop totals very close to target pop that he got off 'your website' (see his notes - target pops are different!); agrees to keep counties intact	proposed distr's: CD = (12 cos) Del Norte, Siski, Modoc, Humb, Trinity, Shasta, Lassen, Plumas, Mendo, Tehama, Glenn, Lake; North AD = first 8 of CD district; South AD = last 4 of CD plus Butte; SD = CD plus Butte
40911008	H		FALSE			shasta	TRUE	crazy to have district boundaries go from Oregon to Sac; consolidate counties in Northern part	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911005			FALSE	TRUE	more than 21 tribes in AD 1 and not represented here!	rural coastal district has pristine oceans, timber lands, dairy products, grass-fed beef, poster child ecological way of life but current policies (CAL EPA cap and tax-AB 32) hurt that way of life - "being regulated off their land."
40911006			FALSE	FALSE		
40911007		Rivers: Long Pit River in NE, Short Smith River in NW, headwaters of Russian to mighty Sacramento; National Forests: Six Rivers, Klamath, Modoc, Shasta, Trinity, Lassen, and Mendocino	FALSE	TRUE	coast & inland exchange recreation (we go coast 2 surf, they come here 2 ski) & visit each other on single transport network that connects community (his proposed CD = 2 CalTrans districts - impt link of federal transport \$ to state admin of highways)	'water and a lot more' - geog of water makes us a community - rivers, mountains with snow pack that feeds rivers and hold timber that is historical basis of economy (see Rivers and Forests listed under 'streets'); recreation/tourism impt to new economy
40911008			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911005	preserve rural coastal district which is geographically and politically isolated; make rural districts smaller - like one or two counties - now Nor rural pops have no voice/voice diluted or marginalize		FALSE			ran for 1st Ass Dist - drove across 55K miles in 7 month period & saw first-hand the diversity and similarity of district - campaign talked to 50-60K homes	Commission should have 2-3 rural hearings - hold meeting in smaller towns like Eureka, Ukiah or Quincy - Redding is a big city for them			
40911006			FALSE							
40911007			FALSE					TRUE	handwritten #s adding up pops of counties proposed for CD, SD, and 2 Ads in Nor CA.	04.09.11. A
40911008			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911009	H		FALSE		eureka	humboldt	TRUE	how drawn districts makes sense to him, except should include Del Norte Co with other coast co; coast district should run from Oregon border down to Santa Rosa (not including Santa Rosa - down to windsor as is now is good)	Del Norte, Humboldt, Mendo, Sonoma
40911010	H	family in CA since late 1700, early 1800's	FALSE		shingletown 25 yrs - worked for CA department of forestry - Cal Fire now	Shasta	TRUE		Eastern Shasta, Plumas, Lassen, Modoc - all rural, mountain, foothill communities
40911011	H		FALSE			Shasta since 1973	TRUE	keep cities and counties in tact, but doesn't matter which counties together - in fact linking by commonalities is problem today with Sacramento politics	
40911012	H		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911009	oregon border to windsor is good as is		FALSE	TRUE	north coast & rural folks have same basic concept in their soul, but divided by mountains and roads; coast counties share redwoods, depend on 101 highway (299 highway just not the same)	
40911010	shingletown is community	20 miles on highway 44, few miles wide	FALSE	TRUE	commonalities of rural mount foothill communities: pop demographics, needs wrt highways, water, fire protection, energy transmiss, educ, social services all different from needs of southern part of district (Sac area); go to Redding for HC or groceries	ranch land and timber land; retired people and working families that commute to Redding. timber, mountain issues - no ties to Yolo Co farm for example.
40911011			FALSE	TRUE	don't use commonalities to lump people together - that's the problem!	
40911012	Redding	Shasta	FALSE	TRUE	unique issues that don't share with southern cities/areas	many resources: water, forest, recreation

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911009	coastal issues, redwood forests, 101 highway		FALSE				Rest of rural areas need a meeting; Commission should visit Eureka			
40911010			FALSE							
40911011			FALSE			draw squares-grid based on pop - 'life aint fair so draw squares'				
40911012	common unique issues		FALSE			Nor CA needs more representation;not much in common with people who voter for Feinstein & Boxer				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911015	H	(see handout); managed multi-county management - girl scouts, camps, United Way, heavy equipment, etc - coast did not share with inland	FALSE		Eureka for 10 yrs		TRUE	transport east/west much harder than north/south	coast (DN,H,Mendo)
40911016	H		FALSE				FALSE		
40911017	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep different uses of water together in district to begin to work out problems	
40911018	H	former senate staffer returns	FALSE				FALSE	problem is travel budget for Leg	
40911018	H	native american; former field rep for Senator	FALSE				TRUE	in winter cant get from coast to Trinity Mount/river	
40911019	H		FALSE			Shasta (recently) - from Solano, Sonoma	TRUE	group Norco together and coast	Colusa does not have same apprec for mountains as Shasta/trinity

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911015		101 corridor vs I-5 corridor - territorial	FALSE	FALSE	territorial thing going on - 3 corridors, people just don't travel across much	districts should be ag-based, economy-based, lifestyle based, consider realistic travel patterns for units of service
40911016			FALSE	FALSE		
40911017			FALSE	TRUE	water, media markets (impt for running for office - ability to afford running for office)	
40911018			FALSE	FALSE		
40911018		mountain ranges	FALSE	TRUE	highways don't run water shed wide	
40911019			FALSE	TRUE	way feel about land and protecting it	lack of jobs in Shasta

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911015			FALSE					TRUE	written testimony memo to CRC: same essentially as spoken testimony	04.09.11. C
40911016			FALSE							
40911017			FALSE							
40911018			FALSE							
40911018	keep it to counties and cities, but look at geography - use topo map.		FALSE							
40911019			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
40911020	H		TRUE	Senior Congress		Siskiyou	TRUE	agree keep north tier together; redistrict so not dominate by Sac interests	Siskiyou is big - five Nor East Counties need more representation (as in health care opps)
40911021	H		TRUE	Citizens for Responsible Government, formerly in construction in Nor state.			TRUE	east-west block across state would give us some representation - don't keep it to north-south corridors	
40911022	H	retired fire captain from Cal Fire - been all over state.	FALSE			Tehama	TRUE	Tehama should be with Sisk, Modoc, Lassen, Shasta, Glenn (NB got this)	
40911023	H - end #'d speakers		FALSE				TRUE	Shasta and northern counties different from Sac	If use I-5 that leaves the district how it is
41011001	H	worked for DOForestry - traveled particularly Nor CA	FALSE			67 years (life) in CA	TRUE	CD2 is example - he generally favors N/S districts, as has been historically, over E/W districts; stick with N/S split but keep Sutter and Yuba together and don't split up Butte County (as is now into two different CD)	Sutter and Yuba in separate AD not good - make up of two counties very similar so keep together as much as possible; Butte County itself should not be split up like it is now

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
40911020			FALSE	TRUE	health care needed in north, often travel to other states; transp probs - no alternative transp in Siskiyou (one bus, no Amtrak anymore), Modoc by itself has good transit system, but need one for whole area	
40911021		highway 36, 299 - break down along district lines	FALSE	FALSE		highway 36, 299 - break down along district lines so highways are not well developed...vary in quality.
40911022		I-5 corridor	FALSE	TRUE	we are rednecks - lots in common	
40911023	don't lump with Sacramento		FALSE	FALSE		
41011001		disagrees with I-5 as break off point for E/W district because I-5 not good for E/W travel	FALSE	TRUE	coast and inland different personalities; wants to be grouped with same personalities/interests	coast is in past lumber made coast and inland more similar, now coast is tourist, vacation econ with some ag, but its different type of farming from inland (wine grapes vs rice/cattle) - this distinction drives social makeup

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
40911020	redistrict so have real voice in Sac		FALSE							
40911021			FALSE							
40911022			FALSE							
40911023			FALSE							
41011001	why doesn't want E/W districts		FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011002	H		FALSE		south of Marysville	Yuba (~ 30 yrs)	TRUE	don't do Nev to coast, because bring in more democrats/liberals and upset balance of Ass and Sen; N/S at least in the valley area there (not sure about north in mountains) makes the most sense	Sutter and Yuba should be together- communities very similar - same hazards, agr interests and way they interact; Nevada Co is almost all in mountains and different
41011003	H		FALSE				TRUE	N/S is good agree with other two	Sutter and Yuba should be one county -
41011004	H		FALSE				TRUE	Redist should promote controlled growth	Sutter, Yuba, Colusa, Glenn
41011005	H		FALSE			Yuba	TRUE	keep Yuba and Nevada together, take off Lassen, make up pop growing toward Lincoln	Yuba remain together
41011006	H		FALSE			Yuba	FALSE		
41011007	H	Sutter co auditor-controller	FALSE	Sutter County		Sutter	FALSE		Sutter
41011008	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep I-5 corridor; keep Yuba and Sutter together and add Butte	
41011009	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep Yuba -Sutter together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011002			FALSE	TRUE	because share agr issues if cross mountains at clear lake and go west to coast it's a very different world, just as going east to Nev Co is also a different world; Sutter and Yuba have similar hazards (like river flood) and way interact is similar.	agricultural area shares issues, needs, such as water
41011003			FALSE	TRUE	two counties (joint clubs etc)are so similar should be one county, and	
41011004			FALSE	TRUE		small biz, franchise, make jobs
41011005			FALSE	TRUE	difference between Yuba (small town and mt) and Sutter (farming)	Yuba and Nevada share water shed, both had Chinese pop
41011006			FALSE	TRUE		Yuba is small town has few farms, unlike Sutter which has farms
41011007			FALSE	TRUE		Sutter is rural but since close to Sac swallowed up into regional context; large develop plan in south Sutter county -split in county of rural and urban interests
41011008			FALSE	TRUE	work together - i.e. passing sand-bag	
41011009		99-70 highways start with Yuba/Sutter	FALSE	TRUE	share social and economic clubs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011002			FALSE					FALSE		
41011003			FALSE					FALSE		
41011004			FALSE					FALSE		
41011005			FALSE					FALSE		
41011006			FALSE			want competitive district		FALSE		
41011007			FALSE				transparency and accountability- can't get accountability unless get districts right	FALSE		
41011008			FALSE			solve govt problems - problem with representation is concentration of pop		FALSE		
41011009			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011010	H		FALSE		west Plainfield	Yolo	TRUE	keep Yolo county together - share air and transp corridor with Solano Co.	
41011011	H		FALSE				TRUE	supports N/S - Yuba, Sutter, Glenn	keep Yuba and Sutter together
41011012	H		FALSE			butte	TRUE	ag. West - East, keep N/S (butte with Yuba and Sutter)	
41011013	H		FALSE				TRUE	Sutter and Yuba very different - ok to share things and be in different district	
41011014	H	cattle ranch	FALSE		Brownsville - foothills		TRUE	Sutter, Yuba, butte all joined at hip - keep together	
41011015	H		FALSE				TRUE	N/S basis is good, keep Sutter, Yuba and butte together	
41011016	H		FALSE				FALSE		
41011017	H		FALSE				FALSE		
41011018	H - after break	.	FALSE				TRUE	keep Yuba, Sutter and butte together	
41011019	H		FALSE		off highway 70		TRUE	don't split Yuba and Sutter...I thought it was same county for long time	
41011019	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep Yuba, Sutter, butte together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011010			FALSE	TRUE	minority pops in west sac and woodland	water and air quality issues, volunteer fire district? Another econ/job issue
41011011			FALSE	TRUE	transportation issues - third river crossing, very joined, Marysville metro area includes whole area, water issues	military base in Sutter?
41011012			FALSE	FALSE		
41011013			FALSE	TRUE		Sutter is all flat and all agr. At certain pt east in Yuba is all mountains
41011014			FALSE	TRUE	live in foothills, kids go to HS in Marysville	
41011015			FALSE	FALSE		
41011016			FALSE	TRUE	unity is N/S, diversity is west/east	
41011017	3-4 small towns for each supervisorial dist...one in mountains		FALSE	FALSE		
41011018			FALSE	TRUE	Sutter not all flat. has Sutter - butte mountains - 2500 ft high	
41011019			FALSE	TRUE	loves agr area, not like people in mountains or like main part of Marysville - lives in rural area cause love it.	
41011019			FALSE	TRUE	Yuba Sutter connected - share co agencies, non-profit services, causes	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011010			FALSE					FALSE		
41011011			FALSE					FALSE		
41011012			FALSE			butte has five reps...don't want to be so split up		FALSE		
41011013			FALSE					FALSE		
41011014			FALSE					FALSE		
41011015			FALSE					FALSE		
41011016			FALSE					FALSE		
41011017			FALSE					FALSE		
41011018			FALSE					FALSE		
41011019			FALSE					FALSE		
41011019			FALSE			spend time here talking to people, learning about them		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011020	H		FALSE			Yuba	FALSE		
41011020	H		FALSE		Oroville - since 1963 - south fork of Oroville lake		TRUE	keep Yuba, Sutter and butte together	and perhaps add Colusa
41011021	H		FALSE				TRUE	reference to I-5 corridor but 99 corridor is the tri-county area	
41011022	H	Sutter Clerk Recorder	FALSE	Sutter County		Sutter	TRUE	keep Yuba and Sutter together (counterpart in Yuba agrees - they share services.	
41011023	H		FALSE				FALSE		
41011024	H		FALSE				FALSE		Sutter
41011025	H		FALSE				FALSE		
41011025	H	co-owns bookstore with Comm. Forbes	FALSE		Davis - over 30 yrs	Yolo	TRUE	re-align Davis with Sac and separate from rest of Yolo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011020	Marysville & Yuba city area		FALSE	TRUE	2 cities have lots in common.	draw lines around population centers - cities in Yuba make up most of it
41011020			FALSE	TRUE		peaches, almonds, rice
41011021			FALSE	FALSE		
41011022			FALSE	FALSE		
41011023			FALSE	FALSE		
41011024			FALSE	TRUE	Sutter has Shiek community (over 10,000) - they are politically active - should be here	
41011025			FALSE	FALSE		
41011025			FALSE	TRUE		Davis more in common with Sac than with rural/agr Woodlands, winters,

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011020			FALSE					FALSE		
41011020			FALSE					FALSE		
41011021			FALSE					FALSE		
41011022			FALSE					FALSE		
41011023			FALSE				delay re video and transcript, and transcript should be searchable - Ontai said it's a cost issue	FALSE		
41011024			FALSE				Commissioner Q:: lang prob again? Speaker: no prob didn't get word - leaders don't have lang issue	FALSE		
41011025			FALSE				ques re coming back here for mtgs? Auburn on May 19	FALSE		
41011025			FALSE				excited about commission	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311001	H	work for labor coalition - SB, SLO, Ventura	TRUE	a labor coalition for SB, SLO, and Ventura Co		SB, SLO	TRUE	county boundaries are #1 COI, because its political subdivision; keep SB, SLO whole, understand Ventura needs to be divided	
41311002	H		FALSE				TRUE	county boundaries important because county is key administrative subdivision: social services, social safety net (most vulnerable populations), justice system, elections - county govt needs representation	SLO, Santa Barbara need to be cohesive
41311003	H		FALSE		SLO	SLO	TRUE		SLO needs to be cohesive, SB too
41311004	H		FALSE			native SLO Co, planning commissioner	TRUE	concern with two CDs as they stand now	
41311005	H	son is state senator	FALSE				FALSE		
41311006	H	former assembly man for 35th Assembly	FALSE				TRUE	keep SB Co within AD - not like current 35th district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311001			FALSE	TRUE	mostly undeveloped - key point - not a metropolis	#1 sector of economy is agriculture - very specific products, \$5Bill industry
41311002			FALSE	TRUE	county admin structure	
41311003			FALSE	TRUE	higher education	both counties higher education in addition to agr.
41311004			FALSE	TRUE		agr - types - what SLO and SB grows (and Monterey) is very different from Fresno, Kings, Kern - heat sensitive crops- wine grapes; also some manufacturing hidden away; and higher ed binds us together
41311005			FALSE	TRUE	concern with art community	
41311006			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311001			FALSE					FALSE		
41311002			FALSE					FALSE		
41311003			FALSE					FALSE		
41311004			FALSE					FALSE		
41311005	if counties united can continue art programs school can't do - if divided fundraising is difficult		FALSE					FALSE		
41311006			FALSE				SB Co voters support Prop 11	TRUE	letter from him and another elected official	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311007	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep Atascadero & SLO together if have to divide use cuesta grade - people consider themselves north SLO and south SLO based on this	SLO
41311008	H		FALSE		Atascadero	SLO	TRUE	keep Atas & SLO intact	
41311009	H		FALSE		Atascadero	SLO (since early 70s)	TRUE	keep SLO county intact and cities as much as possible - Atasc is geographically large but mostly retired people; leave county alone to maintain competitiveness that now exists	
41311010	H	city council man, works at Diablo	TRUE	City Council of Atascadero	Atascadero	SLO	TRUE	keep SLO county whole	
41311012	H		FALSE			SLO	TRUE	SLO county split in three directions with AD, SD, CD - need to be kept together - coastal district ok, but not narrow strip, three counties M, SLO, SB make perfect CD (check this??)	
41311013	H		FALSE		Atas?		FALSE		
41311014	H		FALSE			SLO	TRUE	literally disenfranchised because of districts - SLO Co kept together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311007	Atascadero	if have to divide SLO n/s division at mt range - cuesta grade	FALSE	FALSE	SLO has broad range of political viewpoints, compared to SB, Ventura, etc	
41311008			FALSE	TRUE	?	
41311009			FALSE	TRUE	Atas has mostly retired people	
41311010			FALSE	TRUE		economic downturn affecting county - need adequate representation and need everyone in county to work together to deal with economic issues
41311012			FALSE	FALSE		
41311013			FALSE	FALSE		
41311014			FALSE	TRUE	uniqueness of SLO Co: highest % of veteran of any county, very patriotic, and 50's- like	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311007			FALSE					FALSE		
41311008			FALSE					FALSE		
41311009			FALSE					FALSE		
41311010			FALSE					FALSE		
41311012			FALSE					FALSE		
41311013			FALSE				voters pick rep vs. reps pick voters	FALSE		
41311014			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311015	H		FALSE		Oxnard born and raised; Grover beach and other small communities (has small biz in one)	SLO county 20 yrs	TRUE	keep SLO as a whole, if have to attach - attach to Northern SB Co., or north to Salinas Valley.	
41311018	H		FALSE				TRUE	SLO county unique	SLO
41311019	H		FALSE			SLO co	TRUE	economic & political boundaries need to be maintained in SLO co; districts have not respected SLO co.; put Monterey with SLO or SLO and SB, instead of 5 counties	SLO
41311020	H		FALSE			SLO -	TRUE	stick with county boundaries, add southern Monterey or northern SB to SLO	SLO
41311021	H		FALSE		Templeton (since 1987)	SLO	FALSE		
41311022	H		FALSE		SLO city	SLO - life long - grew up in North, now in south	TRUE	to make AD go north, if use cuesta grade go south for CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311015			FALSE	TRUE	small and special, not affluent	tourism, agr - labor force is farm, service in hotels/restaurants, govt; small counties without big tax base
41311018			FALSE	TRUE		materials on county economics
41311019	lives near SLO city and is in CD with Bakersfield		FALSE	TRUE	ground to cover by candidates	
41311020			FALSE	TRUE	expense by candidates (agrees with previous)	look at economic vitality of counties when drawing districts
41311021			FALSE	TRUE	Templeton considered 'Mayberry' - different from down below; right now politically divided - if have to divide use grade.	
41311022			FALSE	TRUE	tied by 101 to Monterey, highway 46 to 41 to Fresno; higher ed	education & wine

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311015			FALSE					FALSE		
41311018			FALSE					TRUE	map with colors, stats, etc	
41311019			FALSE					FALSE		
41311020			FALSE					FALSE		
41311021			FALSE					FALSE		
41311022			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311023	H		FALSE		Ojai	Ventura	TRUE	Ojai and valley around it; if have to put with west Ventura and part of SB - tourism in common	
41311024	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep SLO, SB, Ventura in tact - 3 counties together or each county alone?	
41311025	H		FALSE		Cambria	SLO	TRUE	keep SLO as heart of districts because of unique wine industry	
41311026	H		FALSE		SLO	SLO	TRUE	keep SLO in tact	
41311030	H		FALSE		Los Osos	SLO	TRUE	SLO and SB interests are closer than SLO with Monterey	
41311031	H after break	minister	FALSE		oxnard - 33 years before that Port Huerleme	ventura co redistricting team	TRUE	keep P H and Oxnard together and not with LA; west Ventura with SB Co	
41311032	H		FALSE				TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311023	Ojai & several other cities (Oxnard is one)		FALSE	TRUE	natural beauty, social events, mutual interests	tourism
41311024			FALSE	TRUE	college students - share socially, recreationally, academically share - face same struggles	
41311025	Edna valley		FALSE	TRUE		wine industry is really unique
41311026			FALSE	TRUE		education, wine industry, tourism
41311030			FALSE	TRUE	keep students in mind, environmental concerns...more in common with SB than with Monterey	student
41311031	Port Huememe and Oxnard		FALSE	TRUE	environmental justice - Superfund site; youth violence prevention; faith - churches connected across Ventura, SB, and SLO	
41311032	Port Huememe and Oxnard, El Rio, Camarillo, and __ acres keep together		FALSE	TRUE	high latino pop, 1st lang is Spanish, concern with public transport (buses all over both cities) and environmental justice (toxic sites, almond beach - bird wetlands - need to be preserved.	elementary schools and HS very connected between two towns

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311023			FALSE					FALSE		
41311024	if split will silence student communities, foster lack of civic engagement among students		FALSE					FALSE		
41311025			FALSE					FALSE		
41311026			FALSE					FALSE		
41311030			FALSE					FALSE		
41311031			FALSE					FALSE		
41311032			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311033	H		FALSE		oxnard 15 yrs	ventura	TRUE	divides Ventura into 3 pieces - gave map - mountains divide - rural, suburban and urban - put east county with LA	
41311034	H		TRUE	CAUSE			TRUE	cracked into many districts - should be fewer based on pop; keep SB and Western Ventura together; don't exclude people of color like now in 19th	Cause counties
41311035	H		FALSE			SLO	TRUE	four subareas of SLO Co - questa grade is best divider	
41311036	H		FALSE		santa maria	SB	TRUE	keep 3 cities together, keep SB and V Co together, if need SLO co, take only towns of	
41311037	H		FALSE		santa maria	SB	TRUE	same 3 cities together, SB and V co's together; SB based districts	
41311038	H		FALSE		filmore - santa clara valley	ventura	TRUE	keeo these communities together with Ventura and Oxnard; cuneo grade if must divide	Ventura
41311039	H		FALSE		oxnard - since 1973	ventura	TRUE	been gerrymandered into some strange distr - keep west county together and with SB, east with LA	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311033	Oxnard area: PH, Oxnard, El Rio - Oxnard plain		FALSE	TRUE	multi-cultural, latino - non-english speakers; affordable housing, public education, environmental justice (Ing terminals fought off?)	were world largest exporter of strawberries, large middle class pop - employed at naval base
41311034			FALSE	TRUE	low-income communities, and people of color - committed	high
41311035	coastal communities lost pop, pismo beach, etc.		FALSE	TRUE	big retired community - right inbetween SF and LA	tourism has surpassed wine - but connected
41311036	santa maria, guadalupe, lompoc		FALSE	TRUE	lists clubs, schools; concern re jobs, affordable housing	vandenburg air force base, 15% unemployment
41311037			FALSE	TRUE	biggest pop of SB Co, (as said previous person)	socially low economic status
41311038	Fillmore, pyru, ___ and ___	highway 126 is critical	FALSE	TRUE	78% hispanic, people from these towns go to Ventura and Oxnard to shop, etc..NOT LA	agricultural
41311039			FALSE	TRUE	west county - agr (coastal, environmental concerns), vs east county (more in common with LA Co)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311033			FALSE					TRUE	map	04.13.11. C
41311034			FALSE					TRUE	PPT with lots of stats and handout with rec for dist	04.13.11. E, 04.13.11. F, 04.13.11. G, & 04.13.11. H
41311035			FALSE					FALSE		
41311036			FALSE					FALSE		
41311037			FALSE					FALSE		
41311038			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	04.13.11. A & 04.13.11. B
41311039			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311040	H		FALSE		santa maria valley - orcutt	SB Co	TRUE	very split up and not cohesive representation - made whole into 1 AD, SD, CD - put SB and most of Ventura together - that would work	
41311041	H		FALSE		20 yr - city of Ventura - works with CAUSE	Ventura	TRUE	keep west Ventura Co whole (& city of Ventura), and with SB city? & Santa Clara valley - similarities between Ventur and SB - eco friendly communities	
41311042	H		FALSE				TRUE	look at maps CAUSE produced - important re ethnic communities	
41311043	H in Spanish - translation summary after		TRUE	committee el pueblo	Santa Maria		TRUE	Santa Maria	
41311044	H	caregiver	FALSE		Santa Maria		TRUE	Ventura and SB and Oxnard	
41311045	H		FALSE		Oxnard	Ventura	TRUE	support CAUSE recommendations	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311040			FALSE	TRUE	manufactured home owners COI, over 55, fixed income, concerned with affordable housing - splintered now in all three juris	
41311041	Ventura		FALSE	TRUE	32% Latino, rest primarily white, environmentally friendly and socially conscious, keep out Wal- Mart and maintain green belts,	
41311042			FALSE	TRUE	oil spill - still affects beach - concern with coastal environ, wine industry, tourism - big	
41311043	Santa Maria		FALSE	TRUE	culture similar between us and SB co?	concern re ag workers - lack of work and feasible work for hard working people, work conditions, shortage of child care, Latino pop
41311044	Santa Maria		FALSE	TRUE	threats of loss of senior health care - out of work as caregiver, seniors have HC needs - Alzheimer, dying; performing arts	
41311045	Oxnard		FALSE	TRUE	environment: pesticides, beaches threatened by developers, transport; many racial health disparities, want to be together so can elect reps that look like them, have same passions, etc	blue collar, community of color, median \$56 iK in income, residents work in secondary job market, 67% commute out of Oxnard

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311040			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	04.13.11. D
41311041			FALSE					FALSE		
41311042	nothing like Simi Valley (they earn living in LA)..we learn living in central coast		FALSE					FALSE		
41311043			FALSE					FALSE		
41311044	ventura,SB, Oxnard have same culture, art, respect for Hispanic in community who need help		FALSE					FALSE		
41311045			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311046	H		FALSE				TRUE	Ventura and SB counties kept together and west Ventur Co kept whole as COI	Ventura
41311047	H		FALSE		Fillmore	Ventura	TRUE	concerned with representation of Fillmore community, keep Santa Clara valley together and Venn Co-based districts	
41311048	H		FALSE		Santa Paula (family since 1880's)	Ventura	TRUE	if do not keep V county whole, makes sense to bifurcate it and keep west with SB county	Ventura
41311049	H		FALSE		El Rio	Ventura	TRUE	worked in east and west county - cueno grade is the divide! Don't combine with LA or Kern?... keep a bunch of cities together (whole list - oxnard, port hueneme, etc..)	
41311050	H in Spanish - translation summary after	field worker, single mom, active with community org	FALSE		santa paula	ventura	TRUE	need voice in Sacramento for communities (list) with field labor - not with Simi Valley and NorPark; santa paula, filmore, ___ and ___ together - all in V and nothing in LA	
41311051	H		FALSE				TRUE	parkfield (Mont), pas robes, san miguel (north SLO Co) - keep northern SLO together (with south Mont?)	south monterey north SLO Co

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311046	Santa Paula	Santa Paula	FALSE	TRUE	reservoirs in Santa Clara Valley watershed, many historical sites. Its in a natural state; DOJ lawsuit in Santa Paula in 2001: stipulated facts that voting rac. Polarized diluting Hispanic vote.	river is a geographical connection, important to econ/ag interests, many farmworkers, most born in Mexico and earning less than \$15K/year. Minorities/woman in lower econ ladder
41311047	Fillmore		FALSE	TRUE	school district, 86% Latino	agr - orchard, avocados; poverty level
41311048	Santa Paula		FALSE	TRUE	80+% Latino	low-income, farm workers
41311049	El Rio - sphere of influence of city of oxnard		FALSE	TRUE	mix of rural, ag and urban life, over 80% latino, low educational attainment	high unemployment - food shelter and clothing, education, HC access, immigrant rights, transportation are concerns
41311050			FALSE	TRUE		field workers, rents go higher each year
41311051			FALSE	TRUE	school districts, shopping, etc share this area between two counties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311046			FALSE					FALSE		
41311047			FALSE				my duty to speak to you because of how felt becoming citizen			
41311048			FALSE							
41311049			FALSE							
41311050			FALSE							
41311051			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	04.13.11.I

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311052	H		FALSE				TRUE	33rd AD is well designed, not well designed is 250 long along coast, SD should be ...?, CD should be	
41311053	H		FALSE			SLO	TRUE	keep SLO Co together	
41311054	H		FALSE			SLO County	TRUE	distribution of ways of life shown to be north and south - also east ranches very different from coastal communities - please consider Vertical distribution	
41311055	H	vice major of SLO city	TRUE	SLO City	SLO City	SLO county	TRUE	don't divide SLO city - don't consider cuesta grade as boundary! Get water from ___ lake (controlled by Mont co tho in SLO Co) now & creates need for representative for both Monterey and SLO	
41311058	H		FALSE			Ventura	TRUE	santa clara valley - fillmore, santa paula together & with El Rio and Oxnard, not with Lancaster/antelope valley - being with them now has reduced representation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311052			FALSE	TRUE	oil on beach - naturally occurring - not from spill - need to drill to take pressure off and provide jobs	
41311053			FALSE	TRUE		keep agricultural areas together; irrigation issue is big now
41311054			FALSE	TRUE		engineering report showed way of living that followed geography
41311055			FALSE	TRUE		quaga mussel problems with water supply
41311058			FALSE	TRUE	news, shopping, health care, go to ventura, oxnard,	migrant worker camps also connects these areas

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311052			FALSE							
41311053			FALSE							
41311054			FALSE							
41311055			FALSE							
41311058			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311061	H	port huemene, council member and work for city of Ventura	TRUE	Cities of Port Huneme and Ventura	port humeme	Ventura	TRUE	heritage valley - connects morpork, pyru simi valley; west county - PH, Oxnard, etc - Keep Ventura together but if have to divide, divide at Thousand Oaks, and put west with SB co which shares similiarities	
41311062	H		FALSE		oxnard	Ventura	TRUE	keep Ventura Co together - have good representatives now but lucky...don't want to rely on luck. If have to put LA in, put with East V Co. Or put V Co with south SB county	
41311063	H	judge	FALSE		SLO city	SLO	TRUE	dividing line is cuesta grade and coastal range - looked at CD 23 proposed (Cook maps?) - proposed makes sense?? - current 23 is bad (so narrow u can walk across it E/W...wants nesting to avoid this problem	
41311064	H		FALSE				TRUE	so as look at combining and splitting pops please look at ethnicity - so latinos not marginalized	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311061			FALSE	FALSE		
41311062			FALSE	TRUE	power plants on coast - 2 in oxnard, super fund site, low educ attainment, youth crime, youth despair	immigrant issue, language issues
41311063			FALSE	FALSE		
41311064			FALSE	TRUE		farm workers, hotel/motel, restaurant service workers - many farm workers drive over mountains from Cambria to get cheaper gas and health care

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311061			FALSE							
41311062			FALSE							
41311063	don't define COI as one thing - won't apply statewide		FALSE							
41311064			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41311065	H		FALSE				TRUE	proposes SD, AD, CD - keep SLO together if can - but go north to south - not east to west...but ok to take Kern for more people	
41311066	H		FALSE				TRUE	natural dividing line for CA between Nor and So - just above SLO; proposal?	
41311067	H		FALSE		Morro Bay	SLO	TRUE	the way we think around here is N/S	
41311068	H		FALSE			SLO	TRUE	keep SLO co whole & if need to connect with ...SB in south?	
41311069	H		FALSE		SLO city	SLO	TRUE	keep SLO co whole as much as possible - doesn't like current coastal district because of acrimony between coast and inland	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41311065	5 city area: pismo beach, los berros, arroyo grande		FALSE	TRUE		environmental issues: threat to water - SB oil spill, birds with crossed beaks,
41311066			FALSE	TRUE		
41311067			FALSE	TRUE		fisherman and marine interests important COI - spend life in coast though don't live there
41311068			FALSE	TRUE		
41311069			FALSE	TRUE	cautions that keeping environmental interests together (as has been called for) sets up war between these people	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41311065	came back after and noted that big % of Pismo Beach residents (home owners?) don't actually live there...live in hotter areas and come to beach house for cooler weather.		FALSE							
41311066			FALSE							
41311067			FALSE							
41311068			FALSE				want americans to have representation by like-minded people - she's concerned about productive people getting what they deserve			
41311069			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411001	H	intimately involved in R in this area for awhile, served in Assem..R out of office (81 or 91?);	TRUE	Kern Co Latino R Task Force			FALSE		Kern
41411002	H	with group/org	TRUE	group/org name?	Bakers	Kern	FALSE		
41411003	H		FALSE		ridgecrest		FALSE	Edwards and China Lake need to be together in CD; in same congressional district now and want to stay that way - 22 CD; asked later about being lumped with East Kern and Antelope Valley - she was ambivalent	
41411004	H		FALSE		Greater Bakers Metro Area		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411001			FALSE	FALSE	47% Latino Kern Co, none of Sups are Latino till his task force, also won in assem and senate for Kern - because proposed districts and CA Supreme Ct adopted them and still in effect today	
41411002			FALSE	TRUE	Basque restaurants are unique (said as a side note)	
41411003		highways 395, 178	FALSE	TRUE	Ridgecrest -linked with Kern Co; share with Bakers	US Naval Warfare Center & Edwards AFB
41411004	Greater Baker Metro Area; oildale, rosedale, map of HS district		FALSE	TRUE	geographic, and economic reasons, share great natural water resource, typically community - families trying to get by - 5 major religions all here	hit hard by 2008 Ressionion

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411001			FALSE					TRUE	submitted statement in writing	
41411002			FALSE					TRUE	yes, submitted from group	
41411003			FALSE					FALSE		
41411004			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411005	H	represents 'other guy' no group - makes point about lots of people without groups, not a special interest or 'minority group'	FALSE		Tehachape		TRUE	not happy with current districts; rather see blocks	
41411006	H		FALSE		Weedpatch		FALSE		
41411007	H		FALSE		Weedpatch		TRUE	keep Weedpatch with Lamont and Arvin? Yes	
41411008	H		FALSE		Lamont		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411005			FALSE	FALSE		
41411006			FALSE	TRUE	rundown community, dirty streets, polluted air and water, one tiny store; depend on Arvin and Lamont, kids go to HS in Arvin	all farm workers, only one white family
41411007	Weedpatch, Lamont, Arvin, East Bakersfield		FALSE	TRUE	Community similar to Lamont and Arvin, lacks infrastructure, streets, sidewalks, public transportation, go to pharmacy in Lamont, food and movies in East Bakersfield	
41411008	Lamont		FALSE	TRUE	majority are spanish-speaking and immigrants, migratory - don't get servs; diseases due to use of pesticides, also fields are contaminated with pesticides, people have no insurance and only one clinic, wait all day for appt, have to go to ER in Bakerfield	no permanent or stable job there

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411005			FALSE					FALSE		
41411006			FALSE					FALSE		
41411007			FALSE					FALSE		
41411008			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411009	H		FALSE		Arvin		FALSE		
41411010	H		FALSE		Arvin		FALSE		
41411011	H		FALSE		Weedpatch		FALSE		
41411012	H		FALSE		east bakersfield		FALSE	not sure if East Bakers should be with Alvin, Lamont, Weedpatch because those have worse infrastructure, but have a lot in common with those communities;	
41411013	H	united neighbors orgs been hearing from	TRUE	Dolores Huerta Foundation			FALSE	southwest bakersfield (west of 99, south of Truxton extension) doesn't share much with Lamont, Arvin, East Bakersfield; CD is 340 miles from Stockton to here; going east of Lamont, Arvin is also very different - Tehachapee for ex; we prefer distrs now	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411009	Arvin		FALSE	TRUE	Police, Fire, Arvin HS services Weedpatch and Lamont, no movie theatres, cultural centers, family activities, need better public trans, bad water and air	10K before and grew to 16-17K residents, majority are Latino [note our map on screen shows over 19K]
41411010	Arvin, Lamont, Weedpatch, Greenfield		FALSE	FALSE	community services but not much	72% agr, 60% Latino; small and medium size industries
41411011			FALSE	FALSE	sheriff office, ct house, mail facilities, but lacks a lot of infrastructure, lack sidewalks and public lighting, many econ and health needs	majority work in Agr - lots of illness and medical care non- existant and most no insurance, need more school! Need HS and community classes?
41411012		college and nils streets (west boundary?)	FALSE	TRUE	my community: many go to church - on specific holiday? Schools get fogdays when no fog bec fog on west side of city	
41411013	Lamont and Arvin - prefer districts as are now- if put all disadvant communities together would be less well served	58, 184 highways	FALSE	TRUE	need infrastructure improvements (as have heard), go to cathloic church, celebrate holidays, group from Yuhaqua	19k-25k incomes, work in fields and packing plants, 75% speak other than English at home

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411009			FALSE					FALSE		
41411010			FALSE					FALSE		
41411011			FALSE					FALSE		
41411012			FALSE					FALSE		
41411013			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411014	H	later (also a Greenlining Institute fellow & mentions Health City mapping)	TRUE	Dolores Huerta Foundation & Greenlining Institute fellow		Kern	FALSE	provided map - combine farm worker communities - need a representative; (later) bases in East Kern have employees from Sbern and ? ...not as much in common with farm workers communities; comment re representatives - actually portegese, and represent growers	more than workers; east bakersfield would be not well represented if ____; many prisons built - people of color are most of prison pop, only one college - her comment re prisons - more in common with where came from?
41411015	H		FALSE		foothills above springville, kids in school of porterville	Tulare	FALSE	lumped with Sbern Co now (very gerrymandered) and have nothing in common - and would have more in common with areas around it	
41411016	H		FALSE		bakersfield - recently in southwest area; lives off Stockdale highway		FALSE	urge to use lines to fairly represent people - understand need to break up Bakers (but wants to be together?)	
41411017	H	family originally from and evicted from _____ Indian Reservation relocated to SouthEast Bakers	FALSE				FALSE	don't cut out people at end of Kern- southern point (exactly where?) - don't consider self part of Ventura or LA counties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411014			FALSE	FALSE	farm worker communities in towns been talking about - lots in common with east bakersfield - not with Ridgecrest, not with other parts of Bakers	farmworkers need own district - these communities are in bad shape because don't have representation
41411015	springville and porterville		FALSE	TRUE		depend on agr - ranching and farming - most people are farm workers
41411016			FALSE	FALSE	demographic changes seen in SW Bakers- more younger working families now	
41411017		99 west boundary, north to Kern River & Columbus & 178 to comanche road, boundary south to Tehachapee mtns, comanche and 58 to east Tehachappe mts	FALSE	TRUE	high teen pregnancy, HS drop out rates;	farm workers, support rich agr, oil production, but low-income and unemployment - turn to crime, drug grade, medium manufacturing - 'mixed-use zoning' creates pollution and unsafe neighborhoods

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411014			FALSE					FALSE		
41411015			FALSE					FALSE		
41411016			FALSE					FALSE		
41411017			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411018	H	work with Kern Co homeless community - biggest concentration SE bakers (for whole county)	FALSE				FALSE		
41411019	H	teaching in arvin HS since 1966	FALSE		arvin		FALSE	Kern Co should be CD - campaign for current CD and didn't get to Nor boundary, campaigned in Arvin (and lamont?)	Kern
41411020	H		TRUE	works at university of laverne - Bakersfield campus			FALSE	believes her representation is fair and effective - young women in SW	
41411021	H		TRUE	center for race, poverty and environment - work in Kern and Tulare	delano		FALSE	need adjustments in lines - some work, some need improvement; may have to cut up counties - will submit a map (chair suggestion)	north? tulare area has something in common with towns heard about in Kern - Arvin, Lamont, etc
41411022	H	used to work with greater Bakersf central assistance - why uses census tracts	FALSE				FALSE	East Kern Co just west of 14 is west border; tehachape-kern valley, gave Census Tract #s;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411018		east of 99 freeway, north to Kern River, south east to include Arvin and Lamont,	FALSE	FALSE	1400-1500 homeless census in Co (this #? doesn't include doubled up or couch-surfing?); very diverse - not all men, also women and kids, veterans, need jobs, transport, substance abuse services	poverty is same as was in Grapes of Wrath
41411019			FALSE	FALSE		
41411020			FALSE	FALSE		
41411021	delano		FALSE	FALSE	changing demographics - Latino - 60%?	changes:
41411022	metro Bakers is a COI, East Kern is COI?, Tehachepe-Kern Valley is another one?; weedpatch, arvine, lamont is another; 4-5K prisoners in Tehachape, +...=10% of CA inmates in Kern Co/		FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411018			FALSE					FALSE		
41411019			FALSE					FALSE		
41411020			FALSE					FALSE		
41411021			FALSE					FALSE		
41411022			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411023	H		FALSE		northcentral bakersf		FALSE	need voice for LBGT - would like to be one voice; re CD - repr doesn't visit his area - has maps about being doughnut holed	
41411024	H		FALSE			kern since 1989	FALSE	20th CD - most of talk of tonigh, but they do have a voice. - represent county of Kern in solid fashion (kern co is more cohesive than would think - people are from Kern, not mountain people vs. ___ people	
41411026	H		TRUE	San Joaquin Valley Black advocacy group - advocates for people of color - work on high speed rail			TRUE	group income, etc for districts; use Census tract income and ethnicity to group people together	
41411027	H		FALSE		Wasco?	Kern	FALSE	Kern County binds people - she does not feel like same as people of 20th district	
41411028	H		FALSE				FALSE	problem is not division but represenation - changing districts is probably not solution - solution is to have good county representation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411023			FALSE	FALSE	LGBT community; relocations of services: career center for retraining, hot food for homeless, veteran housing; 49.5% Latino +African = over 50% (new majority) - not being represented;	
41411024			FALSE	FALSE		
41411026			TRUE	FALSE	income	
41411027			FALSE	FALSE	not all hispanic but in this area Arvin, etc	
41411028			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411023			FALSE					FALSE		
41411024			FALSE					FALSE		
41411026		use this criterion - with term limits have opp for more people to get elected and need people of color	FALSE					FALSE		
41411027			FALSE					FALSE		
41411028			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411029	H	prof at CSUB	TRUE	CSUB			FALSE	problem is system of represent kidnapped by colusion of 2 parties; don't break up Bakersfield - keep separate coast on west and mounts on east	Southern San Joaquin Valley is his COI!
41411030	H		FALSE				FALSE	rivers are natural borders in several ways	
41411031	H	chevron petrol engineer, generation-wide young tech professional group - lots of people of color, college educated, have young children	FALSE		Bakersfield - 6 yrs - in green housing zone,		FALSE	southwest Bakers boundaries: north to kern river, new 58 extension going up to 99, south to ___; keep community together - current districts not representative because white with radical ideology?	later: just now got latino elected; CD should be mix of N/S??; not well represented now by current legislators; house prisoners but don't go to school with us etc -keep in mind; lots of Edwards workers live in Lancaster
41411032	H		FALSE				FALSE	Kern Co has a divide for long time - do disservice if create District out of whole Kern Co.; have population to have more districts....keep as is (?)...mountains separate, west & east?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411029			FALSE	TRUE	cultural zone in southern SJ Valley - uinified cultural and economic zone	poorest area of CA
41411030		Kern River is key in Bakers	FALSE	TRUE	central bakersfield has needs - use Kern River as border - see real difference on sides of borders	he's a product of Antelope Valley College, but left that area for Bakersf (?)
41411031			FALSE	TRUE	2nd worst air quality	lots of jobs lost, just oil ag and prison
41411032			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411029			FALSE					FALSE		
41411030			FALSE					FALSE		
41411031			FALSE					FALSE		
41411032			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41411033	H		FALSE		Lamont		FALSE	change districts is probably not solution - need fair representation; need better teachers, better food for kids in schools	
41411034	H		FALSE				FALSE		
41411035	H	assembly staffer	TRUE	California State Assembly			FALSE	keep cities, county, and coi together...represent Ridgecrest and represent it well	
41411036	H - last # speakers		FALSE		Arvin - and work in Bakers		FALSE	keep Kern Co together	
41411037	H		FALSE				FALSE	prisons in kern: 8K in 2 prisons in Delano - on cecil road, and other cities	
41411038	H		FALSE				FALSE	two military bases (china lake & edwards) - 16-17K jobs; active duty at Edwards; china lake more research few live on base; ridgecrest wouldn't exist without china lake	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41411033	Lamont		FALSE	TRUE	problems: valley fever, cancer, children have cancer and have so many needs, 110 adults with cancer - probably bad water with arsnic, bad sewer systems, sts with no names, utlity bills so high and no water, unemployed inNov Dec and high utlity bills;	parks (or parts?) without lights - been two accidents; valley fever is spore/fungus that come into area, gets into lungs and causes chronic illness - have hotspots of it - now one in prison in Delano
41411034			FALSE	FALSE		
41411035			FALSE	FALSE		
41411036			FALSE	FALSE		
41411037			FALSE	FALSE		
41411038			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41411033			FALSE					FALSE		
41411034			FALSE					FALSE		
41411035			FALSE					FALSE		
41411036			FALSE					FALSE		
41411037			FALSE					FALSE		
41411038			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511001	H		FALSE			tulare	TRUE	34th AD - rep need pilots license to get around - he describes the whole district - needs to be more compact and not so spread out - try to make district out of communities?	East side of Sierra in an AD and that into Needles ok, better if Tulare's district went into Kern - keep in valley - agr counties -Tulare, Kern, Fresno together; CD is good (well represented)
41511002	H		FALSE		stockton	San Joaquin	TRUE	keep SJ county whole; none of reps of SJ county don't live in SJ County - currently split 4 AD, 2 SD, 2 CD; can pick up enough residents for AD in county, can pick up nearby counties for SD and CD rather than go to Yolo as is now!	keep cities in co together, just a few pop centers, to pick up pop go south into Stanislaus or north into Sac Co to Gault.
41511003	H	work for org that provides child care	TRUE		born in Huron, works all over Fresno and SJ valley	Fresno	FALSE	20th CD	rural westside Fresno Co - small ag communities should be a COI
41511004	H		TRUE	APALC - CAPAFR; CAPAFR Fresno	native of Fresno		FALSE	CAPAFR Fresno finalizing maps for Fresno area, VRA district in southern Fresno- will submit shapefile with boundaries	
41511005	H		TRUE	CAPAFR Fresno			FALSE	VRA district - Latino and Hmong	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511001			FALSE	FALSE		
41511002	lodi, stockton, manteca, tracy, ..brook?		FALSE	FALSE		
41511003	firebock, mendota,	along I-5 - poorest areas	FALSE	TRUE	poverty, child poverty, hunger capitol	unemployment rates high, 98% Latino in these areas
41511004			FALSE	FALSE		
41511005			FALSE	FALSE	30K Homng, 8K Laotian, ...	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511001			FALSE							
41511002			FALSE							
41511003			FALSE					TRUE	statement, google map, 2 11x17 maps	
41511004		VRA district in South Fresno - Hmong	FALSE					TRUE	written statement	
41511005		VRA district in South Fresno	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511006	H		TRUE	Southeast Asian Education Council - students and community leaders - further education for students			FALSE	provided map of Fresno - SE	
41511007	H	community activist and real estate broker	FALSE		Fresno - this SE Asian area		FALSE	please do not divide our community - do not dilute our representation	
41511008	H		FALSE	APALC - CAPAFR		Fresno Co	FALSE		
41511009	H	just ran 14th SD - cost \$8K to pay for ballot statements in all the counties	FALSE				FALSE	Recommedation: Do not have doughnut holes, parts of all kinds of cities; start in center of state & use rivers as guides & don't break up Hmong community just heard about	
41511010	H		TRUE	El Concilio de Fresno	Fresno	Fresno	FALSE	provided handout written and map of COI ; if had to expand? Go east - miniwawa, clovis, kings canyon avenue - similar area ; his point was to keep whole	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511006		gave exact streets - gateway to southeast Asian community in Fresno	FALSE	FALSE	Hmong farmers, cultural events, richness of diversity - 60 % of 30k homng reside in this area	
41511007		Temperance Avenue	FALSE	FALSE	new homes being built east to Temperance	hmong farmers in this area too
41511008			FALSE	FALSE	stats on API in Fresno County - several tables: LEP, low educational attainment - API and Latino in Fresno share these characteristics	In tables: % of each ethnic group in poverty, % unemployed
41511009			FALSE	FALSE		
41511010	southeast Fresno - his COI is ~240K pop	western boundary - Marx ave, eastern boundary, North boundary	FALSE	FALSE	recession, changing demographics impact	low & middle class, some pockets of upper class, education needs, health care needs (travel to ___ for care)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511006			FALSE							
41511007			FALSE							
41511008			FALSE							
41511009			FALSE							
41511010			FALSE					TRUE	statement & google map (with correction)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511011	H		FALSE		Selma	Fresno County	FALSE	keep Fresno Co one district; Q: this would be SD, how would you split for AD? Split into West side and East side - north/south split - 99 corridor	
41511012	H	farm in Tulare county	FALSE		Reedly - near Tulare Co border	Fresno County	FALSE	Keep Fr Co whole, but if split go down into Tulare	
41511013	H		FALSE		Fresno	Fresno	FALSE	provided community map - content with AD, not CD or SD, his area shares similarities with Southeast Fresno	
41511014	H		FALSE		Fresno	Fresno	FALSE	gave out testimony - had one correction re boundary (see street field)	
41511016	H	life-long SJ Valley resident, with group	TRUE	group name?	Fresno	Fresno	TRUE	disagrees with keep Fresno Co whole	
41511017	H	with same group as 16	TRUE	group name?	Fresno	Fresno	TRUE	individual communities stay whole and district allow fair representation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511011			FALSE	TRUE	~934,000 pop of county - make own district. East co water comes from a dam - Kings River vs. canal.	german farmers, japanese american farmers, now Hmong farmers; west co agr has different needs from east co agr - west side dependent on h2o from delta canal, east side not dep on canal - lack of ag jobs NOT bec of h2o.
41511012		Reedly to Sangor	FALSE	TRUE		east co water based on snow melt and loosing lots of it now cause Kings River so full
41511013		south of Shaw and west of 99 (see map)	FALSE	TRUE		quasi-rural - wheracity meets county
41511014		south of shaw, west of clovis, mayfair district - correct 99 to east side of Hayes or Haste - to include black community	FALSE	TRUE	low education, diverse, few grocery stores, few parks, homeless	The Valley could be a whole economy; but poverty rate is very high and gotten worse
41511016			FALSE	FALSE		
41511017			FALSE	FALSE	50K live in disadvantaged areas of Fresno Co; gave long list of problems in these communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511011			FALSE							
41511012			FALSE							
41511013			FALSE					TRUE	statement & google map	
41511014			FALSE							
41511016			FALSE					TRUE	color map of valley with pollution sites mapped	
41511017			FALSE					TRUE	statement?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511018	H		TRUE	volunteer - DH Foundation - promote voting - work in Dairy Industry primarily	Hanford	Kings	FALSE	Re: dairy area in Kings? - a different CA, tho part of CA	
41511022	H	farmer here in Kings	FALSE				FALSE	proposes CD and SD groupings for SJ Valley, CD 20 is a disaster - huge geographically and poorest area...because of relationship to water policy - very underrepresented	Tulare, Kings and northern Kern have a lot in common - share same problems
41511025	H	family in Valley for 113yrs	FALSE		reedly	Tulare?	FALSE		
41511027	H		FALSE		hanford	Kings	TRUE	keep Kings county together - if make Fresno its own please don't put us with Kern! Fresno is closer in socioeconomic interest to ours	343 miles - length of AD; Q: any shared interests with other counties touching, such as SLO? No more in common with Kern than SLO
41511029	H		TRUE	LULAC			FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511018			FALSE	FALSE	more on working conditions: no water, workers get sick	major milk producer for country; at dairies - poor working conditions: modern form of slavery, families dissolve, divorce, shifts change daily - over worked, no breaks
41511022	Fresno, Stockton, Modesto, Turlock		TRUE	TRUE		water issues - west (delta restricted, not much ground water) vs east
41511025	Fresno Chinatown is very diverse (she lists all different ethnicities): 17 block area of concentration of ethnic minorities because forced to live there.	if use 99 as divider - there is history of that already cause 99 put where people wouldn't fight it	FALSE	FALSE	API community; history of laws/policies that have eroded families	agr holds community together -
41511027			FALSE	FALSE	~153K - we realize its small; later (answers re prisons - adds to our small pop)	
41511029			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511018			FALSE					TRUE	handwritten presentation and page with stats	
41511022			FALSE							
41511025			FALSE							
41511027			FALSE							
41511029		give VRA - criterion 2 - great weight as look at Central Valley	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511030	H		FALSE			tulare	FALSE	COI of Tulare, Kern, Kings, Fresno Counties, not Sbern/mountain area; good CD district/representation for county, split for AD, SD - please keep Tulare Co together in one dist	
41611001	H	physician; farmer	FALSE		tracy	san joaquin	TRUE	SJ County split up into CDs - please make it one district-keep it whole; Q: what part take for CD or how to split for AD?; more in common with east of stockton than with west of county (over Altamont pass)	San Joaquin County
41611002	H	work in Lodi, Manteca, Galt - works in medicine - patients from those three cities go to hospital in Stockton	FALSE		northeast Stockton; 4 AD's if drive around 7 miles, SD and CD split up too.	san joaquin	TRUE	keep Stockton and SJ County whole; Q: have to split SJ Co into 2 AD, how? Keep Stockton in one AD and add Lodi, Manteca, Galt; Q: Does Galt have more in common with those areas than the rest of SJ County? A: Yes	SJ County, Sacramento Co

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511030			FALSE	FALSE		by joining forces with other three counties able to have more access to water; Q- share interests with county on east? No, they are more forestry
41611001			FALSE	TRUE	use same HC facilities	agriculture
41611002	Stockton, Manteca, Lodi, Galt (Sac County)		FALSE	TRUE	in health care, patients from Manteca, Lodi, Galt go to hospital in Stockton	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511030			FALSE							
41611001	current representation (SJ and Stockton split up) is not good for farmers		FALSE							
41611002	Stockton needs representation for its hospital that serves other three cities		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611003	H & S	works in medicine	FALSE		Stockton - 50 yrs; CD 18 cuts into CD 11th - Stockton finger	SJ	TRUE	keep Stockton and SJ County whole; submitted maps - based on where hospitals are	SJ County
41611004	H & S	work in lathrop	FALSE		morada	SJ	TRUE	keep Stockton and SJ County whole; keep Stockton with Lodi, provided map of Manteca Unified School District in 11th and 18th CD - use school district lines to make it easier for schools, Q: Since have to divide county, where should it be? A: can't answer	SJ County
41611005	H	dental student at branch of UOP	FALSE		grew up in morada	SJ	TRUE	keep SJ County whole, and if have to split go east rather than west - more in common with Galt than anything on the west	SJ County

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611003	Stockton and other cities in Co.		FALSE	TRUE	use four hospitals (and emergency dispatches to) that offer services that complement each other (neonatal, cancer, heart specialties, etc)	
41611004	Stockton, Lodi		FALSE	TRUE	school districts, HS athletic conference, health care systems (eg. Dad's retirement home and hospital that administers it in different CDs)	ag-based
41611005	Lodi, Stockton, Morada, Galt (Sac Co)		FALSE	TRUE	cities are very similar (especially Stockton,Lodi, Morada); UOP is good for community, holds health fairs, etc.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611003	Stockton and Co kept whole in districts because of shared hospital, health care region		FALSE					TRUE	three google maps (one with just pushpins at 4 hospitals, one with blue CD 11 and pushpins at 4 hospitals, one with red SD 5 & pink SD 14 and pushpins at 4 hospitals)	04.16.11. A
41611004	school districts and high school athletic conference shouldn't intersect CD lines		FALSE					TRUE	three google maps (one with blue CD 11 and red Manteca Unified School District, one with blue Manteca Unified School District, one with points showing locations of schools in Manteca Unified School District)	04.16.11. B
41611005			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611006	H	rep various orgs from SJ County - spoke in Hanford too	TRUE	represents various orgs in SJ County that will be submitting maps; one org is 'Community Partnership for Families'			TRUE	keep SJ Co whole - run N/S rather than west over Altamonte pass; south to Stanislaus or east to Calavares, or north to southern part of Sac Co.; Q: where cut off Sac County? A: don't know road, just pull in pop without impacting Sac Co.	SJ Co, south Sac County, Stanislaus, Calaveras
41611007	H & S	law student at berkeley	FALSE				TRUE	keep SJ County whole: shows how school district maps in SJ Co cut up by CDs, keep school districts in one legislative district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611006			FALSE	TRUE	media markets run North/South; general services (trash, etc) done on county-wide basis; COI of SJ Co with South Sac Co.	
41611007			TRUE	TRUE	proposed today for lines: school districts, hospital service areas, agricultural, neighborhoods, transport corridors; shows map of school districts and how overlaps with CDs; also Galt USD comes down into SJ Co.	agricultural areas

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611006	media market so elected rep can community with constituents		FALSE							
41611007	keep SJ County separate from non-ag areas, like those over Altamont pass; keep school districts in one legislative district		FALSE					TRUE	1 Goog map (showing blue CD 11 'dividing' Manteca, Stockton, Lodi School Districts, 3 maps from SJ County website (School Districts, Enterprise Zone 2008 and Impt Farmland 2006); SJ Co Gen Plan(4-12-76) - copy UCB IGS library - describes 'region' of Co.	04.16.11. C & 04.16.11. D

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611009	H & S	labor rep	TRUE	union rep		SJ Co	TRUE	Stanislaus County has 3 AD, 2 SD, 2 CD; SJ Co also split up - no legislators live in SJ County; to get more pop go a little north or a little south	Stanislaus and SJ Counties
41611010	H		FALSE				FALSE		
41611011	H	SJ Co sup	FALSE		Linden	SJ County	TRUE	Sups resolu to keep SJ Co in 1 CD, 1 SD, not > than 2 AD; 3 diff propls (doesn't advoc any) for AD split: Calaver Riv thru Stock to East border of Co; Stock metro area & cities in one, ag areas in one ; Calaver Riv thru Stock & down 99 to S co border	SJ Co, Calaveras, Amador, south Sac Co

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611009		use natural boundaries like rivers and highways	FALSE	TRUE		CD 11 has wide range of incomes because has Bay Area and Valley
41611010			FALSE	FALSE		
41611011		grantline road, galt, agricultural areas	FALSE	TRUE		viable ag biz in SJ Co is a COI, in common with ag of Galt (so Sac Co); ag can be put in one area, but cant divorce ag from residential totally; agr connects with south Sac Co; east county ag (vineyards) in common with Calaver & Amador foothills ag

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611009			FALSE					TRUE	pg of 6 bullet pts (# & layout of distr in SJ, Stan Co) , 2 maps (one of SJ Co and one of a district drawn around Merced with some of Stan Co, some of Madera, and some of Fresno that would meet fed requirments (Sec 5??) and allow SJ Co to stay whole	04.16.11. E
41611010			FALSE				re legal counsel - still using GDC when doesn't meet law			
41611011			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611012	H	former candidate for Assembly	FALSE			Merced County	TRUE	slice of southern stan co with west/east Merced; or east Merced Co with areas to east to Mariposa; or add Madera instead - south or east is good, don't go north to SJ Co; Q: why not Fresno? A: just trying to keep counties whole	
41611013	H		FALSE			Merced	TRUE	also agrees that go south or east from merced rather than north to Stockton; only go north to highway 120; also change SD from going to coastal county (monterey)	Merced, Fresno
41611015	H & S	sub teacher at Mariposa HS	FALSE		bootjack	mariposa County	TRUE	foothills/mountain areas in SJ Valley form COI - see map - El Dorado down to nor Tulare Co; to build go to northern foothills via highway 49. Not Mono and Inyo bec can't get there (only road to Mono is thru Yosemite) and Mono/Inyo ID more with San Bern	proposed 6 whole counties (alpine, El Dor, Marip, Tuol, Calav, Amador) plus eastern parts of Madera, Fresno - added up 2010 county pops
41611016	H	poll worker in CD 9	FALSE		Atwater	Merced Co	TRUE	draw straight lines, Q: where? cut off Stockton part of district from us	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611012			FALSE	TRUE	Merced County people are tight knit/long time residents, different concerns from Stockton/Tracy - eg. Merced wants canal and north does not	
41611013		highways 99, 120	FALSE	TRUE	where get media/news is important to relate to districts; now districts connecting to coast (Monterey) and there is no reason for that	Stockton more industrial and urban than Merced
41611015	small towns in foothills	Highway 49 corridor	FALSE	TRUE	foothill communities, sparsely populated, snow and not much ag, ag wants our water - commonality between mountain areas of El Dorado Co down. If had to build senate then go up to Placer, Nevada counties; share political interests	
41611016			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611012			FALSE							
41611013	want news for district (from reps) to be the same		FALSE							
41611015	COI of foothill towns from El Dorado down to top of Tulare Co; need to be together cause usually just added to districts		FALSE					TRUE	two maps: One of proposed foothill district within state with pops added (6 whole counites, eastern part of Madera, Fresno), one of highway 49 corridor to east of state	04.16.11. F & 04.16.11. G
41611016			FALSE			make straight lines and keep politics out				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611019	H	small biz owner	FALSE			Madera	FALSE	disagrees with madera mariposa foothill/mountain community, want to keep Madera whole - add Merced and mariposa; Q: go south to Fresno? A: better to go north than go South	Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Not Fresno
41611020	H	went to LWV workshop in this area	TRUE	LWV	merced	merced	FALSE	has no map - just wants them to follow criteria and be able to answer yes to following each one	
41611021	H	merced council member	TRUE	City Council of Merced	merced	merced	TRUE	keep merced co whole; Q: which counties feel affinity with? Co to Nor & So but with high speed rail North especially; Q: how about gateway to yosem and go up to Mariposa? A: diff is they don't have air pollu like we do but do have some similar interests	
41611022	H		FALSE			SJ County	TRUE	Keep SJ Co whole (now no reps live in co) - to make CD add Gault (similar to Lodi); SJ Co close to having its own SD, to make AD 's - keep Stockt whole & add cities to south (tracy,manteca) & put Lodi with motherload area; if split Stock use Calav Riv.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611019			FALSE	TRUE	sparcely populated, better way of life;wants to unify flat landers and hill billies	agricultural community, tourism, fossil beds, Yosemite and Sierra
41611020			FALSE	FALSE		
41611021			FALSE	TRUE	southeast asian - lang barrier - diverse community that needs to be kept together	agricultural interests
41611022			FALSE	TRUE	Motherlode relates more to Valley, than Valley relates to Bay Area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611019	bonds Madera with Merced and Mariposa, and NOT with Fresno		FALSE							
41611020		especially important criterion	FALSE				follow criteria you are suppose to follow in priority			
41611021			FALSE							
41611022			FALSE				SJ Co is picture of Gerrymandering with 4 CD			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611023	H	food supply advocate	FALSE		Merced	Merced	TRUE	would like to see Merced and Stanislaus counties together; be careful of splitting hills and valleys	
41611024	H	student at UC Merced	FALSE		Merced	Merced	TRUE	Merced will be kept whole (because of VRA) to add go 1) north into Stanis (Modesto), 2) south to city of Madera - Not East or West; keep SJ Co whole	
41611025	H		FALSE			madera	TRUE	Madera Co- 2 AD, 2 SD now - get locked out of decisions, no Madera Co resident elected; make Madera Co whole - agrees Merced, Madera, Mariposa make nice group; everyone wants to go north - we get along great with people in mt on east...no prob going east	
41611026	H		FALSE			merced	TRUE	keep merced whole even tho trying to bail out of VRA, add part of Stan Co to get #'s	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611023			FALSE	FALSE	environ issues, 3 irrigation districts that supply farmers, generate own electricity; conflicting interests with foothills, mountains, hillbillies (they are concerned with water and national parks)- different personality valley vs mountain	agr producers in valley: famous coop between agr and environmental concerns!
41611024		highway 99	FALSE	FALSE	city, local govt, redev issues in common in small and medium cities. sports leagues, schools, similarities along 99, North/South; cities more in common than unicorp areas, if go East/West see more differences	
41611025			FALSE	FALSE		
41611026		I-5 and 99	FALSE	TRUE	consider transportation corridors I-5, 99	agrarian communities - commonalities with rest of valley (north & south) vs with mountain or urban areas

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611023			FALSE							
41611024			FALSE							
41611025			FALSE							
41611026			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611027	H	represent small biz community	TRUE	small biz community	modesto	stan co	TRUE	keep stan co whole; to expand go nor or so - similar interest in so especially merced (more than with SJ Co); specifically re SD -one goes to coast - needs to be north south to pick up ag areas Q: which cities identify with more? A: Merced city and co.	
41611028	H	health care interpreter, speaking on behalf of punjabi, Sikh community in Merced	TRUE	represents Sikh community		Merced	TRUE	keep Sikh community in one district	
41611029	H - 29 - last # given till 30 came back in	was at Hanford mtg, represents API community in Valley	TRUE	API community in valley	reedley	Fresno	FALSE		Fresno

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611027			FALSE	TRUE		keep in mind economic drivers - Stan Co is ag area primarily
41611028	livingston (2 temples), atwater, winton, and a temple in Merced		FALSE	TRUE	keep Sikh population together, mostly in Livingston, also atwater and winton; about 3500 Sikh in Merced Co., Punjabi speaking; get together from all of these communities to do festivals	
41611029	Kerman		FALSE	TRUE	prison pop affect on hospital services, pollution; environment of desert shared; Punjabi history to preserve; API have been in cities and have moved out for agr; need to represent API	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611027			FALSE							
41611028			FALSE							
41611029			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41611030	H & S - 30 - last # given		FALSE		madera	madera	TRUE	Madera Co: valley comm & foothill/mountain community; Madera valley area should go with Merced Co & Fresno Co valley areas; Madera foothill/mt with foothill/mt of Fres, Marip, Tuol, Calav; doesn't like SD now that goes to Monterey Co; also wants nesting	
41611031	H -no # issued		FALSE			Merced	TRUE	endorse Merced connected to stanislaus and mariposa counties	Merced, Stanislaus, Mariposa
42711001	H	engagement in political organizations	TRUE		Long Beach - epicenter	Los Angeles	TRUE	lets keep one Long Beach	
42711002	H		FALSE		Long Beach - 9th District	Los Angeles	TRUE	please allow current district lines as they are now; put North LB in district with Compton, Carson (and a few other towns)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41611030			FALSE	TRUE		valley different econ interest (mostly agr) from foothills (tourism/hospitality)
41611031	Modesto		FALSE	TRUE		economic corridor between merced and madera; Fresno State and Stanislaus State, go shopping in Modesto
42711001	communities of Long Beach		FALSE	TRUE	communities of Long Beach (lists several - West, East, South, Naples...)	
42711002	Long Beach		FALSE	TRUE	African-American community in North LB	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41611030	get more political participation if group valley with valley and foothills with foothill; stop being connected to coast counties		FALSE					TRUE	written testimony memo to CRC: same essentially as spoken testimony	04.16.11. H
41611031			FALSE							
42711001		consider Section 2 - must keep people together - like rainbow that is LB	FALSE							
42711002		must comply to make sure various groups (lists African-American, Latino, API) have opp to election of their choice	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711003	H		TRUE	North Pedro neighborhood council	Long Beach - North Pedro Neighborhood	Los Angeles	TRUE	need Ass member to hold LAUSD accountable-use LAUSD district #7 to draw AD (?); Neighborhood councils want to stay together	
42711004	H		FALSE		Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	likes current representation	
42711005	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	37thCD is good; to add (its under) extend it into Port	
42711006	H		TRUE	LB Board of Water Commission	Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep representation in tact; what add? Rest of Long Beach	
42711007	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	add Signal Hill and Lakewood	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711003			TRUE	FALSE		
42711004			FALSE	TRUE	nearly 50% carson, signal hill, watts, willowbrook have english as second language; shared shopping between carson, compton, north LB?	
42711005			FALSE	TRUE	37th CD is a COI by virtue of its similarities	
42711006	Compton, Carson, Signal, Watts, Willowbrook, most of LB		FALSE	TRUE	strong ties that bind communities in 37thCD, racial diversity, family composition, medium incomes, background,	
42711007			FALSE	TRUE	love city, parks, educational system, college system, symphony, theatres, beach, historic ranches, hospitals, - a complete city and large; also diverse, feel affinity to LA tho border with Orange Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711003			FALSE					TRUE	AD proposal - info and map	
42711004			FALSE							
42711005			FALSE							
42711006			FALSE							
42711007			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711008	H		FALSE		Palos Verdes Estates	Los Angeles	TRUE	Palos Verdes Estates - keep with list of other cities (Torrance, Redono B, Lawndale, Alondo park, Rolling Hills Estates, Rollings Hills) entire Palos Verdes peninsula; what add to make up numbers? ____ Manhattan B, Hermosa B? I stopped at Redond cause s	spend most time there
42711009	H		FALSE		Long Beach - bixbybee area? In 37 CD	Los Angeles	TRUE	like current maps -allow representation, against LB-Centered model (would affect good diverse representation)	
42711010	H		FALSE		Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	TRUE	Palos Verdes with Northern coastal cities	
42711011	H		FALSE		Long Beach - in 37 CD	Los Angeles	TRUE	add port of LB into 37 CD area; port not represented?	
42711012	H		TRUE	city council - sent letter	Palos Verdes - ~42K pop	Los Angeles	TRUE	PV Peninsula is very gerrymandered - lists all the different places it is linked to in districts; recommends going north to Westchester - rather than going east into Long Beach	
42711013	H		FALSE		Norwalk	Los Angeles	TRUE	cluster of cities with norwalk - north and east	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711008			FALSE	TRUE	diverse, many language, frequent same..., read same paper Daily Breeze and _____,	
42711009			FALSE	FALSE		
42711010			FALSE	FALSE		
42711011			FALSE	FALSE		
42711012			FALSE	FALSE		
42711013			FALSE	TRUE	language, faith, culture, school districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711008			FALSE							
42711009			FALSE							
42711010			FALSE							
42711011			FALSE			problem with Census collection, management (he was a staffer)				
42711012			FALSE					TRUE	letter from city council	
42711013			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711014	H		FALSE		Paramount (just north of LB)	Los Angeles	TRUE	Lakewood, Compton, Willowbrook, ... all form community with LB - keep the larger community together	
42711015	H		FALSE		Huntington Park - incl Verna, Commerce, Maywood, walnut park, South Gate	Los Angeles	TRUE	do not separate these neighborhoods	
42711016	H	second generation resident of Redondo Beach	FALSE		Redondo Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	could go north - follow traffic which goes from Redondo north and back	
42711017	H		FALSE		Rigley neighborhood of Long Beach, 37th CD	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42711018	H		FALSE		Long Beach (used to list Palos Verdes) - in 37thCD	Los Angeles	TRUE	include port to build 37th CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711014			FALSE	TRUE	YMCA, LB hospital is crucial HC provider for area, Plaze, Shopping center, entertainment	Boeing
42711015			FALSE	TRUE	working people, come from different countries, speak spanish	work in industry here and live here too
42711016	Redondo Beach		FALSE	TRUE	sandy bottom beach unites community - environmental community of interest with whole bay, common movement see in congestion and traffic on street; airport connects communities rather than separates	
42711017			TRUE	TRUE	37th CD shares a lot...	
42711018			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711014			FALSE							
42711015			FALSE							
42711016			FALSE							
42711017		look at Section 2	FALSE							
42711018			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711019	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	3SD, CD, AD - not necessarily a bad thing; 54 AD is considered the 'Long Beach' district but its carved out minority groups; disagrees with call for 'one LB' - keep current basically	
42711020	H		FALSE		Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	leave 37th CD alone - leave it like it is - if change it (expand it?), somewhere there will be lack of services for some people - rep does good job	
42711021	H		TRUE	historical society of LB - not talking on its behalf- but it informs her thoughts			TRUE	LB has more in common with LA County than Orange County, please keep with LA vs Orange	
42711023	H	independent filmmaker	FALSE		Los Feliz	Los Angeles	TRUE	going west: Studio City to Sherman Oaks - film-making COI	
42711024	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep 37th CD and ADD Port please, traffic from port comes through his neighborhood and he has no control of that.	
42711025	H		FALSE		East Rancho Dominguez	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep us in 37 CD - share same concerns with Compton & Lynwood, other towns in 37th CD; ie leave 37 how is and add Port	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711019			FALSE	FALSE		
42711020			FALSE	FALSE		
42711021			FALSE	TRUE	LB history shows likes rings on a tree...growth of aerospace; share transportation, news agencies	
42711023			FALSE	TRUE	film-making COI	
42711024			TRUE	FALSE		
42711025			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711019			FALSE							
42711020			FALSE							
42711021			FALSE							
42711023			FALSE							
42711024			FALSE							
42711025			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711026	H		FALSE		East Rancho Dominguez	Los Angeles	TRUE	37CD is a COI - are able to get help need from representative	
42711027	H		FALSE		Long Beach - Cambodia town	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42711028	H		FALSE		Long Beach 37 CD, 55 AD	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep 37 CD in current form, so south to add port and Lomita; fix AD	
42711029	H		FALSE		Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep 37CD same, and add by going south to San Pedro, Willimington and possibly Lomita; those areas have most in common with current district	
42711030	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	look at LB along hydrological lines	
42711031	H		FALSE		lives in Torrance, also mentioned Palos Verdes Estates?	Los Angeles	TRUE	36 and 46th CD; PVE should be split by two zipcodes (90274 & 90275)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711026			FALSE	TRUE	medium income, homeownership, etc similar	
42711027		Anaheim St, between ___ & Atlanta ; west boundary is 710 freeway	FALSE	TRUE	Cambodian community	
42711028			FALSE	TRUE	port is big polutter and affect us who live close to it...need to have representation with port, LB is a wonderful diverse community	
42711029			FALSE	FALSE		
42711030			FALSE	TRUE	all is related to water, divide by water lines, then	
42711031			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711026			FALSE							
42711027			FALSE							
42711028			FALSE				wanted to be a part of process			
42711029			FALSE							
42711030			FALSE							
42711031			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711034	H	40 yrs in education field	TRUE	Compton City Council	Compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	maintain 37 CD intact as	
42711035	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep 37th CD intact and add Port	
42711036	H		FALSE				TRUE	East Long Beach - not part of Orange Co, so go North rather than east to OC, district now grabs whole coast - an abomination. Need to be with LA County	
42711037	H		FALSE		North Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	don't want to be confined to one rep; northwest, central LB need to be with similar communities of Compton, etc	
42711038	H	pacific islander community - 40 different ethnicities	FALSE				TRUE	maintain existing boundaries and go south to ocean	
42711040	H	CLU in Thousand Oaks	FALSE				TRUE	keep East Ventura County together, identifies with Ventura Co, not LA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711034			FALSE	TRUE	extensive transportation network in district, and environmental issues that flow from that; communities collaborate; LA? River goes through; celebrations (MLK Day, Cinco de Mayo, Cambodian New Year, ...)	
42711035			FALSE	TRUE	very diverse, but love each other; airquality is horrific - need help	
42711036		asked border of East LB and he gave Council district #s to describe	FALSE	TRUE	we are Angelenos	
42711037			FALSE	FALSE		
42711038			FALSE	TRUE	Pacific Islanders - biggest community outside Hawaii	
42711040	simi valley, thousand oaks, camarillo, moorpark, newbury park		FALSE	TRUE	these towns share a lot; Q:share more with West Ventura Co or LA? A: West Ventura Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711034			FALSE							
42711035			FALSE							
42711036			FALSE							
42711037			FALSE							
42711038			FALSE							
42711040			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711041	H	school at CLU too (like 40) and says/answers the same basically	FALSE				TRUE	identifies with Ventura Co, not LA	
42711044	H		FALSE				TRUE	re: 46CD - connected by Ocean Blvd. - put strand back	
42711045	H		FALSE		Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	37 CD - don't add Orange County. Add willmington, parts of San Pedro- submitted map	
42711046	H	Board of Education	TRUE	Board of Ed	Rigley area and 37th CD	Los Angeles	TRUE	school district includes 4 cities (avalon,signal hill,longbeach, and ?) that are a COI - one of top 20 Sch Dist in world; keep CD 37 & add south toward port	
42711047	H		TRUE	LB USD - third largest SD in CA - largest employer in LB - leader in education			TRUE	LB USD entirely in LA County - want whole representation in LA county, not split and put with OC - primarily concerned with CD	
42711048	H		FALSE		Rigley district of Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	concerned with AD and SD; port included in 37th CD - can't deal with port issues cause in another CD now.and get pushed off by rep	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711041			FALSE	FALSE		
42711044			FALSE	FALSE		
42711045			FALSE	FALSE		
42711046			FALSE	TRUE	great school district - need to have good representation	
42711047			FALSE	TRUE	LB USD	LB USD biggest employter in LB
42711048			FALSE	TRUE	diverse census district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711041			FALSE							
42711044			FALSE							
42711045			FALSE					TRUE	color map of proposed CD 37	
42711046			FALSE							
42711047			FALSE							
42711048			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711049	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep 37th CD intact	
42711050	H		TRUE	LB NAACP, President			FALSE		
42711051	H		FALSE		Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	support existing maps, for state and federal representation	
42711052	H		TRUE	works for council woman - describes district ~ 50K	Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	likes having 3 AD, 3 CD, 3 SD representing LB	
42711053	H		FALSE		Torrance	Los Angeles	TRUE	alondrao park, lawndale, lomita, RPV, PVE?, torrance... keep together	
42711054	H		TRUE	CSU Long Beach professor			FALSE		
42711055	H		FALSE		Long Beach - 3rd Council District	Los Angeles	TRUE	agree don't put LB with OC	
42711056	H		TRUE	mayor of compton	compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep 37th CD intact as much as possible; add wilmington and port area - if not that then add Lynwood; separate LB with Lakewood, Xeminal, Juanipero (streets); agrees that E LB, diff from W LB, should go north in LA county, but not put with 37th CD.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711049	North West and Central LB in same AD, SD, CD with rest of 37thCD??		FALSE	TRUE	diverse community that cooperates; port extension, air quality are big issues;	
42711050			FALSE	TRUE	MLK hospital closure is big issue in 37th CD	
42711051			FALSE	TRUE	rail system that goes through towns, 48% high school grads?	
42711052			FALSE	FALSE		
42711053			FALSE	TRUE	beach	toyota big employer
42711054			FALSE	TRUE	K-12 pop that will be voting age in next decade; 54?% Latino, please be aware of this in decisionmaking	
42711055	Long Beach		FALSE	TRUE	governance, family, then city governance	
42711056		separate LB with Lakewood, Xeminal, Juanipero	FALSE	TRUE	highways impact communities, health issues related - 710/Alameda corridor; route 47 - as go north turns in Alameda St...this has become truck route; wind usually blows W-E and bad air will affect LB and Signal Hill; hard to get help on air quality because	(cont from social interest field) of being with OC - 46th AD?

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711049			FALSE							
42711050			FALSE							
42711051			FALSE							
42711052			FALSE							
42711053			FALSE							
42711054			FALSE							
42711055			FALSE				talk about governance			
42711056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711057	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep 37th CD as is, and add Wilmington/Port area. Will enhance voice - speaking on behalf of homeless and those who don't have a voice; keep 55AD, 54 AD, keep us in LA, keep Carson intact and you'll have no trouble; 105 and 710 are natural boundaries.	
42711058	H		FALSE		Manhattan Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	provides packet to CRC; 37th CD should end with LB and not go into OC; 53th AD along coast - packet has suggestions on how to change it - & get addition population (add rest of playa del rey and westchester all up to 405, take off tip that goes above 10.	
42711060	H		FALSE		PV peninsula: Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	TRUE	PV most in common with south bay, beaches area; 46th CD sliver connects us with OC - makes no sense; don't split peninsula, keep cities together, include cities listed below; no idea what to do with SD25 - a mess.	
42711061	H		FALSE		Rancho Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	TRUE	agrees with last speaker (60); makes sense to make continuous all cities along Santa Monica Bay	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711057			FALSE	TRUE	respirtory issues	ports are economic engine
42711058			FALSE	TRUE	AD 53 joined by environment (air, land, water) & unified by need protect AD53 environ - it affects all LA CO. Also diverse comm socio-economically (homeless&Sec8 among \$1M homes), loss of employ at all level=foreclosures, all relig, all ethnic, >45 lang	
42711060	Palos Verdes, Torrance, Rodando, Hermosa	gives highways to draw CD boundary (LAX on north, 405 on east, then 110 down to shore)	FALSE	FALSE		
42711061			FALSE	TRUE		share aerospace and defense industries

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711057			FALSE							
42711058			FALSE					TRUE	3 page testimony w/ proposal/COI	
42711060			FALSE							
42711061			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711062	H		FALSE		Inglewood	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42711064	H	retired aerospace engineer	FALSE		rolling hills estates	Los Angeles	TRUE	peninsula cities together is opportunity for CD & SD composition	
42711068	H	CAPAFR	TRUE	APCON (Asian Pacific Policy Planning Council) of LA Co- lead CAPAFR org for LA South Bay			TRUE	will submit mapping proposals on may 26	
42711069	H	CAPAFR	TRUE	affiliated with several Pac Islander and specially Hawaiian org; lists nine types of Pac Isl (see testimony)			TRUE	Keep Carson whole; speaking about Pac Islander (community & faith-based culture) - unique ; Keep together Inglewood, Hawthorne, and Lennox = large Tongon area & Tongans in this area share socio-econ status with other minorities in area	
42711070	H	CAPAFR - author of 'Filipinos in Carson and South Bay'	TRUE	Filipino and Carson orgs	carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	Keep Filipino community (COI) of Carson together in one district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711062			FALSE	FALSE		
42711064	Rodondo, Torrance, Rolling Hills, RPV, peninsula cities into El Segundo	hawthorne blvd is major arterial	FALSE	TRUE	beach	aerospace industry dominates peninsula cities, my friends and co-workers all live in area
42711068			FALSE	TRUE	API	
42711069		in ppt and testimony	FALSE	TRUE	Carson-Torrance area: Pac Isl share church, school, medical, housing. Inglewood/Hawthorne/Lennox: Tongons share struggles with other minorities.	
42711070			FALSE	TRUE	Carson: Filipino Community - largest API group in Carson - Carson should remain intact - promote cultural heritage and history.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711062			FALSE				current system has failed - rely on impartial lines that GIS will provide (has become more sophisticated)			
42711064			FALSE							
42711068		VRA important	FALSE					TRUE	ppt and handout with 6 statements (see below)	
42711069	Tongons in three areas: to be able to work as community and in solidarity with other minorities - unified voice of representation		FALSE					TRUE	statement	
42711070	interests better served when united		FALSE					TRUE	statement	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711071	H	CAPAFR -LA South Bay, re cambodian community of LB	TRUE	Khmer Girls in Action, Director	Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	gives borders of Cambodian area of LB - don't divide the 2 cambodian neighborhoods; map in ppt of cambodian neighborhoods and she gives streets - keep Cambodian community together	
42711072	H	former LAUSD teacher	TRUE	Japanese historical society of Southern CA and others			TRUE	Keep Torrance and Gardena together to maintain historical, cultural, economic, and social integrity of Japanese American Community	
42711073	H	CAPAFR- APALC demographer	FALSE				TRUE	shows tables with demographics for southbay cities and growing API community in Torrance etc, particularly Cambodian in Long Beach	
42711074	H		FALSE		Rancho Palos Verdes - 46 CD - which goes into OC	Los Angeles	TRUE	put cities of penisula in 36 CD, keep Rancho Palos Verdes in it	
42711075	H	born in Culver City, lived all over pensiola	FALSE		Palos Verdes	Los Angeles	TRUE	common community has evolved over time - he's seen it - use that for form AD and SD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711071	LB	gives borders of 2 neighborhoods in 2 maps (part of ppt)	TRUE	TRUE	low educ, income, and LEP	
42711072	Torrance & Gardina		FALSE	TRUE	Japanese community - lives go back & forth between two cities; Japanese history in two cities goes back early 1990. estab. cultural institutions, places of worship and businesses (eg nursing home for J-Am elderly, Japan. Cultural Institute)	
42711073	Torrance, Gardena, Carson, LB; Hawthorne, Lennox, Inglewood		FALSE	TRUE	foreign-born pop, LEP; talks about LB cambodian pop, Tongon pop in North Bay cities, and other two just spoken about	
42711074			FALSE	TRUE	these cities work together in disaster prep	
42711075	list of cities in community - coastal cities mostly (marina del ray to gardena/hawthorne; go south to san pedro, Palos verdes		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711071			FALSE					TRUE	statement	
42711072			FALSE					TRUE	statement	
42711073			FALSE					TRUE	statement	
42711074			FALSE							
42711075			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711076	H		FALSE		Long Beach - 'bad part'	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42711077	H	Dr, educator, commissioner in Carson	FALSE				TRUE	keep current CD and add port of LB, willmington, San Pedro	
42711078	H	Samoan Community	FALSE				TRUE	carson - maintain city boundaries, respect all city boundaries, look at school district boundaries - help them have one voice	
42711079	H	Indian Community	FALSE		Cerritos	Los Angeles	TRUE	cerritos, artesia, La Palma, Cypress, Buena Park remain together, even though some in LA County and some in OC	
42711080	H		FALSE		Cerritos	Los Angeles	TRUE	freeways form boundary of _____?	
42711081	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	wants more leadership by Latinos, more representation of LB, keep together as much as possible	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711076			FALSE	TRUE	Latino community - CRC should concern self with Latino leaders that can represent; children going wrong way - hes very concerned	
42711077			FALSE	TRUE	educational system - working hard to improve - help us work collaboratively and let us improve the acad perform of these students	
42711078			FALSE	TRUE	school districts	
42711079	La Palma, Buena Park, Cypress, Cerritos, Artesia is COI of Indian-Americans		FALSE	TRUE	Indian community: restuarants, religion, edu system, art center, library	
42711080		boundaries of highways: 605 on west, 405 to 22 south, 91 north, 5 east	FALSE	TRUE	Cerritos is diverse, share cultural and economic advanatages - draws traffic from certain cities; speaker discusses shared comm org with other cities and discusses history of the communities and how changed and what has emerged	
42711081			FALSE	TRUE		impt of economic growth and educ opportunity for Latinos

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711076			FALSE							
42711077			FALSE							
42711078			FALSE							
42711079			FALSE							
42711080			FALSE							
42711081			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711082	H		TRUE	Compton City govt previously (elected city clerk), now on board of Compton Comm College	Compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	pick up 54K need for 37thCD in port area, to get port rep at table - (Q what issues? A: Fed issues, like Transportation); for AD52, expand to Lynwood area, rosenkrantz, central 91, 110 on west	
42711083	H	cambodian	FALSE				TRUE	keep 37th CD how it is, concerned with local level	
42711084	H	part of the Spanish pop that is 40% of city of LB	FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42711088	H		FALSE		Cerritos	Los Angeles	TRUE	see handout for proposal: Cerritos with La Palma, Cypress and Buena Park	
42711090	H		FALSE		La Palma	Los Angeles	TRUE	supports proposal of last speaker - 4 cities together in AD; go across county boundaries	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711082			FALSE	TRUE	cities worked together got underground rail below Compton, Carson(or Lynwood?), LB - that was an achievement to get it undergrand	
42711083		gave boundaries of Cambodia town (says concentrated in this area): 50 K concentrated in half mile between 10th and Atlantic	FALSE	TRUE	Cambodian town - in 4 city council districts, needs to be kept together	
42711084			FALSE	TRUE	hispanic pop wants to work with you	
42711088	Vietnamese in Westminister and Garden Grove	provided street boundaries and on handout too - included artesia, was oversight on map; Latino pop is north of 91, and asian pop is south of 91	TRUE	TRUE	talks about various ethnic pops, and neighborhood devel group (West Anaheim Dev.); share shopping, senior events, social activities, newspaper coverage, crime prevention, library usage, park usage, and medical care (list taken from handout)	
42711090			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711082			FALSE							
42711083			FALSE							
42711084			FALSE				help us improve LB USD, safety of streets, future for kids			
42711088			FALSE					TRUE	COI attributes and Current and Proposed district	
42711090			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711091	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	please respect city lines first, rather than keeping things together for a specific reason. I am Long beach, but not like all Latinos; don't use COI - don't do COI gerrymandering	
42711092	H		FALSE		East Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	Keep city together, East Long Beach can move north into Lakewood (can't tell difference) or south into harbor; don't go into OC; pull lower income in with higher income - he's seen this intergration of incomes in East LB	
42711093	H	ran for 37th CD in 2008, 2010	FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep city LB together and for CD can add carson, compton, etc and port of LB, not port of LA (that can go in another CD)	
42711095	H	lawyer in LA - 40 yrs	FALSE		Malibu 37 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	describes COI for Malibu - all of Malibu in one district, , go south into El Segundo or North into Santa Monica Mts; Malibu and Santa Monica in same district (share school districts?) as much as possible	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711091			FALSE	FALSE		
42711092			FALSE	FALSE		
42711093			FALSE	FALSE		
42711095	Malibu		FALSE	TRUE	Malibu shares _____?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711091			FALSE							
42711092			FALSE							
42711093			FALSE				prob is status quo isn't working -	TRUE	biz card	
42711095			FALSE					TRUE	testimony and 3 exhibits	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711099	H	engineer	FALSE		Cerritos (20 yrs)	Los Angeles	TRUE	27th SD has part of LB, and LB should have own SD, and it doesn't help Cerritos to have part of LB; Downey would be good addition; doesn't agree with being with OC cities; she had an OC rep in the past and has better rep now. Q: gd fit w/ Norwalk? A:no	
42711101	H		FALSE		Buena Park	Orange	TRUE	Buena Park cut by 3 cds; only OC city in districts with LA Co cities...want to be with other OC cities	
42711102	H		FALSE		Long Beach - North - little triangle area to right of freeway or river? Has to have flood insurance	Los Angeles	TRUE	agrees 37 CD should come straight down, 52 AD should follow highway 91 and be bordered by 710? Freeway; 3 Senators, 3 Assemblymembers is good for LB!!! They are diverse and part of VRA idea is to run own people and that's done.	
42711104	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep 37th CD intact, to add use corridor and look at adding LB zip code 90802 (she believes not in 37 CD),	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711099			FALSE	TRUE	cerritos - 58% asian; schools districts together in same AD, SD, CD	
42711101			FALSE	FALSE		
42711102			FALSE	FALSE		
42711104			FALSE	TRUE	LB has enjoyed shared community interests among communities/cities in 37 CD (Carson, Compton)	airport impt

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711099			FALSE							
42711101			FALSE							
42711102		VRA says to run people of community for office and that's achieved by having 3 senators, 3 congressme mbers	FALSE							
42711104			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711105	H		FALSE		simi valley	Ventura	TRUE	East Ventura County is interconnected, people lives keep them crossing city lines, keep east V Co. together.	
42711106	H		FALSE		simi valley	Ventura	TRUE	east ventura county connected	
42711112	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	proposes Long Beach, Lakewood, Signal Hill, Bellflower in one district, make LB whole again and do it by adding those to district. LA Port to Carson side so Ports divided. Notes that 37th CD rep held rally that generated these comments	
42711115	H		FALSE				TRUE	kept core of __D around LB then it would represent itself	
42711119	H		TRUE	san pedro neighborhood council	Long Beach?		TRUE	LB should be one community and connected with LA Co. area north and east; beach cities belong together; LA USD needs to be looked at - impt constituent; communities governed under neighborhood council system should be together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711105			FALSE	TRUE	share shopping, local media (Acorn, V Co. Star) Q: share more with LA or SB? A: LA, do not identify with north	
42711106	Camarillo, Moorpark, SimiValley, Thous Oaks		FALSE	TRUE	common geography, highways, watershed issues, local water districts, etc....lots of shared interests	
42711112			FALSE	FALSE		
42711115			FALSE	TRUE	LB USD with Lakewood	
42711119			TRUE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711105			FALSE							
42711106			FALSE							
42711112			FALSE							
42711115			FALSE							
42711119			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711120	H		FALSE		Signal Hill, council member	Los Angeles	TRUE	37th CD - like it and like diversity; know need #'s - don't care where add - wilmington ok.	
42711127	H	founded several non-profits in LB, one is LB ICO? Has worked on Census (2000, 2010) - Census undercounts Cambodians	FALSE		central Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	37th CD is good, if go south to harbor heard that makes CD 14%? Asian, which would help Cambodians	
42711131	H		TRUE	mayor of Signal Hill	Signal Hill	Los Angeles	TRUE	likes all districts - include Port of LB; LA River end in LB Port...need this politically (gave some reasons)	
42711143	H		TRUE	Watts Labor Community Action Committee, president & CEO	Watts	Los Angeles	TRUE	likes having 3 fed reps in 1 mile sq - its help us in hotbed COI; if 37th CD has to shrink (for compactness), then Watts can come off (its an appendage), but likes rep for 37th CD bec comfortable walking thru watts; OC rep wouldn't be good; dist go N	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711120			FALSE	FALSE		
42711127			FALSE	TRUE	Cambodian community bonded because of genocide, health care	
42711131			FALSE	FALSE		
42711143			FALSE	TRUE	environmental impact shared by those on highway corridor; they deserve to benefit from mitigation \$ (trust fund for clean up)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711120			FALSE					TRUE	biz card	
42711127			FALSE							
42711131			FALSE							
42711143			FALSE					TRUE	map - shows how increase in number of reps has resulted in better representation	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42711148	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	re OC: explains geography/topography problems with 42 & 46 CD; use highways, this is understandable to (SoCA) people (more than COI); Q: re CD 42? A: don't start with 42CD	
42711149	H		FALSE		Bellflower	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD 34 has bellflower, downy and goes up to LA; put bellflower with lakewood, cerritos, and east LB (?) would be better, bellflower not like Cudahy, southgate, walnut, lynwood.	
42711152	H		FALSE		San Pedro - leash connecting to LA in city of LA?	Los Angeles	TRUE	get rid of this lease (city boundary) connecting San Pedro to LA, San Pedro is more conservative than LA and needs(?) smaller regions of representation (?)	
42711154	H		FALSE		Whittier	Los Angeles	TRUE	to keep Whittier area as one AD, SD, CD (currently split up)	
42811001	H	armeniam-american	FALSE		Sherman Oaks	Ventura	TRUE	keep communities together (provided list)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42711148		divide OC down the 55 - makes lots of sense	FALSE	TRUE	Hispanic (mostly CD 47), Asian (esp Viet) in CD 40, wealthier and more rural are east of I-55	
42711149			FALSE	TRUE		bellflower low income like cudahy, southgate, lynwood, but housing prices higher; and bellflower is safer
42711152			FALSE	FALSE		
42711154			FALSE	TRUE	schools, shopping, cultural COI	
42811001	Armenian pop: Hollywood, Hollywood Hills, Sherman Oaks (northeast), Valley, Studio City, Los Feliz, Park Le Brea, Westwood (as the southwest border), (will give us list)		FALSE	TRUE	Armenian culture, diversity, religion, work area, Armenian church, Armenian businesses, Armenian schools	Armenian businesses and home to industries where the community works

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42711148			FALSE					TRUE	discuss city and county borders in OC, and stats on ethnicity of 6 CDs	
42711149			FALSE							
42711152			FALSE							
42711154			FALSE							
42811001	Preserve the social and economic community that has developed		FALSE					TRUE	List of cities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811002	H	40 year resident of LA	FALSE		USC area	Los Angeles	TRUE	include USC campus please with USC campus area, North University Park, Adams and Exposition Park (to the south), Fairmount and Figueroa (to the east)	
42811003	H	student at LA Trade Tech, also CSU Dominguez	FALSE		Compton	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42811004	H	in Spanish	FALSE				TRUE	keep communities together (see list)	
42811005	H		FALSE		South Los Angeles	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep these areas together	
42811006	H	advocates for Watts community	FALSE				TRUE	keep watts in one district	
42811007	H	4th generation angelino	TRUE	founder Ebony Reperatory Theatre	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep community in AD47 together, especially arts community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811002			TRUE	TRUE	Good neighbors, schools, medical facilities	Working people, 32nd Street magnet school, elementary school, medical facilities
42811003	Willowbrook (East and West), Rancho Dominguez, Compton		FALSE	TRUE	Shares streets, after school programs, education, shopping mall	
42811004	Culver City, Los Angeles Line(?), Navera Hills or Madera Hills?, Winstor Hills		FALSE	TRUE	Share same parks and beaches, schools, public transportation (metro, blue and green lines), can communicate, different races (Latino & African American)	
42811005	Watts, South Los Angeles, West Adams, Harbour (?) Heights		FALSE	TRUE	Churches, parks, kids, YMCA, Expedition Park, Figueroa Corridor transportation, USC, many social needs served by churches: homelessness, adult care	
42811006	Watts		FALSE	TRUE	Shared history of industry, culture, arts institutions, arts education	Art community, promotes artists and musicians; Watts Towers
42811007			FALSE	TRUE	Arts community, historical arts center	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811002	The present districts work they way they are		FALSE			Likes CD 33 current lines				
42811003			FALSE							
42811004			FALSE				Likes current districts the way they are			
42811005			FALSE							
42811006	CD 35, 37, and 39, that is how currently divided; comfortable with districts the way they are		FALSE			Watts is about 40,000 people (70% Latino, 30% African American)				
42811007	Losing arts places, need retention of current districts (SD 10 and AD 47)		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811008	H	student at Tray Tech College	FALSE		Compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	Compton, Lakewood, Long Beach, Rancho Dominguez, Paramount	
42811009	H	in spanish, 12 year resident	FALSE				TRUE	keep Hollywood, Hollywood Hills, West Hollywood, and Hancock Park	
42811010	H	born and raised in LA	TRUE	Wall Las Memorial - AIDS?	NE LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	don't split up SD 22 (North-East LA, Highland Park, Pico Union)	
42811011	H	born and raised in LA, 3rd generation Californian, part of generation that brought about VRA	TRUE	Community Coalition	West 92nd St.	Los Angeles	TRUE	Below 10 freeway to Long Beach	
42811012	H	applied for commission	TRUE	Board of Neighborhood Commissioners	San Fernando Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	San Fernando Valley as whole CD; West Hollywood, Beverly Hills, and Santa Monica should be kept APART from Tarzana. Keep Tarzana with West San Fernando, West Valley to 101 or Thousand Oaks	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811008			FALSE	TRUE	Transportation "Renaissance", entertainment, hospital, shopping, schools, after school progerams	Shopping, transportation
42811009			FALSE	TRUE	Take families to enjoy Walk of the Stars, people have similar work lives; all classes working and living together	Working community of different races and backgroups whoc ontribute to the community. Work in maintenances of hotels, restaurants, theatres, car washes
42811010			FALSE	TRUE	Gay community and culture	
42811011			FALSE	TRUE	Strong tradition of activism, center of immigrant rights movement, large union membership, working class, high density of senior citizens	
42811012	Tarzana		FALSE	TRUE	mountain range, cultural community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811008	Likes community the way it is; Young daughter testified: "please don't split up our community, I love it the way it is."		FALSE							
42811009			FALSE							
42811010	Gay community and culture needs representation		FALSE							
42811011			FALSE				Concern that VRA might call for reduction of numbers of African Americans			
42811012			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811013	H	speaking on behalf of Watts community	FALSE				FALSE	Watts with South LA areas would be a problem for Watts because of the Watts undercount	
42811014	H	thai community	TRUE	Thai Community (flanked by "Thai Community Leaders"			TRUE	Hollywood Blvd., Normandy to Western Ave.; COI is below Hollywood Hills bounded by 10 and 110 including KoreaTown and Historic Filipino Town	
42811015	H		FALSE				TRUE	Glassell Park, Eco Park, Arwada Village, Cypress Park, Highland Park	
42811016	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	FALSE		
42811017	H		TRUE	works for El Centro de Pueblo w of la river in downtown. They are a comm service org that serves latinos.	Eco Park, Silverlake	Los Angeles	TRUE	Want to keep together w/in LA. , likes what have now (after asked)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811013			FALSE	TRUE	Central Ave. Corridor improvement, housing project, revitalization	
42811014	Watts		FALSE	TRUE	30,000 Thai are living in the community, lots of Thai businesses, hardworking, not familiar with political arena	
42811015			FALSE	TRUE	Children with special needs, school has 99% Latino kids, needs basic transportation	Children with special needs, school has 99% Latino kids, needs basic transportation
42811016	Long Beach		FALSE	TRUE	Hospital, college students' safety issues, gang problem, treatment facilities for addiction	
42811017			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811013	undercount in census of African Americans and Latinos (rumor that one district standing would be eliminated due to shrinkage in the population)		FALSE							
42811014			FALSE							
42811015			FALSE							
42811016			FALSE							
42811017	Pop was split into many districts in order to increase latino reps, but want to have together. Keep city of LA intact and the communities w/in it. Currently 4 ADs, 3 SDs, and 4 Council districts.		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811018	H	armenian-american	FALSE		Burbank	Los Angeles	TRUE	Wants Burbank included in eastern san Fernando valley; Glendale, Burbank, Sunland, Tujunga, and part of the valley.	
42811019	H	was born and went to school in LA; Elected city council member for hawthorne.	FALSE		Hawthorne	Los Angeles	TRUE	boundaries are LAX, 105, 405, 110	
42811020	H	student at USC	FALSE				TRUE	University Park...etc - please keep together - mutual reliance on USC in surrounding area; Keep university park, harbor heights, down to watts and down to mid Wilshire on the transit line connection.	
42811021	H		TRUE	works for non-profit service agencies			TRUE	35 CD needs to be maintained	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811018			FALSE	TRUE	diverse, highly Latino and Armenian - work well together; Also environmental issues, most of us need to use the metrolink for transportation. Pollution from 5 fwy gets stuck in Burbank, causing environmental problem.	
42811019			FALSE	TRUE	Transportation, churches, parks, work opportunities, mutual aid, schools (5 charter schools), and diversity.	
42811020			FALSE	TRUE	Students use local businesses, many don't have cars, so take public transport. Live, shop, and use services locally. Surrounding community aso depends on usc, for employment, theater programs, football games income goes back to community.	Students use local businesses, many don't have cars, so take public transport. Live, shop, and use services locally. Surrounding community aso depends on usc, for employment, theater programs, football games income goes back to community.
42811021			FALSE	TRUE	Have after school programs that help kids and avoid gangs. They are working to have a better community.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811018			FALSE							
42811019	Currently have limited resources. Representatives have worked really hard to establish relationships. To start over would be bad, so want to keep the same.		FALSE							
42811020			FALSE							
42811021	If restructure everything, will cause problems cuz ids will have to learn to work with new people. Have been helping teachers, etc.		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811022	H	Past 30 years, been working on census. Was former regional director of census in 1990/91.	TRUE	Chairman, Congress of Racial Equality of CA, sponsors annual MLK parade, worked on Census; sponsor annual MLK parade. Also emancipation day of Juneteenth.	works in 'SouthCentral area'	Los Angeles	TRUE	33, 35, 37 CD - multi-ethnic competitive COI; Three ethnicities: African-American, Hispanic, nonHispanic white, and also asian and others; please hold them together. Airport to coliseum, watts tower, inglewood, lawndale. Hollywood down to the beach.	
42811023	H		TRUE	City Lights - founder - routed in CD 35; Work with obesity and kids at risk. Partner with community to make a positive impact and overcome the things that can happen.			FALSE		
42811024	H	former gang member, now grad of USC	TRUE	Executive Director of Unity One, gang prevention or intervention?			TRUE	CDs 8, 10, 48, 52, 33, 37, 35	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811022			FALSE	FALSE		
42811023	Have started inner city marathon: south central, Inglewood, watts, etc. have screening of kids, becoming the largest in the city of LA in terms of inner city marathon. Inglewood has partnered with them.		FALSE	FALSE		
42811024			FALSE	TRUE	Improvements in crime, decreased murder rate significantly.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811022			FALSE							
42811023			FALSE							
42811024			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811025	H		FALSE		Upland	San Bernardino	TRUE	26 CD - carve out smaller CD for Upland, Rancho cucamonga, Claremont, Montclair, San Antonio heights.	
42811026	H	"a redistricting expert" and "he wrote a book about it" ; wrote book on Gerrymandering; Been working against gerrymandering for significant part of life, consulting in Az and other places.	FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811025			FALSE	TRUE		Transit (10, 210, 57 hwy), hope for expansion of gold line and improve mass transit local economy in common.
42811026			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811025	County line runs between some of the cities, but the county line is arbitrary. Local education (Claremont college, comm college) and transit, makes the co line not make sense.		FALSE				also could add Ontario to add pop			
42811026		Wants to talk about VRA: was intended to put end to racial Gerrymandering que keep blacks from electing rep of choice. But the pendulum swung too far, requiring racial gerrymandering to maximize representation, corrected by SCt. In shaw and Johnson.	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811027	H	in Spanish	FALSE				TRUE	Huntington Park, Maywood, Commerce, and Vernon - keep them together	
42811028	H	has been congressional candidate and hopes to do it again in 2012. CD 33	FALSE				TRUE	33rd CD - concerned with gerrymandering; Everything south of 10 is intact community, but north everthing broken up. Hollywood has two or three cong reps but should have only one. Need communities to north to be whole.	
42811029	H	ran 33 ran for congress in CD 33 and bd of sups 7th	FALSE				TRUE	48, 42 AD uneven width [here for AD 47 and 48. They are uneven in terms of width]	
42811030	H		TRUE	LA county, in the "west side"; Santa monica mountains to LAX, from beach to downtown LA.	Santa Monica?	Los Angeles	TRUE	4 mile walk - gave out handout	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811027			FALSE	TRUE	Immigrant comm that works very hard to get what didn't have in home countries spanish language very important for comm. Kids go to LASD schools.	Provide many jobs for comm, industrial area. Please don't separate. Provide a lot of work to communities and working families.
42811028			FALSE	FALSE		
42811029			FALSE	TRUE		
42811030			TRUE	TRUE	Many districts in this area, "political Yugoslavia". He goes through all the districts that touch here. Let "me vote with my neighbors" not citizens in far flung area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811027			FALSE							
42811028			FALSE				Thinks should start w/ blank slate.			
42811029	We hope when you draw lines, you'll keep us intact. Esp the 33, 35, 37, heavily black and latino, need these districts to keep representation for ethnicities.		FALSE							
42811030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811031	H		FALSE		CD 36	Los Angeles	TRUE	west side one district and separate from South Bay; CD 36, small spike goes into W LA. She's so far west that she's close to santa monica.	
42811032	H		TRUE	la brother crusade, org in south la; serve families, work on youth services, health, etc.; Q: service area? A: central ave (E), Crenshaw (W), adams (N), century blvd (S).			TRUE	Leave districts intact, # of reps not decrease, reps be representative	
42811034	H	Works on environmental issues with these communities.	FALSE		Burbank	Los Angeles	TRUE	Griffith Park neighborh: Hollywood Hills, Los Feliz, Silverlake, Atwater Village, Burbank [incl equestrian district that also abutts Griffith park] & several neighborhoods w/in (Hollywood Knoll, Coanga Terrace, Beachwood Canyon, Hollywood Land, the Oaks)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811031			FALSE	TRUE		
42811032			FALSE	TRUE	families serve are united by struggles, poverty, lack of ed and skills, housing, but still are engaged in search for long term viable alternatives. Want to expan opportunities for kids. They are active stakeholders.	
42811034			TRUE	TRUE	Similar intersts, traffic issues, funding for parks, if split neighborhoods makes it harder to get funding.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811031	Thinks south bay and west side are very different, businesses, families, etc. her reps think about south bay, since 90% of district is there.		FALSE							
42811032	Need rep to represent their needs; Leave district intact, number of reps not decrease, and reps be representative.	Census numbers are skewed	FALSE							
42811034	Please don't start w/ blank slate, because we've built comms and want to see that respect.		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811035	H		TRUE	Academia Avance - charter school in Highland Park	Highland Park	Los Angeles	FALSE	Please keep highland park with city of la; Keep NE LA communities w/ LA. COI: highland park, glassell park, cypress park, Mount Washington, _____, and Lincoln heights	
42811037	H	Working past 15 years to bring movie theater to watts; Also ed and training center, conf center, small bus dev and job creation. Working with reps of 35, 37 39, and AD and SD.	TRUE	representing Watts community	Watts community	Los Angeles	TRUE	needs CDs intact to implement what been working on 15 years, don't break us apart	
42811038	H		FALSE	Latino Coalition			TRUE	AD 46,47,48; SD 22,26; Areas need together: a)Downtown, LA live, staples center b)USC&Figueroa corridor c)Harvard heights, mid city, university park, N parts of South LA up 2 Century, pico union, eco park, highland park, eagle rock, cypress, boyle heights	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811035			FALSE	TRUE	Academia avance (charter school in hiland park); gold line connection to LA, the river and its parks, which are new investments.	
42811037			FALSE	TRUE		
42811038	also Korea Town; in current district tied to and nothing in common w/ Alhambra; need to merge communities, eg. need to tie Boyle Heights to certain portions of South LA, need representation.		FALSE	TRUE	Latino coalition: Mexican, maya Aztec, sal and guatamaltecoc	Imm comm w/ similarities and common interest in economics; We have the same issues, both bad and good, kids go to same schools, shop at same stores, we know each other.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811035	Currently split in SD 22, 24, 26; Common solutions at fed and state level that are helped by keeping us together w/LA, don't toss us to the side		FALSE							
42811037	Gong to break ground in next 4 months, Need current reps to service over 1 mill people in 5 mmil radius; Several schools, three housing projects.Please don't break us apart		FALSE							
42811038	Currently broken up into various districts, want to keep them together in same district. African American, Korean, latino brothers or sisters are all in this area together.		FALSE				Don't care who represents, but want to be good at representing the community. Would help their work if they were represented by same person. [many supporters in audience stood up]			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811039	H		FALSE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	pasadena, altadena, So Pasadena, La Canada, sierra madre, san marino, arcadia, Monrovia, duarte, bradbury; incl Glendale & Burbank for CD - share the airport. 710 fwy issue: So Pasadena & Alhambra involv -don't put them together, they are bitter enemies.	
42811040	H		FALSE		Pico Union	Los Angeles	TRUE	Boyle Heights, Cypress, Highland park, eagle rock, eco park, west lake, pico union, downtown, koreatown (majority latino),northern part of south la, harvard heights, mid city, university park	
42811041	H		TRUE	central American federation of LA; founded el salvador community corridor			TRUE	Pico union has a lot of central americans. Wilshire district, Harvard heights, mid-city, universal city, northern portion of south LA, korea town (70% Latino). Keep all these in same districts: AD 46, 47, SD 22, 27	
42811042	H		TRUE	Latino Coalition of LA			TRUE	COI: South LA, 48th Dist southern should be cut off at 80th street and be connected to 47th	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811039	Mt Wilson area include w/ us cause had station fire and cause Pasadena residents like to recreate there		FALSE	TRUE	first set of cities all involved in rose parade, have Metro gold line & 210 foothill fwy unites	
42811040			FALSE	TRUE	SES, school demog, social & econ development, historical relations; Have latino, black, Korean population. Want to stay together. Share celebrations: central American parade. Shop at same places. USC is our community. Comm has to struggle a lot.	
42811041			FALSE	TRUE	Numbers have gotten high, so want to be represented by people who care about their community. Central American parade is largest parade in LA.	
42811042	Pico Union, Westlake		FALSE	TRUE	More central American, Needs access to services, common community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811039			FALSE							
42811040	we rely on whole community to serve our needs, don't cut us up		FALSE							
42811041			FALSE			MB: what do you think about CD? Keep with 31				
42811042	More central American		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811044	H	ran for US Congress in CD 31 this last November	FALSE				TRUE	CD 31 iurrounded by CD 29—odd, should push forward and take a little of downtown and cut off some of the area south of downtown; should include Silverlake	
42811045	H		FALSE				FALSE		
42811046	H		FALSE		Eagle Rock	Los Angeles	TRUE	Western San Gabriel Valley surrounded by San Gabriel mountains, LA to west, San Bernardino county to the east; COI extends along foothill all the way to San Bernardino County	
42811047	H		TRUE	Treasurer of Salvadorian Community Corridor (talked most about how this group serves the community)			TRUE	Keep 46th district the way it is. We are a community.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811044	la sale park and eaglerock		FALSE	FALSE		
42811045			FALSE	FALSE		
42811046			FALSE	TRUE	Access to 5, 134, 210, 101, and 110; hospitals; all religions represented; suburbs of valley should be kept together; diversity; influx of young families; all languages; melting pot of area	Keep resources we have; economic situation is different from eastern valley and cultural differences also; newspapers are different
42811047			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811044			FALSE			CD 31 is confusing				
42811045			FALSE			CD 31 is gerrymandered—people don't know what district they are in. Wants geographical redistricting. Thinks we have to put being American first instead of ethnic.				
42811046	Keep resources we have; economic situation is different from eastern valley and cultural differences also; newspapers are different		FALSE							
42811047			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811048	H		FALSE				FALSE		
42811050	H		TRUE	Thai CDC (and lots of other API groups)			TRUE	Do not separate Thai Town from East Hollywood Business district	
42811051	H		TRUE	Exec director of Little Toyko Business Center (LTSC)			TRUE	Little Tokyo (Temple northern boundary – submitted map)	
42811052	H		TRUE	Filipino Workers Center			TRUE	Historic Filipino Town: Hoover on west, 101 on north, Beverly on South, and Glendale or Lucas on East...think it should extend to 3rd street on South	
42811053	H		TRUE	Chinese American Service Center			TRUE	Chinatown	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811048			FALSE	FALSE		
42811050			FALSE	TRUE	Thai Town: revitalize east Hollywood section; common economic and cultural activities	
42811051			TRUE	TRUE	Ethnic neighborhood, magnet to encourage businesses, repeat tourism, historic community, business redevelopment area, cultural community, churches, transit issues, gentrification	Ethnic neighborhood, magnet to encourage businesses, repeat tourism, historic community, business redevelopment area, cultural community, churches, transit issues, gentrification
42811052			FALSE	TRUE	Serves Filipino and Latino communities, Filipino cultural institutions, gateway community for Filipino American community since first half of 20th century; businesses are Filipino-owned and cater to Filipino community, housing projects	Filipino cultural institutions, gateway community for Filipino American community since first half of 20th century; businesses are Filipino-owned and cater to Filipino community, housing projects
42811053			FALSE	TRUE	Limited English speaking, low income, avails of health services at Center	Limited English speaking, low income, avails of health services at Center

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811048			FALSE				Latino community trying to live the American dream			
42811050			FALSE							
42811051			FALSE					TRUE	Map	
42811052			FALSE					TRUE	Map	
42811053	Established in 1930s; grandfather and father lived there; concentrated community, needs special services and social supports, family associations, resources to acculturate		FALSE					TRUE	Map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811054	H		TRUE	Exec director Korean American Coalition			TRUE	Korea Town: Boundaries of LA Police Olympic Station (larger than current Preserve America boundaries of: melrose to north, hoover to east, 10 fwy to south, and polymouth-krenshaw to west)	
42811055	H		TRUE	Asian Pacific American Legal Center			FALSE		
42811056	H	Thelesian High School football coach	FALSE				TRUE	Would like to see Downy become a part of Southgate's district	
42811057	H		FALSE				TRUE	Edge of Korea Town, historic Filipino Town, Macarthur park and Lafayette park	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811054			FALSE	TRUE	Limited English proficiency, split by 5 ADs, needs for afterschool services	
42811055			FALSE	FALSE		
42811056	Southgate		FALSE	TRUE	Play together, party together with Cutahay, Downy, and Linwood. Medical needs met by Downy and Linwood.	Would like to see Downy become a part of Southgate's district because they get a lot of funding. Wants schools to benefit from Downy and Linwood. Shopping in all these areas; all within walking or bus distance
42811057			TRUE	TRUE	Not far from downtown arenas, parks, young families, immigrant rights, multilingual education is important to community;	Upwardly mobile, all age groups and nationalities and languages; diversity is cornerstone of community; shared shopping, walking and dining; thriving small business base

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811054	Limited English proficiency, split by 5 ADs, needs for afterschool services		FALSE					TRUE	Map	
42811055			FALSE					TRUE	Submitting testimony in writing since she's limited as an org member	
42811056			FALSE							
42811057			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811058	H	Armenian, difficult English, lived in LA 45 years; Owner of a foundation, nonprofit; 90 years old	FALSE				TRUE	Little Armenia in Hollywood(?) center; do not divide Los Angeles; Keep East Hollywood unified	
42811059	H	Family creates and runs businesses and nonprofits	FALSE				TRUE	Unify downtown LA; AD with Dodgers' Stadium separate from Staples Center, LA Live is bad; needs to be unified; Include Dodgers' Stadium northward, downtown LA, southward to USC – arts/entertainment community	
42811060	H	Associated with #58 (who wanted to tell the Commissioner s he sponsored churches); Helps people out; musician	FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811058			FALSE	TRUE	Speaking Armenian, Armenian community; hungry people, Section 8 helped by his foundation; translator: he's done a lot of work for the East Hollywood community through his foundation, not only the Armenian community	
42811059			FALSE	TRUE	Unique area of LA, heart of LA, diversity, residential and business industries; local government, transit, retail/wholesale	Unique area of LA, heart of LA, diversity, residential and business industries; local government, transit, retail/wholesale
42811060			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811058			FALSE							
42811059			FALSE							
42811060			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811061	H		FALSE		East Hollywood	Los Angeles	TRUE	East Hollywood: (Thai Town, Boyle Heights, Silverlake) together; Other communities that are similar: Little Armenia, Silverlake, Boyle Heights, Highland Park	
42811062	H	Cook at Universal Studios	FALSE		North Hollywood	Los Angeles	TRUE	COI: Sun Valley, Latuna, North Hollywood, and Burank	
42811064	H		TRUE	ReDrawCA			FALSE		
42811065	H		FALSE				TRUE	Westchester Playa Del Rey (holds airport); 65,000 people: keep us together. One side of 405 fwy to Ocean to north around Jefferson and south border is LAX;	
42811066	H		TRUE	Community Outreach Director at Korean American Coalition			TRUE	Greater Korea Town area into one AD (LAPD's Olympic Stadium boundaries)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811061			FALSE	TRUE	Worries about the funding of the nonprofits in the area if they are broken up and the funding of the HUD/Section 8 housing, and the jails; family services	
42811062			FALSE	TRUE	Tourist destinations, jobs available, metro red line, common transit hub, linked by 134 and 170 freeway, businesses and shopping, new car saleslots, large number of public parks	
42811064	LA City has already drawn boundaries, so look at those boundaries		FALSE	FALSE		
42811065	Include with south, but either would work (go north/south, not east/west); thoroughfare for traffic going north/south; grocery stores, jobs...very few people go east/west		TRUE	TRUE		
42811066			FALSE	TRUE	Arts and recreation task force, lots of Korean community orgs, Korea Town is a center for Korean community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811061	In Little Armenia, there is a minimum security halfway house for nonviolent drug offenders, and another on 11th st and 12th ave; they may not get enough funding if they are separated		FALSE							
42811062	Burbank, North Hollywood have similar interests		FALSE							
42811064			FALSE				Can submit boundary files to staff for neighborhoods			
42811065	Problem: only elected official consistent across small community is a council member. 2 AD, 2 CD, 2SD, etc.		FALSE				Neighborhood councils were designed for COIs and we defined our neighborhoods and they are available at laneighborhoods.org			
42811066			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811067	H		TRUE	Outreach Director Korean American Coalition			TRUE	Greater Korea Town needs to be represented by one not five members	
42811068	H		FALSE				TRUE	Korea Town; "K Town" since we're not considering race; Boundaries: 101, 10, Krenshaw, and Hoover; Population: 250,000 (previous speaker answered at the mic)	
42811069	H	senior pastor	FALSE				TRUE	Greater South LA community (CD 33, 35, 37; SD 25, 26; AD 48, 51, 52); Keep the districts the way they are	
42811072	H		FALSE				TRUE	North-East of LA, Highland Park, Gisel Park, Lincoln Heights	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811067			FALSE	TRUE	Greater Korea Town has specific needs and needs to be kept whole	
42811068			FALSE	TRUE	Korean businesses and residences, Highrise and midrise rental housing; overcrowded units, with up to 10 people in one bedrooms; transit, traffic and parking issues, residential density, commercial activity, insufficient infrastructure	Shared social and economic interests: office and retail, restaurants, work, shop, eat
42811069			FALSE	FALSE		
42811072			FALSE	TRUE	urban suburbs, live-in communities, little businesses service them; people stay 2-3 generations in same house, people want to be around the people who are around where they live; don't connect people across the freeways; respect natural boundaries	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811067	Problem with current representation; tutorial centers had problems; LAPD Olympic Stadium area is divided into 5 AD and 2 SD		FALSE							
42811068	Dismembered, unempowered, not represented, split; Has enough people to be own district;		FALSE							
42811069		VRA came out of the church; 50+1 would reduce our representation	FALSE							
42811072			FALSE					TRUE	List of cities in her COI	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811073	H	Speaker 93 last night...ran out of time	FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	Long Beach is 500,000; East: San Gabriel River, border with LA, south: ocean, west: LA River	
42811074	H		FALSE				TRUE	El Serino community: boyle heights, downtown, eagle rock, glasel park..; Use city of LA boundaries	
42811076	H		FALSE		Del Rey (between Marina del Rey and Culver City)	Los Angeles	TRUE	Malibu down to El Segundo and parts of Culver City	
42811077	H		FALSE	Not part of an org; working parent			TRUE	Oil Heights, El Sereno, Lincoln Heights, Artistic District, Echo Park, Highland Park, Silverlake... others (left list)	
42811080	H	lived here 3 years.	FALSE				TRUE	park la brea, mid city area; Westwood to bev hills, park la brea, los feliz, Hollywood, Hollywood hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811073			FALSE	TRUE		
42811074			FALSE	TRUE		
42811076			FALSE	FALSE		
42811077			FALSE	TRUE	Affecting classrooms; son sat on classroom on floor	
42811080			FALSE	TRUE	Work in film industry. Several universities have internships out here. Tv/film, also Sherman oaks, studio city.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811073	Convoluted districts; over 19 languages spoken in LA Unified School District; Do not draw boundaries based on ethnicity		FALSE							
42811074	Currently they are with the San Gabriel valley and have no bonds or municipal services or socioeconomic interests in common		FALSE							
42811076			FALSE			Lines should be drawn based on parties or interest groups; recommends book: Gaming the Vote: Why Elections Aren't Fair and What We Can Do About It				
42811077	Community comes together supports each other		FALSE					TRUE	List of Cities	
42811080			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811082	H	ran for CD33 primary in 6/10; wants CRC to forget what everyone has said today. Responsibility is to protect democracy as we know it.	FALSE				FALSE		
42811083	H		FALSE				FALSE		
42811089	H	minister, comm activist, former resident of Inglewood.	FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811082			FALSE	FALSE		
42811083			FALSE	FALSE		
42811089	Inglewood; Don't divide the city.		FALSE	TRUE		Good housing stock. Religious groups, block clubs, small businesses bond comm together. Has LAX, econ engine for the city. 110, 405 freeway. Residents work in hotels. Have businesses. Cutting this area would be detrimental.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811082			FALSE			Responsibility is to protect democracy as we know it. Unfair districts, with up to 85% reg of one party and that's really bad. Imagine whether the person would be reelected while sitting in a prison, that would not be a district not to draw.	"all these people have gotten up today saying 'I want, I want, I want,' well I don't give a damn what they want"; basically, wants competitive districts			
42811083			FALSE			Thinks those "wanting less gridlock" would be a COI, so you could use the DVC score.	presents idea of "density-variation/compactness" measure. Only based on census data and geography. The findings were high scores equal higher elections. Higher scores equal less partisan bias	TRUE	has a ppt presentation	
42811089		w/in CD35 now, rumor that its 40K short according to Census, but historically has been undercount	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811090	H		FALSE				TRUE	10 to imperial, over to Figueroa corridor, little toward westerner?	
42811091	H		TRUE	Northeast business association			TRUE	NE area is highland park, etc	
42811093	H	community activist	FALSE				TRUE	Recommend Inglewood, lenox, del aire, west carson, and marina del rey	
42811095	H	quechua native american	FALSE				TRUE	Lincoln heights; SD24. Recommend combine Lincoln heights with SD22 that represents the rest of LA. He doesn't have common interest w/ eastern portion of current district.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811090			FALSE	TRUE	Also, active in local churches. Local churches have a lot of activities in the communities, especially around holidays. Reach out to kids w/ incarcerated parents.	Promote education, many kids from comm did attend USC and encouraged to move on to law school.
42811091			FALSE	TRUE		
42811093			TRUE	TRUE	Ymca is a center of community; Also does voter ed. Parks are important, Churches are in the comm.	
42811095			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811090			FALSE							
42811091	Want to keep the district the same. If change, have to reinvent the wheel. Satisfied how they are because have friendship with reps in their area.		FALSE							
42811093	She did not fill out a census form, so she is part of the undercount in her area.	don't want to give up one office. 'is my right to have someone who looks like me represent me'	FALSE				FW: can you tell me COI that ties Inglewood to Marina del rey? A: ymca, HS in gardena. Her current districts. The Airport ties them together. Neighborhood block. Polling inspector			
42811095			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811100	H		FALSE				TRUE	Topanga canyon	
42811101	H		FALSE		Topanga resident 1977	Los Angeles	TRUE	Malibu, Calabasas, pacific palisades, also have some common interests	
42811108	H		FALSE				TRUE	conservation foundation of santa monica mountains; SM mountains in LA and Ventura county w/ watersheds as well as Simi Mills and upper LA river systems be kept together. Greater SM mountains COI.	
42811110	H	Crenshaw; Lived there all his life. He's a letter carrier	FALSE		Crenshaw, whole life	Los Angeles	TRUE	Crenshaw, lamer park, hyde park, exposition, and baldwin hills. Hope keep CD35 together: Crenshaw	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811100			FALSE	TRUE	Is a state of mind, a way of life. Geographically, mentally, SM mountains, parks, beaches, Community club, womens club, chamber of commerce, historical society, (and several other)	Own news paper and own elementary school, post office, and zip code. Shopping centers, coffee shops, interested in land use, preservation of open space, water management. >65% adult pop has college degree.
42811101			FALSE	TRUE	Malibu, Calabasas, pacific palisades, also have some common interests, Yoga classes for senior citizens and preschool. Theater groups.	12K school kids from LA come to do theater classes. Public and private schools. Also public universities, comm colleges, high schools and middle schools in nearby communities.
42811108			FALSE	TRUE	Watersheds, water quality, wildfire, transportation corridors, scenic/rec opps, wildlife. For included cities: see his written testimony.	
42811110			FALSE	TRUE	Comm concerns: jobs. Schools, health care, econ security for family.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811100			FALSE							
42811101			FALSE							
42811108			FALSE					TRUE	Written testimony	
42811110			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811111	H		FALSE				TRUE	unincorporated area b/w la and Compton, called willowbrook. Also lived in hawthorn, Compton (?); want to keep 37 together.	
42811112	H		TRUE	watts labor comm action committee, exist as result of disparities prior to the 1965 revolt			TRUE		
42811113	H		FALSE				TRUE	Proposed creation of central American cultural district. b/w 6th and 3rd, there are 5K people	
42811117	H		FALSE				TRUE	Echo park; silverlade, Filipino town, also boyle heights are linked via schools to echo park area.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811111			FALSE	TRUE	Most important thing in comm is health. Sufer from diabetes, high BP, pediatric illness. MLK hospital is a MAC, provides many services, going to be a major hospital. Ward commission post riot was lack of major health care center.	
42811112			FALSE	TRUE	Watts is hypercenter of highest infant mortality in the US. Now starting to move people from dependency on social services. High incarceration and unemployment, poorest education, make it difficult to move people off social services.	
42811113			FALSE	FALSE		
42811117			FALSE	TRUE		Is the most diverse little hamlet in LA. Have everything there there. State highway 22 cuts comm in half. This is a problem because no govt entity will take responsibility for it.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811111			FALSE							
42811112	Thinks Watts benefits from having two districts.		FALSE			Noted that one of the Commissioners has racially profiled people w/o any resistance from rest of commission by asking certain people about if they had attended a particular rally. And you should not let that happen.				
42811113			FALSE							
42811117	Divided b/w several districts. Makes it hard for provision of funding and services;		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811118	H		FALSE				TRUE	Pasadena, UCLA, work on west side. Main concern with NE part of city. Lots fo districts that dip into east la and into san Gabriel valley. Eagle rock shouldnot be in Pasadena district.	
42811121	H		FALSE				TRUE	historic Filipino town. Temple st used to be corridor until freeway came.	
42811124	H	Pomona, works at superior court	FALSE		Pomona		TRUE	Foothill blv, 57, city southern border are where san Bern and LA county meet. Want to be lump w/ montcliar and Ontario. Concerned that if lumped w/ Claremont, will lose funding they need for infrastructure.	
42811129	H	on Neighborhood council, neighborhood watch captain, and more community stuff that she does.	FALSE		LA born and raised	Los Angeles	TRUE	Glaso park area; Atwater, echo park, glaso park, cypress park, and ???	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811118	Sothern tahanga belongs with Burbank/glendale, not Lancaster or Simi Valley; Westside shouldn't go to Oxnard either. Monterey park, Alhambra		FALSE	FALSE		
42811121			FALSE	TRUE	Need everything under one roof to make it a new cultural tourism area. CD33 has Bangladeshi community.	
42811124			FALSE	TRUE	Largely working and latino county. Large parole population and transient population. Low income, many with cirmnal records.	Concerned to be with diamond bar, walnut or Claremont, because they are different SES. Claremont is upper class white. Pomona: hopstial, college, city hall, FD, PD, and court.
42811129			TRUE	TRUE	She's seen it look really pretty and look really ugly and now want to make better. She doesn't know who to contact for help because of cutting.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811118			FALSE							
42811121	Concerned about gerrymandering in CD31 in the past. Look at other boundaries in the city and can make it a lot easier.		FALSE							
42811124	it helps in representation because if lumped in w/ someplace rich, may look at average income and not see that pamona is not well off.		FALSE							
42811129	Being united will benefit comm.		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42811130	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep culver city, Baldwin vilaage Windsor hills, and ??/?; probably go east to Figueroa and west to marina del rey.	
42811131	H	downtown la neighborhood council for 5 years	FALSE				TRUE	Common boundaries: Chinatown, olvera, city west, little Tokyo, southern boundary is Washington blvd; Historic council neighborhood council. Only about 50K residents. Normal COI are transport corridors, esp Figueroa corridor.	
42811132	H		TRUE	comm legal resource center, exec dir.			TRUE	Talk about crime in LA. Agencies that cover the city and county: Gardena, Inglewood, long beach, Rosecranz and central, they meet to study crime. Noticed that sherriff not being proactive in giving them data.	
42911001	H		FALSE		Eaglerock, Los Angeles		TRUE	inclusion of federal public lands in CD...usually added on at end to make continiguous; not good for those concerned with of lands; eg: San Gabriel Mountains, Angeles National Forest + San Bernardino Mts - get water - COI is those concerned with lands	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42811130			FALSE	TRUE	all small comms, surrounded by LA on all sides. Suburban w/in city. Higher than av income and lower crime rates than surrounding areas.	Was created for people of color who were coming out of poverty but were prevented from living in other mid class areas.
42811131			TRUE	TRUE	Echo park, east Hollywood, also areas of common interest that should be intact. Instead of transport being boundaries, they area actualy the centers of the comms rather than the edges, esp Figueroa blvd.	
42811132	Notice CD37 and how they can better serve in areas other than Compton. Fewer crimes solved in Compton.		FALSE	FALSE		
42911001			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42811130			FALSE							
42811131			FALSE							
42811132			FALSE							
42911001			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911002	H	born in pasadena, freq visitor to angeles forest	FALSE				TRUE	pasadena, glendale, and La Pinada have a COI based on connection to SG mountains, so put these in same district as mountains - water, environment, recreation, fire hazard	
42911003	H	RN	FALSE		Alhambra		TRUE	communities of SG valley form a COI and need representation	
42911004	H - in Spanish		FALSE		East LA		FALSE		
42911005	H		FALSE				FALSE		
42911006	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep LA foothills from La Canada to ____ La Verne? Together	
42911007	H		FALSE		La Puente		TRUE	keep La Puente with Covina, West Covina	
42911008	H		FALSE		Linda____?		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911002			FALSE	FALSE		
42911003			FALSE	TRUE	great school system, health system (hospitals, clinics), senior citizens centers, want water district shared and kept as one	
42911004	East LA, Lincoln Heights,etc		FALSE	TRUE	bind by celebration of Indendence Mexican Day, Gold Line (bus?), highways intersect at E LA, two hospitals (need the services of these hospitals - they offer services for un-insured), go to ___ for shopping and special needs	working class
42911005	Pasadena, Altadena		FALSE	TRUE	hard to tell Pasadena and Altadena apart	starting to see loss of services, rely on private groups - concerned
42911006			FALSE	TRUE	recreation, environmental issues (water and air), freeway 210	
42911007	Covina, West Covina provide services, entertainment, shopping to La Puente		FALSE	TRUE	tied to Covina and West Covina	lower class
42911008			FALSE	TRUE	cultural links	JPL is jewel north of 210 freeway

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911002			FALSE							
42911003	need representation to support those interests/services		FALSE							
42911004			FALSE							
42911005			FALSE							
42911006	need own district to allow voices to be heard and not drowned out by areas to south		FALSE							
42911007	want to stay together to have voice		FALSE							
42911008			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911009	H		FALSE		Pasadena		TRUE	keep west san Gabriel valley communities together as much as possible - bordered by SG mtns and Puente Hills	
42911010	H		TRUE	LCV Environmental Fund			TRUE	environmental COI in San Gabriel Basin, look at this vis-à-vis your mapping	
42911011	H		TRUE	Chinese American Citizens Alliance			TRUE	see handout	
42911012	H	retired fac - UC SD,	TRUE	letter from Mayor of Monterey Park	Monterey Park - highest concentration of Asian-American		TRUE	support Chinese-American citizens alliance proposal; draw boundaries to include communities around us that share similar needs	
42911013	H		FALSE				TRUE	supports Chinese-american citizens alliance proposals - need district that doesn't dilute representation of Chinese community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911009			FALSE	TRUE	geography and diversity (linguistically esp)	diverse economically
42911010	foothills of SG valley, and SG Valley itself - has a COI; foothills along I-210 corridor		FALSE	TRUE	San Gabriel Valley Contamination - shows map; highest amount of superfund sites in any area	
42911011			FALSE	FALSE		
42911012	Monterey Park		FALSE	FALSE		
42911013	Monterey Park		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911009			FALSE							
42911010	Q: better to have more reps or one rep? A: one rep because otherwise reps spread too thin	yes in Answer...wh at did he say?	FALSE					TRUE	ppt	
42911011			FALSE					TRUE	several pages	
42911012		yes - need this district to comply Q: have asians ran that couldn't win? A; Yes and gave two examples (Mike Tin from South Pasadena..w ill send other one)	FALSE							
42911013			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911014	H		FALSE				TRUE	unite public land in SG mts with communities that use them the most	
42911015	H	works at big hospital	FALSE		LA (North West) - mount washington		TRUE	keep these communties together for more effective representation	
42911016	H	works on protection of SG mts	FALSE		Highland Park		TRUE	unification of COI along SG River and mts use for recreation, river follows 605 freeway	
42911020	H		FALSE		diamond bar, walnut (where live?)		TRUE	Walnut currently split; APA population high here; need rep to fight for change and improve schools	north OC and LA Co border
42911021	H		FALSE		walnut		TRUE	COI out of the cities below - enough for AD Q: what about mountain range between brea and chino hills? A; doesn't reduce strength of COI	
42911022	H		TRUE	Commissioner Rowland Heights	Rowland Heights		TRUE	SG Valley has economic and social sphere of influence, along 57 and I-10; doesn't connect o SG area of influence	
42911023	H		FALSE		Walnut??		TRUE	keep Korean communities in tact in districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911014	Bellmonte, South alonte		FALSE	TRUE	San Gabriel River - great rec opportunity for communities below and they have no say in how land is managed	
42911015	Boyle Heights, lincoln heights, echo park, ... (will forward list)	ceasar chavez st	FALSE	TRUE	health access, pollution	
42911016	West Covina, Covina, La Puente		FALSE	TRUE		
42911020	rowland heights, diamond bar, walnut		FALSE	TRUE	unique educational COI showing API measurement (?), API or APA? community needs to improve school performance	
42911021	walnut, Diamond Bar, Chino Hills, City of Industry, Brea, Rowland Heights		FALSE	TRUE	share shopping, religious worship, live and dine together	
42911022			FALSE	TRUE	universities, hospitals etc. ; chinese-american community	
42911023	fullerton, brea, diamond bar [should be walnut?], chino hills, rowland heights		FALSE	TRUE	Korean Community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911014	if communities united with mountains they use, then will have a say in its management		FALSE							
42911015			FALSE							
42911016	if with land in districts can have a say in how the mt range is managed and use, and about its environmental protection.		FALSE							
42911020			FALSE					TRUE	maps w/ schools indicated	
42911021			FALSE							
42911022			FALSE							
42911023			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911024	H		TRUE	represents chinese-american association			TRUE	keep communities together in East SG area - Q; like how is now? A: together now and like representation	
42911025	H		FALSE		San Gabriel Valley		TRUE	SG Valley - the way the district is drawn now is good.	
42911026	H		FALSE		chino hills		TRUE	chino hills, brea, walnut heights, diamond bar form COI	
42911027	H		TRUE	rowland Hills chinese-american association	rowland heights		TRUE	stay in district together	
42911028	H		TRUE	korean community of Inland Empire			TRUE	Korea Town in LA is split up into districts - don't do this in Inland Empire	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911024	chino, chino hills, diamond bar, rowland heights, walnut		FALSE	TRUE	chinese american community shares across these cities	
42911025	brea, CofIndustray, rowland heights, Chino Hills		FALSE	FALSE		
42911026	Fullerton, Brea, Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar, Chino Hills, Walnut		FALSE	TRUE	he works, eats, shops, kids to school in these communities	
42911027	Rowland Heights, diamond bar, walnut, hacienda heights		FALSE	TRUE	services, work of association	
42911028	walnut, diamond bar, chino hills, rowland heights, Brea, Fullerton (not as much Chinese, but many Korean in Fullerton)		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911024			FALSE							
42911025			FALSE					TRUE	map proposal	
42911026			FALSE							
42911027			FALSE							
42911028			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911030	H		FALSE				FALSE		
42911031	H	corporate lawyer	FALSE				TRUE	SG Valley has largest chinese-american community	
42911032	H		TRUE	American Charities Business Association			TRUE	keep AD as one district	
42911033	H		FALSE		Alhambra		TRUE	49 AD is perfect, SD is a nightmare - 49 +58 AD would make perfect SD; use Alhambra & other? school district boundaries; if 32 CD represent SG valley area would be great	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911030	Azuza- Latino Sect 2? Temple City, Monterey Park, San Merino, Arcadia - chinese Sect 2?		FALSE	FALSE		
42911031			FALSE	TRUE	education system, after school programs are good	this area draws businesses, lowers unemployment rate
42911032			FALSE	FALSE	...	
42911033			FALSE	TRUE	49 AD cities - all belong to YMCA, serve on its board	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911030		Section 2 districts - mentioned about certain districts - Azuza has potential Sec 2 violation, also in SG Valley have chinese-american district	FALSE							
42911031			FALSE							
42911032			FALSE							
42911033			FALSE					TRUE	school districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911035	H		TRUE	Diamond Bar City Council, 16 years, current & former President, Chair, Vice Chair of whole list of govt organizations (League of Cities, etc)	Diamond Bar		TRUE	Diamond Bar is a main intersection and shares lot w/ nearby cities in LA, SB, OC, Riverside Counties (Four Corners region where 4 counties come together); 2 major hwys intersect city & constantly working with these cities and counties to reach solutions.	
42911037	H		TRUE	mayor of Diamond Bar, and on other govt councils/authorities			TRUE	county lines are good, BUT drawn 1889 - his COI crosses (blends into) co lines (SE most corner of LA Co - edge of S Ber Co & OC ; see city list; Q: where go to build SD/CD? A: use transp corrid's	4 counties (LA, SB, OC, Riverside) area - '4 corners transportation advisory'/supported by SCAG 18 yrs)
42911038	H		FALSE		Whittier		TRUE	Currently Whittier is 3 AD, 3 CD, 1 SD - would like Whittier to not be divided; Whittier w/ other LA Co cities of La Mirada, La Habra Heights, Hacienda Heights, Diamond Bar; keep cities and counties in tact	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911035	chino hills, walnut, Rowland Hts, Brea with Diamond Bar in same district so have reps who live there and experience things and represent better; open space is tres hermanos, shell oil, and boy scout areas		FALSE	TRUE	share hwys -4 corners transportation policy committee works w/ SCAG; D Bar shares social/economic interests with cities in different counties; wildlife corridor conservation JPA	
42911037	diamond bar shares probs w/ chino hills (SB Co), Brea, Yorba Linda (both in Orange County) & Brea is essent downtown of D Bar; also put in district w/ rowland heights, la habra, la habra heights, whittier, hacienda heights	57/60 interchange - big fix - need to correct transportation error	TRUE	TRUE	trucks Port to IE warehouses go up I-710, 605 & to 60,10, have to fight for transport funds (get short-changed) in Four Corners Transp Area; transportation, neighborhood, educ, economic issues cross county lines, need rep to fight across co lines	
42911038			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911035	cities in 4 corners area freq left out of meaningful planning, such as planning for hwy 57/60 interchange (most congested in nation)		FALSE							
42911037			FALSE							
42911038			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911039	H		TRUE	whittier city council member; speaking as private citizen this evening	whittier		TRUE	Whittier split 3 CD/AD; whittier should be together - have one HS district; add Santa Fe Springs, La Mirada, Le Habra Heights even though runs into OC...follow Wildlife Corridor and get AD from 70's 80's	
42911040	H - 2:06 on tape		FALSE		Sierra Madre		TRUE	link Sierra madre with pasadena & altadena	
42911041	H		FALSE		vice mayor of San Gabriel		TRUE	AD 49 is good now. SD 21 doesn't represent West San Gabriel Valley, two Senators representing West San Gabriel Valley; CD goes into Burbank and Glendale - not part of West San Gabriel Valley in his view...get map of what the valley is generally	
42911042	H		FALSE		whittier, kids went to Le Habra for school		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911039	Whittier with Santa Fe Springs, La Mirada, Le Habra Heights, & Brea (OC)	605 freeway on west all the way to 57, then southeast, all the way to Orange Co & into 91 fwy - significant wildlife corridor	FALSE	TRUE	Whittier is COI same newspaper, high school district, fire depart, etc shared; also COI of chino hills/puente hills wildlife corridor	
42911040			FALSE	TRUE	frequent these cities for shopping, restaurants, and all hike in mts around there, share transport line - Gold line and 210 freeway	support each other socially, culutrally, economically
42911041			FALSE	FALSE		
42911042	whittier with Le Habra and le Habra Heights		FALSE	TRUE	police force for area, very similar	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911039			FALSE							
42911040			FALSE							
42911041			FALSE							
42911042			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911043	H		TRUE	promotes Redistricting CA			FALSE		
42911044	H		FALSE			North OC	TRUE	his community crosses county lines (see cities below) - keep them together (thinks that's way it is now)	
42911045	H - in Spanish		FALSE		Van Nuys		TRUE	keep these communities together. Q; together now? A: yes	
42911046	H - in Spanish		FALSE		Boyle Heights		TRUE	keep areas together	
42911047	H	professor, Cal Poly Pomona	FALSE		Pomona		TRUE	Pomona, with Montcliar, Ontario, Chino ; notes that only one person mentioned Pomona	
42911048	H		FALSE		diamond bar		TRUE	58, 60 AD splits up city of Whittier; concerned with representation of SG Valley - it's a big economy and doesn't have representation	
42911049	H		FALSE		La Crescenta		TRUE	he has proposals for AD, SD, CD, display with three sheets	
42911050	H		FALSE		El Monte, SG Valley 85 yrs		TRUE	keep El Monte and South El Monte together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911043			FALSE	FALSE		
42911044	La Palma, Buena Park, Artesia, Cerritos, fullerton, anaheim, Cypress		FALSE	FALSE		
42911045	Van nuys, Panama city, Northridge, Valley Glenn, Lake Balboa,	I-5, 101, 118 highways	FALSE	TRUE	share university (CSU Northridge), shopping, ed system,	
42911046	list?		FALSE	TRUE	lots given - things share	
42911047			FALSE	TRUE	university, youth sports, etc...	
42911048			FALSE	TRUE	education, transportation, traffic congestion	
42911049			FALSE	FALSE		
42911050			FALSE	TRUE	do things together in geography, schools, education,	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911043			FALSE			concerned with special districts - our constituency rights at that level...wants junior commission to look at them				
42911044			FALSE							
42911045			FALSE							
42911046			FALSE							
42911047			FALSE							
42911048	need voice on issues above by SG Valley		FALSE							
42911049			FALSE					TRUE	brought board with three pages and asked to leave it	
42911050			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911051	H	city council member Ponoma, though speaking as private citizen	FALSE		Ponoma		TRUE	Ponoma with Chino, Montclair, ontario - ok to cross counties; Q: what add? A: Claremont, though they may not want it	
42911052	H		TRUE	soccer league - region ____, volunteer coordinator	Montclair		TRUE	keep together 4 cities	
42911053	H		TRUE	Boyscounts of America (BSA) - which has been drawing lines for years, of 'councils'	La Canada- Flintridge		FALSE		
42911054	H		FALSE				TRUE	doesn't like idea of foothill district cause not politically competitive, draw districts that go N/S	
42911055	H		FALSE		West Hollywood		TRUE	keep two cities together; Q: add numbers? A: go west	
42911056	H		TRUE	Los Angeles Chinese- American association - San Gabriel Valley area.			TRUE	keep AD 60(?) as is	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911051			FALSE	FALSE		
42911052	Pomona, Ontario, Chino, Montclair		FALSE	TRUE	sees bond in soccer league	
42911053			FALSE	FALSE		
42911054	Altadena, South Pasadena, Pasadena; Q: Alhambra? A: no, not like South Pasadena		FALSE	TRUE	strong historical connection/common interests, rivers through, lake...	
42911055	Beverly Hills and West Hollywood has a bustling commonality		FALSE	TRUE	Iranian, ____, LBGT,	entertainment industries - performing arts, visual arts; tourism, restaur, hotels, small biz
42911056			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911051			FALSE							
42911052			FALSE							
42911053			FALSE							
42911054			FALSE							
42911055			FALSE							
42911056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911057	H	Eugene Lee	TRUE	APALC, CAPAFR			TRUE	2 COIs presented tonight: 1) Temple, etc 2) Rowland Heights, etc;	
42911058	H	veteran	FALSE				FALSE		
42911059	H	school board member?	FALSE				FALSE		
42911060	H	reading testimony of employee from CSU Fullerton	TRUE	CAPAFR			TRUE	COI in East SG Valley	
42911061	H	Dan Ichonose	TRUE	Demographer at APALC			TRUE	two distinct COI: West SG Valley COI and East SG Valley COI can each be districts, tho can't be combined; one (or both?) is enough for an AD	
42911064	H	run vocational college in Ponoma area	FALSE		Ponoma, 32 yrs		TRUE	Ponoma has little in common with Diamond Bar, Walnut; please go east and not west, east to include Montclair, Ontario, Chino; Q: extend where?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911057			FALSE	TRUE		
42911058	Alham, Mont Park, Rosemead, San Gabriel, San Marino, Temple City, Arcadia		FALSE	TRUE	gateway to immigrants, LEP; common educational interests/needs;	
42911059	H Heights, Rowland H, Diamond Bar, Walnut		FALSE	TRUE	AAPI community: similar education aspirations & need representation	
42911060	H Hts, R Hts, Diamond Bar, Walnut		FALSE	TRUE	list...	
42911061			FALSE	TRUE		
42911064			FALSE	TRUE	Ponoma - 70% Latino; large # homeless, stepchild of LA, because of geography, doesn't fit in with LA or Inland Empire; share more with Montclair, Ontarion, Chino;	extend to working class areas, primarily Latino

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911057	strive to keep these communities together to preserve the voice of asian-americans	Asian-American VRA district in West SG Valley	FALSE			working with African-American and Latino communities - partly why not proposing till May 26		TRUE	supporting materials - maps, will also present map proposal on May 26.	
42911058	community kept in same AD, SD, CD		FALSE							
42911059			FALSE					TRUE	handout with details	
42911060			FALSE							
42911061		consider VRA for asian-americans in SG Valley	FALSE					TRUE	demo stats	
42911064			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911070	H	newspaper publisher, attorney - serving african-american community	FALSE		Pasadena		TRUE	create district along 210 corridor to form a diverse multi-ethnic community	
42911071	H	mayor of Pasadena	FALSE		Pasadena		TRUE	Glendale, Burbank, and Pasadena in one district	
42911072	H		TRUE	San Gabriel USD	San Gabriel		TRUE	make sure given School District is entirely within one AD, SD, CD; treat small school districts like ours as COI, 4.5 miles square	
42911073	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep SG Valley together and Not with Pasadena, Glendale	
42911074	H		FALSE		Monetbello - oldest Armenian community in California (dates back to 1930's) - 10-11K armenians		TRUE	SD stretches to Huntington Park - Montebello and Huntington Park do NOT share interests, CD stretches to Pomona (along Higway 65) and Montebello and Pomona don't share interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911070	Altadena		FALSE	TRUE	Altadena - __% African-Americans	
42911071			FALSE	TRUE	history of long connection: share police, fire, airport	
42911072			FALSE	FALSE		
42911073			FALSE	TRUE	San Gabriel Valley has common concerns, highway congestion, rail traffic going through with imports from port, stops road traffic.	
42911074	Montebello, Pico Rivera, Whittier		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911070	in Pasadena, left out of representation because clustered in Altadena/Pasadena; chinese americans go to Pasadena City College.		FALSE					TRUE	map and numbers as well	
42911071			FALSE					TRUE		
42911072			FALSE							
42911073			FALSE							
42911074			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911079	H		FALSE		Sierra Madre		TRUE	Seirra Madre is added to add 11K you need to make a district, and that hasn't served Sierra Madre well; would like an east-west divide	
42911080	H	student at CSU LA	FALSE		Montclair		TRUE	montclair, claremont, La Verne, San Dimas together	
42911081	H	Mt Sac, now CSU LA	FALSE				TRUE	area around LA, SB, OC	
42911082	H		FALSE		Montclair		TRUE	list of communities together	
42911085	H		TRUE	SG Valley Council of Governments			TRUE	SG Valley has 20% of LA Co residents, pasadena and pomona are book ends of community, 40% of LA Co's incorporated areas, also much of unincorporated area; gerrymandered: should have 2.4 CD's, and have 5 CDs	
42911086	H	mayor	TRUE	mayor of South Pasadena			TRUE	put contiguous cities in one district (explains based on history of valley); his town gets lost with big cities, good to be with small cities	
42911087	H		FALSE		Covina		TRUE	include Azuza, Irwindale, Baldwin Park; no reason to leave Azuza out	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911079			FALSE	TRUE	share water with Pasadena and Arcadia, school system with pasadena, transit defines area - transportation hub along I-210 where regional housing needs assessment - anticipated that growth will follow transportation	
42911080			FALSE	TRUE	cities share....	
42911081	Brea, Chino Hills, rowland heights		FALSE	FALSE		
42911082	upland, rancho C, claremont, etc		FALSE	FALSE		
42911085			FALSE	FALSE		
42911086	list...		FALSE	TRUE	Asian-american community has been ___diffused? Politically	
42911087			FALSE	TRUE	hike in Monrovia, Glendora, enjoy foothills, enjoy hiking, shop in East lake in Covina	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911079			FALSE							
42911080			FALSE							
42911081			FALSE							
42911082			FALSE							
42911085			FALSE							
42911086			FALSE							
42911087			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911088	H		TRUE	pasaden USD, board member	pasadena		TRUE	burbank, glendale, pasadena - bridge community; don't split us off with parts of LA that have nothing in common with	
42911091	H		TRUE	council member? Arcadia	Arcadia		TRUE	Arcadia cut in half and under 100K pop, please keep cities in tact especially if under 100K, keep valley in tact	
42911092	H		FALSE				TRUE	think about city of industry plus For asian district, also makes proposal for adding two Latino communities into CD.	
42911093	H		FALSE		Azusa		TRUE	keep bedroom communities along foothills, __ corridor (arcadia to claremont) together	
42911094	H		FALSE		Glendora		TRUE	210 corridor; critique of proposal about mts with communities near by	
42911098	H		TRUE	CA Contract Cities, former president	Duarte		TRUE	I-210 freeway is natural corridor - use it from La C Flintridge to Claremont; advocates for foothill district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911088			FALSE	TRUE	three cities work effectively as group	
42911091			FALSE	TRUE	share resources: police, fire, water	
42911092			FALSE	FALSE		
42911093	Glendora, Azusa, Covina (more?)		FALSE	TRUE	Glendor and Covina share three school districts; cities also share water	
42911094			FALSE	FALSE		
42911098			FALSE	TRUE	cities towns on these corridor shares interests/concerns/issues; balance between needs/issues of communities and forming districts based on race;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911088			FALSE							
42911091			FALSE							
42911092			FALSE							
42911093			FALSE							
42911094			FALSE							
42911098			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911102	H		FALSE		Hacienda Heights - unincorporated township		TRUE	combine H Hts with areas with common concerns/interests; take cross roads from 605 and 60, then take 650 south, then East to 57; Puente Hills is part of it	
42911105	H		FALSE				TRUE	five corners of states - use that with computer program; no ethnic districts!	
42911106	H		FALSE				FALSE		
42911108	H		FALSE		Claremont		TRUE	don't cross LA/SB Co border!	
42911109	H - testimony read by 108		FALSE		Claremont		TRUE	supports long rectangle - foothill district	
42911110	H		FALSE		burbank		TRUE	keep three cities together, if have to add, Griffith Park?	
42911112	H		FALSE		La Canada - Flintridge		TRUE	La Cunata over to Claremont, 210 corridor	
42911115	H	has a soccer school	FALSE		West Covina		TRUE	keep pomona, ontario, montclair and chino together; we'll do our part to improve things if you give us this	
42911118	H		FALSE		La Cresenta		TRUE	La Cresenta and La Canada in same district, cut off from SG Valley by mountains	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911102			FALSE	TRUE	share police, fire, school district, hiking/beautiful hill area	
42911105			FALSE	FALSE		
42911106	Artesia, Cerritos, La Palma, Cypress (cross county boundaries)		FALSE	TRUE	coyote problem, Asian-Indians (little india)...gives stats on other asian groups	
42911108			FALSE	TRUE	cultural divide with neighboring S Bern county, Clarmont residents don't go there, go to Pasadena	
42911109	Claremon, La Verne, Pasadena		FALSE	TRUE	common interests - university at core of each city, highway, etc	
42911110	Glendale, Burbank, Pasadena		FALSE	TRUE	same interests, needs, and have cooperated well for a long time	
42911112			FALSE	TRUE	share suburbs, trail system, highway 210, hazards; 13 wildlife conservancies in area	
42911115			FALSE	TRUE	90% hispanic, want to improve parks	
42911118			FALSE	TRUE	share: forest fire, water	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911102			FALSE							
42911105			FALSE			use computer program to draw districts				
42911106			FALSE					TRUE	stats	
42911108			FALSE							
42911109			FALSE							
42911110			FALSE							
42911112			FALSE							
42911115			FALSE							
42911118			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911119	H		FALSE		Pasadena		TRUE	gerrymandering in Pasadena, explains screwy district boundary (La Canada, Montrose, swing over to Sbern, crossing cos, far away) take into consideration COI keep naturally connected cities together; want Pasadena with its neighbors! Burbank, Arcadia	
42911123	H		FALSE		South Pasadena		FALSE		
42911129	H	asian, identify as gay	FALSE		Arcadia	Arcadia	TRUE	Arcadia with San Gabriel, Alhambra; supports APALC proposals	
42911130	H		TRUE	Greater LA AARP Chinatown chapter	Alhambra		FALSE		
43011001	H		FALSE		westlake village	in LA Co part, westlake village is in Ventura county too	TRUE	view conejo valley (see four cities below) - submitted map with proposed senate district; keep thousand oaks/conejo valley with simi valley!	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911119			FALSE	FALSE		
42911123			FALSE	FALSE		
42911129			FALSE	TRUE	Chinese Americans support working together and hopefully gay community as well	
42911130			FALSE	TRUE	translation, transportation, transcendence (for seniors)	
43011001	calabas, agora, thous oaks, westlake		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911119			FALSE							
42911123			FALSE			compactness, no slivers, etc				
42911129			FALSE							
42911130			FALSE							
43011001			FALSE					TRUE	senate proposed map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011002	H	insurance agent	FALSE		tarzana		TRUE	SF Valley as 1CD: 20-80K towns, not incorp; west valley, east valley - separated by 405 freeway; districts on his map handout/exhibits; 31 neighborhood councils in SF Valley - Q: are they real neighborhoods?A: yes; Don't chop up valley like 10 yrs ago;	
43011003	H		TRUE	Glendale Unified SD - board member			TRUE	Glendale, Burbank, La Crescenta, La Canada, Pasadena, South Pasadena	
43011004	H		TRUE	president of non-profit that promotes good gov of SF V			TRUE	Ass members do not live in SF Valley; 1.8 mill people in Valley- make 2 SD and then 4 AD	
43011005	H		TRUE	valley industry and commerce org - president			TRUE	SF valley: ; has handout with COI - wants them represented; part of SF Valley is LA Valley - bordered on east by mulholland drive and mt on east	
43011006	H	lawyer	FALSE			west SF V	TRUE	proposing same as 1991 maps; keep Ventura co together - make its own CD, separate conejo valley and put with SF Valley - have similarities (he said people said); doesn't include Camarillo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011002	SF Valley		TRUE	FALSE		
43011003			FALSE	FALSE		
43011004			FALSE	FALSE		
43011005			FALSE	TRUE	two univ., major airport, etc; if valley was city would be 4th largest city in US	
43011006			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011002	405 is dividing line		FALSE							
43011003			FALSE							
43011004			FALSE							
43011005			FALSE					TRUE	map	
43011006			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011007	H		TRUE	Castaic Lake Water District			TRUE	keep water district in one district	
43011008	H		FALSE				TRUE	SF Valley is a COI (separated by 405); east Ventura linked to west SF valley; SF Valley once voted to be own city called the "Valley"; Q: what r boundaries of SF V? A: county line on west, 405 on east	
43011009	H		FALSE		thousand oaks		TRUE	thousand oaks area is a COI, currently has two CDs..no reason for this; san___ mt preserve.	
43011010	H		TRUE	husband was mayor	thousand oaks		TRUE	dislikes current CD, liked one before that...going east on 101...more in common with LA County cities of Agoura Hills, Calabasas, not with Oxnard (mountains r inbetween them and Oxnard)	
43011011	H	writer at home - star trek, martian child	FALSE				TRUE	knows SF Valley, 405 is natural dividing line for social,cultural COI; county line is west border, should be enough for a district; each side needs its own representation!	
43011012	H	former Ass member	FALSE				TRUE	said in SLO: keep SB separate from Ventura; SB was dominating and Oxnard is a big city!	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011007	Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
43011008			FALSE	FALSE		
43011009			FALSE	FALSE		
43011010			FALSE	FALSE		
43011011			FALSE	TRUE	social and cultural in West side of valley, and one on East side of Valley	
43011012			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011007			FALSE					TRUE	2 pages	
43011008			FALSE					TRUE	Rosenberg memo	
43011009			FALSE							
43011010			FALSE							
43011011			FALSE					TRUE	submitted map of library districts	
43011012			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011013	H		TRUE	Oxnard SD - trustee	Oxnard		TRUE	Oxnard, El Rio, Port Huememe must be together - very connected; Oxnard is always being cut up, allow it to set its own destiny for once! Keep Ventura Co whole and don't include SB Co.	
43011014	H		FALSE			Ventura	TRUE	district with Santa Clara Valley and Oxnard, Port Huememe - a COI - don't cut it up	
43011015	H		FALSE		Santa Clarita Valley		TRUE	Santa Clarita Valley feels like orphan; add part of Ventura Co.,	
43011016	H		FALSE		roseda, west SF Valley		TRUE	connect with west LA county, not with Simi Valley and Not with West LA (because requires going through 405/Sepulvada Pass.	
43011017	H		FALSE		thousand oaks, santa rosa valley (between Th O and Camirrl)	Ventura Co many yrs, before that SF Valley in LA Co	TRUE	keep camarillo with East Ventura Co and include Santa Clarita Valley	
43011018	H		TRUE	Valley Cultural Center - non-profit	tarzana		TRUE	west SF Valley in single district; not cut up like now	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011013		Q: is there a divide of Ventura Co.? A: not a natural divide - feels connected to east V Co, but if had too, take Camarillo.	FALSE	FALSE		
43011014			FALSE	TRUE	Oxnard has 4 HS, own airport, several big cultural events	Oxnard - economic driver for area, ag around, employment is high
43011015	Piru, Filmore (not Santa Paula which is more coastal)	126 corridor is going to grow	FALSE	FALSE	same water shed, same transportation corridor	same industries
43011016	Roseda, agoura Hills, Calabasas		FALSE	FALSE		
43011017			FALSE	FALSE		
43011018	woodland hills, ...tarzana		FALSE	TRUE	west SF valley (gives borders) is its own community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011013			FALSE							
43011014			FALSE							
43011015			FALSE					TRUE		
43011016			FALSE							
43011017			FALSE							
43011018			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011019	H	did census outreach	FALSE		west SF valley, used to live in east - talking about east		TRUE	405 is dividing line, east valley : north to ____, down 210 to east border where burbank begins, south maybe to 101	
43011020	H	spoke night before re SG mountains and users of mts	FALSE				TRUE	lower-income community in east SF Val with SG Mts (they are users)	
43011021	H		TRUE	Valley Biz & Commerce assoc			TRUE	CD cuts up Valley, but it's a COI; west and east valley is a real distinction; if split don't go to Simi Valley -very diff valley	
43011022	H		FALSE		SF V		TRUE	doesn't know where 405 break comes from, here before 405, when 405 built it destroyeddescribes valley boundaries and cities from his view	
43011023	H	real estate	FALSE			simi valley	TRUE	east ventura county should be its own district, add Santa Clarita, also ok to add westlake village, calabassas, agoura hills?, from LA Co; add filmore and piru, camarillo ok too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011019			FALSE	TRUE	East Valley: linguistically isolated, lower income	
43011020			FALSE	FALSE		
43011021			FALSE	FALSE		
43011022		Q: how split for CD? A: Sherman Way and Balboa to split valley	FALSE	FALSE		
43011023			FALSE	TRUE	when look at santa clarita valley like looking in mirror - in different co (LA) but similar economically etc	share industries, etc...

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011019			FALSE							
43011020			FALSE							
43011021			FALSE					TRUE	SF V topography with white lines for CD borders	
43011022			FALSE							
43011023			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011024	H	formerly: planning commissioner, city council, now: water district	FALSE		Agoura Hills		TRUE	water district map - describes it and considers area serve as community and others as partners - going across to Ventura, to Oak Park, Simi Valley	
43011025	H	work in Ventura	FALSE		Agoura Hills		TRUE	tie communities together along 101 (cross into Ventura) - much more than like LA area	
43011026	H		FALSE		glendale		TRUE	keep three cities together - from management perspective would be best	
43011027	H	was in Long Beach hearing	FALSE		long beach		TRUE	need to improve geographic integrity of 37 CD - need to keep Long Beach together	
43011028	H		FALSE		west hills	in SF V since 1997	TRUE	keep SF V together - too split up; if you had to split then use 405; connect with places on 101 going west	
43011029	H	manufacturing firm manger	FALSE				TRUE	keep West SF V together	
43011030	H	iranian-american community	FALSE				TRUE	keep SFValley together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011024			FALSE	FALSE		
43011025			FALSE	FALSE		
43011026	Glendale, burbank, pasadena		FALSE	TRUE	share shopping, share the airport (all part owners); environmental protection	
43011027			FALSE	FALSE		
43011028			FALSE	FALSE	large persian community in West Valley	
43011029			FALSE	TRUE	persian community in W SF V, beautiful mountain area	
43011030			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011024			FALSE							
43011025			FALSE							
43011026			FALSE							
43011027			FALSE							
43011028			FALSE							
43011029			FALSE							
43011030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011031	H		FALSE		calabasasa, part of W SF Valley		TRUE	likes 1991 districts - office for CD rep in Woodland Hills near her, now have to drive several hrs to get to rep.; go east west; Q: where split W SF V? A: by cities not streets, if have to cut off Northridge & _____ which are different from us	
43011032	H		FALSE		west hollywood		TRUE	don't split up west hollywood	
43011033	H		FALSE		santa Clarita valley		TRUE	AD, SD cut up area, castaic lake water service area impt, would district along 118 corridor; Q: CD? A: current CD not horrible	
43011034	H		TRUE	Walk of Hearts, honors teachers throughout LAUSD	West SF Valley		TRUE	keep valley together (we like East Valley residents), but if have to use 405 or possibly rosco blvd	
43011035	H		TRUE	on neighborhood council - encino, formerly woodland hills	W SF V - Encino		TRUE	neigh council boundaries are impt - local form of govt, lines drew with thought...understood SF Valley when drew	
43011036	H	realtor in simi valley and SF V	FALSE		simi valley		FALSE		
43011037	H	realtor	FALSE		simi valley		TRUE	east ventura county very different from coastal area, district with East Ventura + santa Clarita valley, Casaic (saw on nicole's map)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011031	lists cities in W SF Valley, includes Hidden Hills, agoura hills, oak park, encino, ;		FALSE	TRUE	The Acorn - main news for W SF Valley,	
43011032			FALSE	FALSE		
43011033	santa clarita included - map on back of notes		FALSE	FALSE		
43011034		roscoe blvd possibly	FALSE	FALSE		
43011035			TRUE	FALSE		
43011036	east V co (incl camarillo and santa rosa valley) and _____ in SF V		FALSE	FALSE		
43011037			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011031			FALSE							
43011032			FALSE							
43011033			FALSE							
43011034			FALSE							
43011035			FALSE							
43011036			FALSE							
43011037			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011038	H	armenian-american - works with non-profit that serves Armenian-American community, worked on Census to reach out to Arm-Am community	FALSE		brubank, formerly of Glendale		TRUE	burbank, Glendale, pasadena together - for Armenian -Amer community	
43011039	H		TRUE	org that serves NE SF V			TRUE	NE SF V (she gave boundaries) is COI based on economic; now divided CDs, should be one CD	
43011040	H		FALSE		north hills		TRUE	if divide SF V with 405 you divide North Hills, keep Valley together	
43011041	H		FALSE		Ventura City		TRUE	keep Ventura Co together, if have to separate then can shave off Oak Park (part of which is in LA Co?)	
43011042	H	doctor, iranian community	FALSE		canoga park		TRUE	don't split SF Valley - because will split up iranian community - very important social network - doctors etc.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011038			FALSE	FALSE		
43011039			FALSE	FALSE		
43011040			FALSE	FALSE		
43011041			FALSE	FALSE		
43011042	rosita, encino, rosita, canoga park, west hills, Van nuys, tarzana		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011038			FALSE							
43011039			FALSE							
43011040			FALSE							
43011041			FALSE							
43011042			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011043	H	iranian community	FALSE		roseda		TRUE	Valley area is impt to allow iranians to talk, share experiences, be a community, very active and involved group, politically diverse; 405 west together	
43011044	H		FALSE		W SF Val, northridge		TRUE	west SF Valley in tact	
43011047	H	rec assistant, knows parks	FALSE				TRUE	N Holly, Studio City, Burbank together because around Grittih Park - great park.	
43011048	H		FALSE		31 yrs in region - east ventura and SF V		TRUE	start at Camarillo and go east to santa Clarita valley...not sure, but malibu is not connected with us	
43011049	H		FALSE				TRUE	thousand oaks would like to stay in ventura county; agrees there is an east and west county identity; division is at camarillo, below the 23? Grade	
43011050	H		TRUE	elected school board member	santa clarita		TRUE	santa clarita city but also unincorp areas around it - might be enough for AD, otherwise take grenada hills, simi valley area, (would take palmdale but heard people ag that)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011043			FALSE	FALSE		
43011044			FALSE	FALSE		
43011047			FALSE	FALSE		
43011048			FALSE	FALSE		
43011049			FALSE	TRUE	thousand oaks feel most in common with east Ventura County, businesses, etc; Vent Co is identity for TH Oaks, do add Camarilo	
43011050			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011043			FALSE							
43011044			FALSE							
43011047			FALSE							
43011048			FALSE							
43011049			FALSE							
43011050			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011051	H		TRUE	speaking for City Council?	westlake valley, 91361 split zip code between ventura and LA Co		TRUE	keep conejo valley (crosses counties into calabasas) in same district	
43011052	H		TRUE	homeowners association of santa Monica mts			TRUE	support 1991 district: ocean in south,	
43011053	H	c	TRUE	chamber of commerce santa clarita	santa clarita		TRUE	possibilites: with Antelope Valley, or south to Northeast san Fern Valley	
43011054	H		TRUE	planning commissioner	thousand oaks		TRUE	keep Ventura together except city of ventura should go with SB Co.; re Camarillo	
43011055	H	mayor of Camarillo	FALSE		camarillo		TRUE	joined with east Ventura Co - 1 mi to Th O, 8 miles to Oxnard!	
43011056	H	speaking for west H and Holly	FALSE				TRUE	west holly, holly, taluka lake, studio city, beverly hills together	
43011057	H		TRUE	work with neighbor councils in west valley, also armenian national committee (in 'west valley branch')	grenada hills		TRUE	describes West SF V: west to Agoura Hills, east to 405; describes why east and west valley are very different; keep west SF V together - don't split us up.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011051	gave list of cities		FALSE	FALSE		
43011052			FALSE	FALSE		
43011053			FALSE	FALSE		
43011054			FALSE	FALSE		
43011055			FALSE	TRUE	share: water shed district, police, fire, terrain	
43011056			FALSE	TRUE	recreational	economic
43011057	listed cities to be together - grenada hills, to encino...etc		TRUE	TRUE	social economic ties, spoke after 58 on two types of Armenians, others live east of 405 (sovient era ones)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011051			FALSE							
43011052			FALSE							
43011053			FALSE							
43011054			FALSE							
43011055			FALSE							
43011056			FALSE							
43011057			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011058	H		TRUE	active in armenian community	grenada hills, W SF V		TRUE	keep W SF V intact; agrees with 405 divider, do not? include santa clarita valley; like CD as is now	
43011059	H		TRUE	mayor, malibu	malibiu		FALSE		
43011063	H		FALSE		Las Feliz area of LA		FALSE		
43011064	H		TRUE	mayor pro tem - santa clarita city			TRUE	council request: city and valley in only one district; realize need more pop for any district - pair us with Northern LA (Lancaster and Palmdale). East Ventura Co is good too, water shed issues	
43011065	H		FALSE		santa clarita valley		TRUE	SC Valley divided into several CD, AD, SD - please make it one	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011058			FALSE	FALSE		
43011059			FALSE	TRUE	environmental issues come out of region up to calabassas, all those other cities affect Santa Monica Bay, water flows thru mailbu river	
43011063	Las Feliz, West H, Holly,	robertson, sunset strip, western, northern boundary - Griffith Park, southern boundary - Wilshire Blvd	FALSE	TRUE	entertainment indust, toursim,	
43011064			FALSE	FALSE		
43011065	ok to add East Vent Co: simi valley, etc. Talks about how Port Hueneme is deep water port that's products get shipped to Santa Clarita Valley - not sure if he include PH in COI or rec in district	160, 118, 5 going N	FALSE	TRUE	transport, water shed, open space	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011058			FALSE							
43011059			FALSE							
43011063	would hurt community to be split up		FALSE							
43011064			FALSE							
43011065			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011067	H		TRUE	castaic lake water agency board			TRUE	board voted to request no split to Santa Clar valley, specially Castaic Lake Water district - if have to grow move west with flow of water	
43011069	H		TRUE	burbank, vice mayor			TRUE	keep burbank, pasadena, glendale together	
43011070	H	former mayor glendale, now with Airport. ___?	FALSE				TRUE	keep three cities together (for CD?) - see AD under cities	
43011071	H		FALSE		santa clarita valley		TRUE	would like antelop valley but they have enough #, 126 corridor - with filmore piru, 118 corridor is good too, and one more proposal? (gave underlying commonalities for the proposals)	
43011072	H		FALSE				FALSE		
43011073	H		TRUE	city of calabassas, Santa Mon Mt conservacy,	calabassas		TRUE	La Virges area: entirely with La Virges Water District, school is within ___ district (not LAUSD), don't have fire dept (rely on LA Co for that), malibu river water shed; keep us together (4 cities below) Q: connect with who? Santa Monica OR W SF V	
43011075	H		TRUE	leter from LA Co Sup			TRUE	SD 23 is good, likes the 1991 dist - it kept 3rd Sup district the same.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011067			FALSE	FALSE		
43011069			FALSE	TRUE	many partnerships between 3 cities	
43011070	for AD glendale/burbank with los feliz, atwater, eaglerock		FALSE	TRUE		gave list for 3 cities, FAA
43011071			FALSE	FALSE		
43011072			FALSE	FALSE		
43011073	woodland hills, calabassas, agoura hills, westlake village		FALSE	FALSE		
43011075			FALSE	TRUE	list of commonalities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011067			FALSE							
43011069			FALSE							
43011070			FALSE							
43011071			FALSE							
43011072			FALSE							
43011073			FALSE							
43011075			FALSE					TRUE	letter from Sup, and ltr re Santa monica mts (#101not staying)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011077	H		TRUE	calabassas mayor pro temp			TRUE	start at border and go into county, don't cross into Ventura Co! more common interests with W SF V than W LA, also have common interests with malibu, had recommendation for drawing, santa monica mts is a COI	
43011078	H		TRUE	on commission commission	calabassas, 33 yr resident		TRUE	link with cities on west of LA Co and move east to as far as can in W SF Valley - use 405 as 'benchmark'	
43011079	H		FALSE		agoura hills		TRUE	westlake village area and west valley, Conejo valley and west SF Valley along 101	
43011080	H		TRUE	topanga town council	topanga		TRUE	communities of Santa Monica Mts - council asked for Top to be with all communities that border it	
43011081	H	army	TRUE	activist	port Huemene	ventura	TRUE	east county is totally different from rest of Vent co; conejo valley has integrity with agoura hills	
43011082	H	cook at Marriot Hotel	FALSE		downtown LA		TRUE	pico union, westlake and downtown are one community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011077			FALSE	FALSE		
43011078			FALSE	FALSE		
43011079	tarzana, ...	highway 101	FALSE	TRUE	Santa Monica Mt Reserve Area, 101	
43011080	topanga, malibu, calabassas, agoura hills....etc		FALSE	TRUE	santa monica mts	
43011081			FALSE	FALSE		
43011082			FALSE	TRUE	discusses social issues -crime	redevelopment going on

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011077			FALSE							
43011078			FALSE							
43011079			FALSE							
43011080			FALSE							
43011081			FALSE							
43011082			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011083	H	retired fire chief Glendale FD	TRUE	now with another agency which brings 3 cities together (radio?)			TRUE	3 cities partnerships create need to have one voice in representation - also because they are Independent Cities...ie not part of LA. Q: what about east along mt range? A: that's layout of fire district - again its independent cities. Q: re current AD,SD	
43011084	H	police officer in Glendale	FALSE				TRUE	powerful working relationship with Burbank and Pasadena, need to be together to cont that	
43011085	H		FALSE		chatsworth		TRUE	doesn't want to be in CD with Bev Hills or West Holly - that has resulted in no representation for him; has recommendations... (ana has)	
43011086	H		FALSE				TRUE	small towns in LA portion of SF V (Van Nuys,etc) - nothing like those parts of the city across the hills	
43011088	H	HS teacher	FALSE		NE SF Valley		TRUE	advocating for NE SF Valley (also called the "east valley") - don't want to be gerrymandered again - detrimental to working class; disagrees that 405 is distinct divider of differ pop (used to be more)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011083	Glendale, Burbank, Pasadena		FALSE	FALSE		
43011084			FALSE	FALSE		
43011085			FALSE	FALSE		
43011086			FALSE	FALSE		
43011088		gave highway boundaries for better CD... and had map	FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011083			FALSE							
43011084			FALSE							
43011085			FALSE							
43011086			FALSE							
43011088			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011089	H		FALSE				TRUE	agrees with #88, west of 405 is ok (405 not sacrosanct, there has been a spill over); go north to 210 and down to burbank blvd, into N Hollywood to get pop for CD	
43011090	H		TRUE	involved with succession	SF Valley		TRUE	down 405 to Van Nuys, to 44 on east; keep 39th in tact as much as possible. 2001 undid 1991 and disenfranchised latino community	
43011091	H		TRUE	non-profit to improve life of Latinas in SF Valley	San Gabriel		TRUE	39 AD stick with - agrees with speaker 88.	
43011094	H		FALSE		burbank		TRUE	burbank and north holly are the real Holly now - keep them together	
43011095	H		FALSE				TRUE	santa Suzanna mountains include communities to south (chattsworth, __) - map with neighborhood council boundaries; use them (and gave suggestions)	
43011097	H		FALSE		Pacoima		TRUE	39 AD in tact, SD maintain too - it's a COI, problem is 20 CD - move it up to area north of San Fernando City	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011089			FALSE	FALSE		
43011090			FALSE	FALSE		
43011091			FALSE	TRUE	educational attainment, and____	income level
43011094			FALSE	TRUE	equestrian areas; doesn't feel same connect to pasadena that others talk about - they are wealthier	entertainment agency,
43011095			TRUE	FALSE		
43011097			FALSE	TRUE		wealth in North East valley put in CD to protect an incumbant...correct this please

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011089			FALSE							
43011090			FALSE							
43011091			FALSE							
43011094			FALSE							
43011095			FALSE							
43011097			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011098	H		FALSE		Northridge		TRUE	stay in SF V and not be connected with mts like in current AD	
43011099	H	started santa clarita	FALSE		santa clarita		TRUE	shows map of LA Co - Santa Clarita off by itself.- pair it with north SF V, if went to Ventura it would be primarily white and Rep	
43011100	H		FALSE		santa clarita		TRUE	incl entire S Clar valley in own AD, CD, SD; go south to add to N. SF V,	
43011101	H	valley girl or valley-ite	FALSE				TRUE	provided map; use neighborhood lines, split valley north south if have to?	
43011103	H	teach UCLA	TRUE	coalition - santa monica mts			TRUE	1991 23 SD recognized the Santa Monica Mt COI (Ads were nested) ; went a little east of 405	
43011106	H	worked in diversity for years	FALSE		SF V resident 55 yrs		TRUE	holding SF V in tact	
43011107	H	pastor, works for LA Co	FALSE				TRUE	Santa Clarita area - go east if have to, or maybe south to SF Valley, not west (Casiac be off with others communities ...)	
43011109	H		FALSE				TRUE	SF V: draw lines that reflect barriers to travel, choke points, bounds of resistance (political?); distinguish between high and low priority boundaries.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011098		405 on east, rosco on south, corbin on west, north city line	FALSE	FALSE		
43011099			FALSE	FALSE		
43011100			FALSE	TRUE	converg of highways, environmental concerns,....	
43011101			TRUE	FALSE		
43011103			FALSE	TRUE	protect mts is #1 issue in area, traffic patterns - roads that link,	
43011106		gave boundaries, V Co line, Muholl, Santa Susanna mtg, Angele mts	FALSE	TRUE	knows valley well and diversity all over, not clustered like people say	
43011107			FALSE	FALSE		
43011109			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011098			FALSE							
43011099			FALSE							
43011100			FALSE							
43011101			FALSE							
43011103			FALSE					TRUE		
43011106			FALSE							
43011107			FALSE							
43011109			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011110	H	child advocate, Glendale Board of Ed member	FALSE				TRUE	keep 3 cities together; split up between so many reps and are of no interest to them because of that!	
43011111	H		FALSE		chattsworth		TRUE	topanga wants to stay together; chattsworth	
43011112	H	mortgage broker	FALSE				TRUE	neighborh councils are helpful; use 405 as divider of Valley, north and south boundaries; to make CD add to West Valley Calabasa, Agoura and Th Oaks	
43011113	H		FALSE		calabassas		TRUE	very little in common with east and Valley - we incorporated to avoid the valley; calabassas, agoura hills, south to beaches because of water issues with Malibu; area concerned with Santa Monica Mts which incl Topanga; not so Th Oks - more w Simi&Morpark	
43011114	H	works in Downtown for 25 yrs	FALSE		LA Basin; strong background in Downtown LA		TRUE	405 south of Holly, to LA River together - one big family	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011110			FALSE	TRUE	partnerships (between Sch Dist) with Burank and Pasadena has helped students; cities work together as well; Q: Altadena schools? A: Part of Pasadena Sch Dist;	
43011111			FALSE	TRUE	ag zoning, horses, santa Susanna state park, open space to protect; chattsworth affinity with winnetka - need to be protected	
43011112			TRUE	FALSE		
43011113			FALSE	FALSE		
43011114			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011110			FALSE							
43011111			FALSE							
43011112			FALSE					TRUE	neighborhood council maps	
43011113			FALSE							
43011114			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011115	H		TRUE	calabassas founder, past mayor, also works on Santa Monica Mts Conservation			TRUE	Santa Monic Mts Conservation District be kept together (also the Las Virges school district)	
43011117	H	spoke in Claremont	FALSE				TRUE	northcoast culture, keep DN, Hum, Mendo and Lake Co together, certain district go to sonoma; agrees re greater topanga area; Re Santa Barbar...; re malibu	
43011118	H		TRUE	Arleta Neigh Council, president			TRUE	not similar to north holly &____; put with San fernando (area north east of Arleta) ; AD 39 as basis for new CD,	
43011120	H	taught in La Canada	FALSE		La Cresenta		TRUE	fragmented in representation - please resolve	
43011123	H	works for 'the reporter' - news paper	TRUE	emergency response services	sunland, Tujunga		TRUE	part of SF V, though LA didn't realize till Neigh Councils came into existance; need land use and economics ties to form districts, not _____ this area with us!	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011115			FALSE	TRUE	water sheds, roads follow water, open space, climate change, roads that transport us or don't; include west SF V??	
43011117			FALSE	FALSE		
43011118			TRUE	FALSE		
43011120			FALSE	TRUE	homogeneous comm:La Cresenta, La Canada, and La Cresenta part of Glendale Sch D	
43011123			TRUE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011115			FALSE					TRUE	water sheds map, L V SD map, and ___ map, Calabasas booklet.	
43011117			FALSE							
43011118			FALSE					TRUE	info on Arleta - profile	
43011120			FALSE							
43011123			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
43011125	H		FALSE		Granada Hills		TRUE	city and valley are worlds apart; SF V doesn't need anyone -big enough; too many reps; keep it to west of the 405 freeway, keep W SF V to 1 sd, cd, 2 ad. Make it easier for businesses	
43011127	H		FALSE		irwindale, baldwin park, el monte		TRUE	keep those three communities together (food, business, etc ...)	
43011128	H	Generation Y	FALSE				TRUE	burbank, north Holly, La Tuna Canyon - cluster these three communities please; Q: how far down into N hollywood - Hanson Canyon??	
43011129	H		FALSE				TRUE	Inland empire community	
50111003	H		TRUE	association of realtors			TRUE	keep santa clarita valley together with SF Valley & antelope valley	
50111004	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	CD with ridgecrest west to city of Baker, south to county line; preserve Kern Co, build districts around Kern Co and go from there (LOL)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
43011125			FALSE	FALSE		
43011127			FALSE	FALSE		
43011128			FALSE	TRUE	artist community, night life, hiking and biking in La Tuna Canyon	
43011129	redlands, beaumont, calimesa, Yucaipa, Mentone together - impt to be together; Q; more assoc with cities to east or west of redlands, A: Probably west		FALSE	TRUE	education interests, white and hispanic	
50111003			FALSE	TRUE	valley communities tied together through services, medical, senior housing	realtors work to maintain private land ownership
50111004			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
43011125			FALSE							
43011127			FALSE							
43011128			FALSE							
43011129			FALSE							
50111003			FALSE							
50111004			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111005	H		TRUE	former sup of Ridgecrest Sch Dist	Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	Indian Wells valley pop; naval air weapons station owns lands	
50111006	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	remain integral with county seat - Bakersfield, don't let district divide us from Bakers	
50111007	H	'youth'	FALSE		antelope valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	one antelope high school district, one college, same cultures, use same mall, listen to unique radio stations, unique culture - help us stay that way	
50111008	H	teacher	TRUE	santa clarita city council person			TRUE	council request that city of Santa Clar be kept whole in one AD, SD, CD; share common boundary with unincorporated area of Santa Clar Valley which will GROW! Subdivison to be built. Ok to go north, south, west. (to the various valleys)	
50111009	H		FALSE		santa clarita	Los Angeles	TRUE	Concerned with Santa Clarita Valley and keeping it whole - we are growing; don't rec Antelope Valley (too big and will take over/also different and mts in between), rather go with Simi Valley, Porta Ranch, Fillmore In Ventura Co.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111005			FALSE	FALSE		
50111006			FALSE	TRUE	ridgecrest relays on Kern Co govt services:	
50111007			FALSE	FALSE		
50111008			FALSE	FALSE		
50111009			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111005			FALSE					TRUE	her letter and son's letter	
50111006			FALSE							
50111007			FALSE							
50111008			FALSE							
50111009			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111010	H		FALSE		santa clarita valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep our valley together, because demographics we've had there are same as moorpark, thousand oaks - don't want to go north	
50111011	H		FALSE				TRUE	S Clar Valley described: S Clar, Castaic, Agua Dulce, Acton; keep whole in an AD, now 2; communality in this area with piru fillmore, porter ranch in Ventura Co	
50111012	H		FALSE		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	SC Valley includes Val Verde; commonalities with Simi Valley, Filmore, Piru	
50111013	H		FALSE		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep SC Valley together	
50111014	H		FALSE		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	watershed is Acton to Castaic; keep us with Castaic, if have ok to go into Vent Co.	
50111015	H		FALSE		S Clarita	Los Angeles	TRUE	invisible line going between acton and palmdale, community goes west to santa paula in Vent co.- want oblong egg shape district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111010			FALSE	FALSE		
50111011			FALSE	FALSE		
50111012			FALSE	TRUE	share water etc with Ventur Co areas mentioned	
50111013			FALSE	TRUE	share churces, etc, water issues, Santa Clarita River water shed	
50111014			FALSE	FALSE		
50111015			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111010			FALSE							
50111011			FALSE					TRUE	map and notes	
50111012			FALSE							
50111013			FALSE							
50111014			FALSE							
50111015			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111016	H		TRUE	trustee on S Clar college district board - representing them today - has resolution from them	S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	concerned with splitting valley and splitting comm college district - have two campuses; want 1 AD, CD; combined with N SF Valley, go west to east Vent Co, or east to acton?	
50111017	H		FALSE		S Clarita	Los Angeles	TRUE	wants AD to include all of SC Valley; ok to include east Ventura (to Camarillo) and south to Grandada Hills, Chatsworth; SD with Simi Valley and Thousand Oaks; CD with Antelope Valley ; does not want to be with Burbank or Bakers	
50111018	H		FALSE		S Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	geographically separate, surrounded by mountains, historically geo separate areas politically separate; keep our valley together, bec of geographic and demog; ok to put with east Ventur Co??/	
50111019	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	keep tied in with Bakers and rest of Kern Co	
50111020	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	why same district as Bakersfield	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111016			FALSE	TRUE	growth will be along I-5	
50111017	santa clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
50111018			FALSE	FALSE		
50111019			FALSE	TRUE	light ag like rest of Kern, and water reg, regulation by fed govt	
50111020			FALSE	TRUE	arts council, culture, tourism, connect on Bakers, rest of Kern Count, 178 corridor, includes bakersfield museums and more; nothing in common with Antelope Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111016			FALSE							
50111017			FALSE							
50111018			FALSE							
50111019			FALSE							
50111020			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111021	H	film commissioner	FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	keep districts way they are	
50111023	H		TRUE	ant vally board of trade, and brings letter from another org			TRUE	gave map to NB, arrow head space shows area, makes it easy for you, give boundaries	
50111026	H	armenian, hiker, environmentalist	FALSE		burbank	Los Angeles	TRUE	puts together armenian communities; AD 44 ? and new AD one should run along foothills to laverne; has SD and CD proposal too, gave map	
50111027	H		TRUE	ridgecrest chamber of commerce	Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	maintain status quo - maintain county line; 22 CD goes into SLO Co, not a COI with Ridgecrest as much as rest of Kern	
50111028	H	in navy	TRUE	china lake alliance; one of largest navy base in world	Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	status quo please	
50111029	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	don't feel underrepresented! Changing our inclusion with Kern Co would be devastating	
50111030	H		FALSE		Santa Clarita Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	Valley: acton to piru; keep it whole - don't split us up, put us in dist based in Camarillo	
50111032	H	talk show host	FALSE		Lancaster	Los Angeles	TRUE	one high-desert senate district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111021			FALSE	FALSE		
50111023			FALSE	FALSE		
50111026	Lavern; also list of cities to include G		FALSE	TRUE	share churches, comm org, schools, roads communities	
50111027			FALSE	TRUE		major transportation corridors, so business 396, 14, 58
50111028			FALSE	FALSE		
50111029			FALSE	TRUE		edwards brings lots into Kern Co
50111030			FALSE	TRUE	water	
50111032	Cal City, Mojave, Rosemong, lancaster, palmdate,		FALSE	TRUE	distinct media market	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111021			FALSE							
50111023			FALSE					TRUE	brought topo map to show on back table	
50111026			FALSE							
50111027			FALSE							
50111028			FALSE							
50111029			FALSE							
50111030			FALSE							
50111032			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111033	H		TRUE	LULAC	Antelope Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep us together in Ant Valley (not with Victorville, SB Co?)	
50111034	H		TRUE	volunteers (or works?)with school district, and other orgs	Lancaster, Ant Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	current rep of Lancaster doesn't no represent our min interest, VRA Sect 2, no vote dilution	
50111035	H		FALSE		juniper hills	Los Angeles	TRUE	current rep is on other side of mountain, want community in one SD	
50111037	H	lockheed, test pilot	FALSE		antelope valley OR aerospace Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	Edwards AirForce Base, approx 13K work on base, many live in Lancaster and Palmdale; keep us together as "antelope valley" or "aerospace valley"	
50111038	H		TRUE	LA Co Farm Bureau, President			TRUE	support Ant Valley Board of Trade AD Proposal (as did one before - test pilot)	
50111041	H		TRUE	represents renewable engery companies			TRUE	high desert - palmdate to ridgecrest - COI socand Economically	
50111042	H		TRUE	Ant Valley hisp Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	keep distr intact - COI is hispan in Ant Valley	
50111043	H		FALSE		Ant Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	current CD goes to Ridgecrest, support Ant Valley Board of Trade SD and good overlay of CD?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111033			FALSE	FALSE		
50111034			FALSE	FALSE		
50111035			FALSE	FALSE		
50111037			FALSE	FALSE		
50111038			FALSE	TRUE		ground water issues, being taken away from them, by LA City and Co
50111041			FALSE	TRUE		military, aerospace, renewable eneg leader
50111042			FALSE	FALSE		
50111043			FALSE	TRUE	underserved in engineering education - have to travel away; even though we are aerospace valley	high tech, aerospace - dependent engineering

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111033			FALSE							
50111034		yes	FALSE							
50111035			FALSE							
50111037			FALSE							
50111038			FALSE							
50111041			FALSE							
50111042			FALSE							
50111043			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111044	H	urban and rural planner	FALSE	Juniper Hills Town Council	Juniper Hills: unincorporated areas of Ant Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	use school districts, comm college district; recommends use of five guidelines of Kevin Lynch	
50111046	H		FALSE		Quartz Hill, unincorporated area of LA County	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep Palmdale, Lancaster, and Santa Clariat intact; population ideal	
50111047	H	geographer	FALSE		antelope valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	tehacapi mts as a natural divider, then ridgecrest stays with Kern; ant valley is distinctly differ ; if need to add go east	
50111048	H	attorney	FALSE		rosamond	Kern	TRUE	agrees with Ant Valley to have dist go up to Ridgecrest; look at Ant Valley Comm College district cause includes rosemond and other comun in SE Kern	
50111049	H		TRUE	ant valley conservancy, pres	30 yrs in Ant Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep water shed community in same district (Ridge crest not in it)	
50111050	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD 22, 25 splits Lancaster...wants Lancaster totally in CD 25	
50111051	H	worked in testing Edwards	TRUE	Ant Valley Board of Trade (past president)			TRUE	2 high dessert AD - got cut off before finished describing	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111044			FALSE	FALSE		
50111046			FALSE	TRUE	need CSU campus, 2nd up on list for it	unemployment high
50111047			FALSE	FALSE		
50111048			FALSE	FALSE		
50111049			FALSE	TRUE	water shed community	
50111050			FALSE	FALSE		
50111051			FALSE	TRUE	education, water distrib, transportation (working on rapid rail and ...)	active in aerospace, national defense (plant 42, edwards)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111044			FALSE							
50111046		minority district - Latino	FALSE							
50111047			FALSE							
50111048			FALSE							
50111049			FALSE					TRUE	written presentation from other that was going to read - re lakes?	
50111050			FALSE							
50111051			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111052	H		FALSE		simi valley - 40 yr resident	Ventura	TRUE	doesn't want to be with SF Valley! Nothing in common - all of our services provided by Co, COI with nearby towns, Simi Valley divided up - not good	
50111053	H		FALSE		says lives across the street from Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	wants to stay with Bakersfield not go with ant valley (tho nice to be wanted); BRAC was nerveracking - got through it by state and feder representation. Don't break us up from rest of Kern	
50111054	H		TRUE	audobon society	Ridgecrest	kern	TRUE	keep bird watchers together - keep with rest of Kern county	
50111055	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	kern	TRUE	part of Kern Co, not bedroom community like Palmdale and Santa Clarita Valley , not part of Ant Valley, don't care about LA Co, care a lot about Kern Co.	
50111056	H		FALSE		Phelan - unincorporated S Bern Co	San Bernardino	TRUE	submitted proposed dist	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111052			FALSE	FALSE		
50111053			FALSE	FALSE		
50111054			FALSE	TRUE	keep bird watchers together	
50111055			FALSE	FALSE		
50111056			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111052			FALSE							
50111053			FALSE							
50111054			FALSE							
50111055			FALSE							
50111056			FALSE					TRUE	proposed district map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111057	H		FALSE		Phelan	San Bernardino	TRUE	previous speaker submitted map for Victor Valley in your packet; have too much representation now, please consolidate AD s (want one rather than 4 AD s); Ant Valley can have AD, Victor Valley have one.	
50111058	H		TRUE	Ant Valley air quality district manager			TRUE	even tho in LA Co more in common with Kern and San Bern Co; separated from South Coast air quality district; high desert is own COI, more impnt than county boundaries	
50111059	H	retired school principal	TRUE	mayor, ridgecrest	Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	connected to Kern, want to maintain relationships with Bakersfield;	
50111060	H		TRUE	chairman, planning commission, city of Lancaster, though speaking as private citizen	Ant Valley since 1968	Los Angeles	TRUE	re greater Ant Valley - supports Ant Valley Board of Trade proposal	
50111061	H		FALSE		Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	support two current AD, SD, CD in Kern county - keep us as is	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111057	Victorville, Apple Valley, Hesperia (support map of #56)		FALSE	FALSE		
50111058			FALSE	FALSE		
50111059			FALSE	TRUE		Bakers makes sure \$ get back to us
50111060			FALSE	FALSE		
50111061			FALSE	TRUE	a city in LA Co (not in Ant Valley) tried to dump waste in Kern Co - without regard to military base missions - bec trash farm located in Calif City - created bird problem	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111057			FALSE					TRUE	ltrs from residents of three towns in SB Co (see cities) that support #56 map	
50111058			FALSE							
50111059			FALSE							
50111060			FALSE							
50111061			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111062	H	retired school admin, chamber of commerce	FALSE		Rosamond	Kern	TRUE	Rosemond always supported by kern county	
50111065	H		FALSE		Agua Dulce	Los Angeles	TRUE	agua dulce and acton - first to have community standards district; continuous with Santa Clarita; Santa Clara river serves us and north east Vent Co; need to be kept whole	
50111067	H		FALSE		Boron, SE corner of Kern (1 hr to go anywhere)	Kern	TRUE	rather be with someone from Ridgecrest than SB Co; draw squares	
50111069	H		FALSE	Ant Valley BOT member	Ant Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	support AVBOT map; centralized SD in high desert, 2 AD, if Ridgecrest wants to be with E Kern, use 58 corridor & put w/Ant Valley; Q: go east if can't go north? A: has more in common with us than north/kern	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111062			FALSE	TRUE	water system operational - semi tropic rosemond water bank	
50111065			FALSE	FALSE		
50111067			FALSE	FALSE		
50111069			FALSE	TRUE	common high desert issues (common water table, common air issues, infrastructure supports each other, travel corridors tie us together)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111062			FALSE							
50111065			FALSE							
50111067		VRA offers protected not privileged	FALSE							
50111069			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111070	H		FALSE		Rosamond	Kern	TRUE	Rosemond & SE Kern are PART of Ant Valley (Ant Vall is chevron shape [bordered on E by Mojave River] & co line goes thru it, Kern Co diag split by Tehach Mts); Ridgecrest, part of Indian Wells Valley, can stay in w/ Bakersfield if want to	
50111072	H		FALSE				TRUE	take in geography; wants Ant Valley together including outlying unicorp areas (Little Rock, Quartz Hill, Pear Blossom); up to Rosamond if wants to be with us	
50111073	H		TRUE	neighborhood council	Leona Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	Leona Valley (near Palmdale) part of Ant Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111070			FALSE	FALSE		
50111072			FALSE	FALSE		
50111073	Green Valley, Lake Hughes, Lake Elizabeth are 'sister communities'		TRUE	TRUE	communities listed share the same rural life; no interests in common with Santa Clarita, water issue with Santa Clarita (they water our water) [and no influence with them?]	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111070			FALSE							
50111072		read quote about Sect 2, wants to take minorities into consideration	FALSE							
50111073			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111074	H		TRUE	MALDEF			TRUE	MALDEF will suggest state-wide plans for AD, SD, CD; AD: 8 section 2 districts now-should be 9; SD and CD both: currently 5 Sect 2, should be 6 in all cases this is the 'at least' # - they are looking for more	
50111075	H		FALSE		Quartz Hill, Ant Valley	Los Angeles	FALSE		
50111078	H		TRUE	Santa Clarita Valley Boys and Girls Club, director - reads letter from Board of Directors			TRUE	keep in one district with Ant Valley (COI with two valleys below)	
50111079	H		FALSE				TRUE	palmdale and lancaster in same district; needs get overlooked as part of large co.	
50111082	H	works for multi-media firm	FALSE		thousand oaks	Ventura	TRUE	keep east Ventura county together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111074			FALSE	FALSE		
50111075			FALSE	TRUE	great place to live in high desert, don't have big city probs, fight amongst selves but want to stay together.	
50111078			FALSE	TRUE	provide safe place for youth in both communities, fight for stable and clean water supplies	non-profits like YMCA, economic development issues, similar economy
50111079			FALSE	TRUE	climate unique	aerospace industry unique, economic hub of Ant Valley - people from mojave come here, not from LA
50111082			FALSE	TRUE		same economic interests as santa clarita valley - good to add them in this tough economic times

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111074		litigation in 2001 re vote dilution in different specific jurisdictions - still a problem in those areas; Q: pls review VRA guidelines A: ok	FALSE							
50111075			FALSE							
50111078			FALSE							
50111079			FALSE							
50111082			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111083	H		FALSE		simi valley	Ventura	TRUE	eastern vent county has three reps..this is good, to pick up rep agrees that Santa Clarity Valley would be good.	
50111084	H		FALSE		santa clarita	Los Angeles	TRUE	14 corridor- drive up and down - kids sports	
50111085	H	city council palmdale	FALSE		Palmdale, raised in Boron - Victor Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	Ant and Victor Valley share impt of development	
50111087	H		FALSE		santa clarita valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep santa Clar valley and Castaic area intact with Agua Dulce, Acton together for AD; to get enough for AD go west to filmore, moorpark, simi valley, and to N SF Valley (Grenada Hills)	
50111099	H		TRUE	College District, Dem Club	Antelope Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD 35: 3/4 of valley in it, half of Lancaster is not; 36 AD - demographics have changed, paired with Victorville & they are conservative - redraw to represent the 'silent Demographic majority'	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111083			FALSE	FALSE		
50111084			FALSE	TRUE	moms driving kids to sports events - across 14 corridor all the time (santa Clarita and Ant Valley); can bring in north SF Valley too - we go there for community involvements with kids	
50111085			FALSE	FALSE		
50111087			FALSE	TRUE	hunting, fishing, mt biking, etc; museums, parks, open spaces	
50111099			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111083			FALSE							
50111084			FALSE							
50111085			FALSE							
50111087			FALSE					TRUE	written text and maps	
50111099			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50111100	H		FALSE				FALSE		
50111101	H		TRUE	sierra toyota dealer			TRUE	business perspective - this area is represented up to Tehachapi, down to Acton, bordered on south by mts, down into Santa Clarita, down into northern Valley, into eastern Ventura Co ; can look at how aligned on a business level.	
50511001	H	mayor of chino hills	TRUE	city of chino hills	chino hills	San Bernardino Co	TRUE	chino hills and chino make up COI - keep together in same AD and SD (current split in AD and together in SD); keep us in SB Co, not with LA Co, except ok to be with Diamond Bar because share Asian pop.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50111100			FALSE	FALSE		
50111101			FALSE	FALSE		
50511001			FALSE	TRUE	share same sch distr, chamber of comm, fire district, library system, water JPA, sewer JPA, purchase water from Metropolitan from same agency, each have a shopping center across from each other, regional planning agency for SB Co.	cont re social: No interaction politically or socially with LA Co.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50111100			FALSE			make playing field level - re parties; something about 1970s district.				
50111101			FALSE							
50511001			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511002	H		FALSE	Represents 5000 associates, volunteers, physicians from Pomona Valley Hospital Medical Center - serve cities below; provide health care thru fed safety net program - serve Ponomo Valley; started by community 110 yrs as alt to going to LA for hospital			TRUE	keep our community together; cities below; boundaries: kellog hill to West, 15 to East, SG Mts to North, 91 freeway to South	
50511003	H	teacher	FALSE		pomona		TRUE	boundaries: south of SG mountains, san jose, hwy 57, san antonio river; cities in Ponomo Valley from previous speakers; more in common across county lines; keep ponomo with cities to east (listed below)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511002	hospital serves pomona, clarmont, chino, chino hills, ontario, montclair, upland, la verne, San Dimas, Rancho Cucamonga, Alta Loma = our community		FALSE	TRUE	where we work (provide h care to P Valley residents- at hospital and often elsewhere in these cities)), nearly 75% live, children to school, play on sports teams, belong to philanthropic orgs, diverse ethnic, religious, cultural community	
50511003	Pomona more in common across county line (cities to east) into montclair, chino, chino hills, ontario, clairmont, rather than to cities to west in LA Co (over Kellog Hill to Diamond Bar, Walnut		FALSE	FALSE	unique traffic problem in Pomona, because Pomona Valley monitors the same Air District	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511002			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.05.11. A
50511003			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511004	H & S (see extensive report)	Eastvale, Riverside County resident	FALSE		Eastvale	Riverside Co	TRUE	keep Riverside Co intact; in 2001 county balkanized: 6 AD, 4 SD, 4 CD (of which one one of each is within the county); carve out 4.702 AD, 2.351 SD, 3.115CD; make Riverside as a 5th pt of departure (after 4 Sec. 5 Counties)	River Co
50511005	H		FALSE		Norco, speaking on behalf of Pomona		TRUE	defines Kellogg Hill (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Kellogg_int_12252005.jpg - shows interchange of 57, 10 and 71)	
50511006	H		FALSE		san bernardino, lives in district 63 - very gerrymandered, SB city divided into three AD 59,63, and		FALSE	keep SB city in one district, add rialto (even tho lower income) and Fontana	
50511007	H		FALSE				TRUE	wife of previous: city of SB 310K - no reason to be cut up into 3 AD; keep SB Cty together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511004			FALSE	TRUE	In most districts share with other counties, Riverside Co is a smaller portion of the population	
50511005	Ponoma & Norco are a COI, across Kellogg Hill		FALSE	FALSE	in school days never concerned self beyond to kellog hill; went to Pomona - her community!	
50511006	SB Cty, Rialto, Fontana		FALSE	FALSE		
50511007			FALSE	TRUE	SB Cty has majority-minority that needs to be kept together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511004			FALSE					TRUE	thick bound report with many maps	05.05.11. B
50511005			FALSE							
50511006			FALSE							
50511007			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511008	H	CSU Northridge	FALSE		porter ranch - SF Valley, life in Santa Clar & SF Vallies - share a lot - also with Conejo Valley		FALSE	S Clar with SF Valley and Conejo Valley in one Senate, part of SF valley for __ D	
50511009	H		FALSE		santa clarita Valley		FALSE	simivalley, moorpark , thousand oaks with Santa Clarita, and porter ranch,...; keep east ventura, San Clar Valley, and north SF Valley together	
50511010	H	politically active, attend city council mtgs in chino	FALSE		chino hills		FALSE	supports mayors comment - keep chino and chino hills together - used to be together, what happ'ed? Q: Connect with west (Diam Bar) or east (ontario, montclair)? A: east commun's gel well w/ us, but nothing wrong with Diam Bar, its similar & has Asian pop	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511008			FALSE	FALSE	share a lot (whole list...highways at end)	
50511009			FALSE	TRUE	share	
50511010			FALSE	TRUE	implied commonalities between chino and chino hills...notthing specific, see above re similar with towns to east & west.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511008			FALSE							
50511009			FALSE							
50511010			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511011	H		FALSE		ontario		FALSE	keep four cities in SB together (ontario, chino, montclair, pomona) Q: what about chino hills? A: sure, they r a little diff economically, but do share sch dist	
50511012	H		FALSE		chino, 100 yr old city (like pomona, ontario)		FALSE	stay in 61_ D with Chino with Pomona, Ontario, Montclair, can add RC, or Upland	
50511013	H		FALSE		unincorp area outside temecula-French Valley	Riverside	FALSE	__ CD goes way up (berman); temecula and marrieta and go outward in county, FV with Tem, Marr, Mennefee; start in SW corner of co and get Tem and Marr, grab FV & Mennifee, then ____, prob not Lake Elsinore; stay in co lines	
50511014	H		FALSE	health care org - talks about Ponom Valley Hospital			FALSE	keep Pomona as cornerstone, and with that Inland Empire;	
50511015	H		FALSE		city council mayor of hemet		FALSE	keep hemet and San Jaceno together; from there go menifee, paris, __ neuvo, winchester, lists some places not similar.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511011			FALSE	TRUE	same economic & cultural interests in 4 cities - lots of back & forth between cities (live in one, work in other, similar people)	
50511012			FALSE	TRUE	share school district with chino hills but resource dist not the same- she filed law suit, ridiculed at CH city council; old cities share a lot & has minority pop;	
50511013			FALSE	FALSE		
50511014			FALSE	FALSE	all yuppies, etc	
50511015	hemet and san jaceno form community socially, economically, politically		FALSE	FALSE	sch district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511011			FALSE							
50511012			FALSE							
50511013			FALSE							
50511014			FALSE							
50511015			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511016	H		FALSE		simi valley	ventura	TRUE	group simi valley with other similar towns; all Ven Co in one CD; Simi V, Tho Oaks, Moorpark, Agoura Hills in one AD incl camarrilo to Porter Ranch	
50511017	H		FALSE			ventura	FALSE	lots in common in east Vent Co, not with LA?? Or adds west LA?	
50511018	H	citris farmer	FALSE	city council, mayor pro-tem for Riverside	Riverside		FALSE	gives city boundaries (rivers); 2 sd, 2 AD - no rep live in riverside. Please make city one 1 AD, 1 SD	
50511019	H		FALSE		riverside (city council?)		FALSE	keep Riverside in one district; try to keep co in fewer as well; add corona?	
50511020	H		FALSE	works for (govt relations?) Chamber of Commerce, riverside city	Riverside		FALSE	keep city and county in tact as much as possible; dessert of Co with city; more in common with SD county (more than LA Co?), go west to rest of county (from city of R) to make AD; add corona get close to 450K; advocating against cross county lines	believes counties are COI because of service providers

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511016			FALSE	TRUE	tract homes, raise family; not so much in common with west ventura/coast cities	
50511017			FALSE	FALSE		
50511018	Riverside: many neighborhoods (Q: pls describe? A: diverse, lists some, and being carved up makes it harder for these neighborhoods. Q: what add? A:_____		TRUE	TRUE		city is financially sound, biz renissance
50511019	Riverside		FALSE	FALSE	hwy interchange - hub of transp, 4 colleges,; health, educ, transpor, safety - keys issues that need representation by one person	
50511020	for SD, CD go where? South and east.	91 freeway is their corridor	FALSE	TRUE	county because of service provisions	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511016			FALSE							
50511017			FALSE							
50511018			FALSE							
50511019			FALSE							
50511020			FALSE					TRUE	ppt printed	05.05.11. C

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511021	H		FALSE				FALSE	agrees with 19	
50511022	H		FALSE	greater riverside chamber of commerce			FALSE	River Co should have no more than ___ of each Dist; keep intact Riverside county and cities of R Co.; not with SB, Orange, __ Counties	
50511023	H		FALSE	advocating for Pomona - home of LA Co fair, great universities, downtown renovation,			FALSE	don't fragment Pomona - its created problems, not knowing rep, etc	
50511024	H		FALSE		Pomona		FALSE	keep Pomona how is now, with Montclair, Ontario?; keep working class communities together, not with rich	
50511025	H		FALSE				FALSE	stay within county borders, Inland Empire on some aspects (sports,weather) - most in common with SB, not with SD, LA, OC	
50511026	H		FALSE				FALSE	use toastmasters district 12 (eq fault to); division A - pomona valley, Div B- ____, Div C ____; division D - redlands to blythe;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511021	corona, norco, eastvale, + riverside for pop		FALSE	FALSE	norco used to be north corona, eastvale got mail from corona - share a lot	
50511022	eg of Co community: cochella valley		FALSE	FALSE		
50511023			FALSE	FALSE	Pomona needs to be together for service provision	
50511024			FALSE	FALSE		
50511025			FALSE	FALSE		
50511026			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511021			FALSE							
50511022			FALSE							
50511023			FALSE							
50511024			FALSE							
50511025			FALSE							
50511026			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511027	H		FALSE		riverside		FALSE	Riverside with newly incorp areas: uripa north, paris valley to south, ___ to east; currently not together	
50511028	H		FALSE		Lake Arrowhead		FALSE	San Bernardino Mt communities together, ROW school dist divided between 2 Ads; closer/more in common to cities in to south (redlands, highland?) rather than in north	
50511029	H		FALSE		La Verne, pomona valley for 30 yrs		FALSE	keep Ponomo, Ont, Chino, Chino Hills, Montclair together	
50511030	H		FALSE		moreno valley for long time		FALSE	support SB Co people; was fued with Riverside, getting better, but keep separate in districts;	
50511031	H		FALSE		moved from OC 25 yrs ago to Norco (I have copy of statement)		FALSE	interests in common with SB and rural Riv Co, represent ok now, NOT with OC	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511027	Riverside, Paris, Marina Valley, Uripa Valley		FALSE	FALSE		economics of 4 cities tied together and in competition with Ontario, ...etc
50511028			FALSE	FALSE	rim of world___, fire,...etc, rim of world school dist	
50511029	see above		FALSE	FALSE	live in one city, work in other	
50511030			FALSE	FALSE	cochella valley dominates politics but not in same media area so don't get news, get news from Chicago!	
50511031			FALSE	FALSE	rural, ag life share	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511027			FALSE					TRUE	maps of proposed districts	05.05.11.D
50511028			FALSE							
50511029	bec of demographics		FALSE							
50511030			FALSE							
50511031			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.05.11.E

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511032	H		FALSE				FALSE	current districts jump over mountains!; proposes dist (long and narrow as seen on map); Q: why left out some that would have lumped in? A: didn't see them on my map; Q: why so long? A: cities at each end are connected, county line, rep can travel on 15	OC has didn't mind set
50511033	H		FALSE	mayor	Norco		FALSE	enjoy good representation from state, fed and county reps - he respects them; please represent city boundaries, Riv Co boundary	
50511034	H	geographer, GIS	FALSE		moreno valley		FALSE	agree county and city boundaries are imp; keep Morena Valley in one district	
50511035	H		FALSE	teach at Riverside City College - representing students	eastvale		FALSE	keep riverside city together, and then Moreno Valley is sphere of influence; put city of R and Moreno Valley together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511032	eastvale (used to be part of Miraloma), miraloma, norco, corona, lake elsinore, menifee, temecula (Wildamar, French Valley too - didn't see on map but would have incl)		FALSE	FALSE		
50511033	Norco, Corona, Eastvale share school district, along hwy 15 corridor/econ oport, then cities to east of us		FALSE	FALSE		
50511034			FALSE	FALSE		
50511035			FALSE	TRUE	colleges, shopping by students from Riverside college in Moreno Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511032			FALSE							
50511033			FALSE							
50511034			FALSE			start with 8 major metro areas (he lists) because have great pop and therefore more represent; will help avoid Packing/cracking, most COI will be taken care of		TRUE	testimony	05.05.11. F
50511035			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511036	H	teacher	FALSE		ontario		TRUE	chino, pomona, montclair, ontario together; impt especially to keep ontario with ponoma, because of leaders in ed system in Pomona.	
50511037	H		FALSE	rep family, friends and Latino Board of _____ project?	Riverside City		FALSE	greater Riverside community (lists cities) get two Ads	
50511038	H		FALSE	R Latino Voter Reg Project			FALSE	River Metro area that previous speaker described; current AD to dessert is not good, reps don't campaign here, very little voting by Latino;	
50511040	H		FALSE	mayor of canyon lake, southwest River Co			FALSE	southwest R district	
50511041	H		FALSE			SB Co	FALSE	don't cross into River Co if can, because different; 2 AD in west SB Co (northern area fontana, etc; other can include chino, pomona (exception to not x county border); east AD: _____; nest AD in SD; CD in west and east valley; (not chino hills right?)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511036			FALSE	TRUE	lives revolve across these 4 cities; ponoma teachers have been leaders for teachers in valley and advocate with legislators	
50511037	Riverside city + Morena Valley,.....(get list)		FALSE	TRUE	lives across these cities	
50511038			FALSE	FALSE		
50511040	canyon lake, lake elsinore, _____, _____		FALSE	FALSE	listed these for the towns mentioned	
50511041			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511036			FALSE							
50511037			FALSE					TRUE	maps (same as 27-'AGC presentation' noted on maps) - thinks about ~700K (for CD?)	05.05.11.G
50511038			FALSE			want fair redistricting				
50511040			FALSE					TRUE	one page proposing district with pop count and how comply with criteria	05.05.11.H
50511041			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511042	H		FALSE	westside action group - african-american redistricting coalition for IE or SB		SB Co	TRUE	want 4 AD, nested for Sen, 3 CD; too many now, wanting county in tact	
50511043	H		FALSE		temecula valley		FALSE	want reps on east side of mts in River and NOT with OC	
50511044	H		FALSE		river valley? Own map or map of other??		FALSE	agrees with 44?	
50511045	H		FALSE		moreno valley		FALSE	not with OC or SB Co, that is not COIs; moreno valley split into 2-3 of each; use march joint? powers district	
50511046	H		FALSE				TRUE	support #42	
50511047	H		FALSE	Riverside Board of Supervisors, President			FALSE	resolution from Board - hope that won't have to cross county lines; given that AD is big - its simple - give reps chance to focus on issues and areas - use concentrated compact COI; finally giving voice to communities - great!	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511042	Rialto and City of SB and = AD		FALSE	FALSE		
50511043			FALSE	FALSE		
50511044	peris, ...		FALSE	FALSE		
50511045	Riverside, peris, french valley?		FALSE	FALSE		
50511046	SB, Rialto... ; go west into Fontana to get more pop		FALSE	TRUE	school district - largest concentration of students outside LA Co and large concentra of minority student, need to be together	
50511047			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511042			FALSE					TRUE	will submit data	
50511043			FALSE							
50511044			FALSE							
50511045			FALSE							
50511046			FALSE							
50511047			FALSE			need R reform at all level, county, state, federal		TRUE	Riverside Board of Sups resolution	05.05.11.I

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511051	H		FALSE		Riverside City - 20 yrs, before that Cochella V, before that Moreno V	Riverside Co 40 yrs	FALSE	moreno valley is divided, current CD goes to OC county ; south edge of co: banning area east to mts, not cochella valley on other side of mts	
50511052	H		FALSE		chino	R Co	FALSE	mont, ont, chino together, can add pomona	
50511053	H		FALSE		Riverside Cty since 2000, and 2 yrs in 80s		FALSE	provided maps; keep certain districts within Rivers Co., 2 AD in Riverside	
50511055	pass - left long handout		FALSE				FALSE		
50511058	H		FALSE	grass roots civic organization	Riverside	Riverside	FALSE	don't want River Co chopped up; like joining with Moreno Valley and March AFB, not with Corona (not much in common with)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511051	lists cities		FALSE	TRUE	community service needs,	biz
50511052			FALSE	TRUE	hispanic: montclair, Ontario, chino; if added chino hills then asians won't get representation; Ch Hills more in common with Diamond Bar, etc	
50511053			FALSE	FALSE		
50511055			FALSE	FALSE		
50511058			FALSE	TRUE	go to Moreno V for shopping etc, never with Corona	share March AFB, three freeways with Moreno Valley; share freeway with Corona but that's a problem. When March AFB closed joint powers project formed.

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511051			FALSE					TRUE	testimony & maps (same maps as 27 and 37)	05.05.11.J & 05.05.11.K
50511052			FALSE							
50511053		adhere to VRA for Latino community	FALSE					TRUE	testimony & maps (same maps as 27,37,51)	05.05.11.L & 05.05.11.P
50511055			FALSE					TRUE	testimony re COI & many pages with maps and pops	05.05.11.N
50511058			FALSE			don't want funny desribed districts - turtle turned upside down				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511059	H		FALSE		River	River	FALSE	impt of keeping all district within reasonable boundaries of River Cty and greater Riverside, NOT OC (Madera Ranch - nothing in common with it)	
50511060	H		FALSE		jurupa valley		FALSE	eastvale is more like OC; we are rural types, and have working class communities, have golf course; please keep our city together in districts	
50511061	H		FALSE	disclosure: riverside co republ central comm, opened comm to run for state assem- so does have self interest admittedly though speaking as a citizen	Riverside Cty		FALSE	R with woodcrest, jurupa valley etc, another one in west (lake elsinore); central riverside county seat with moreno valley	
50511063	H		FALSE		jurupa valley		FALSE	COI with moreno Valley (hospital, college, traffic ct, same traffic problems)	
50511064	pass - left handwritten note		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511059			FALSE	FALSE		
50511060	incorporated a few towns to make city of jurupa valley, eastvale was a yr ahead of us	gives borders of new city - jurupa valley: highways and a river	FALSE	FALSE		
50511061			FALSE	TRUE	socio-economic similarities in his proposals	
50511063			FALSE	FALSE		
50511064			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511059			FALSE							
50511060			FALSE							
50511061			FALSE							
50511063			FALSE							
50511064			FALSE					TRUE	wrote note on back of handout page re non-citizens	05.05.11. O

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50511068	H	election worker in rialto, RC	FALSE		rancho cucamonga (lived in Ontario and Upland too)		FALSE	commonalities with ontario, upland, little bit of fontana (anything north of baseline or foothill she says later - was open area before); seen a lot of growth over years; keep 4 cities together; great area for children, safer than LA;	
50511073	H		FALSE	River Comm Colleg board of trustees - just left	Riverside since 1971		FALSE	River City shares similarities with Mareno Valley to be better served, represented; Q: which town split to get an AD? A: don't want to answer...not much over; Q: what about each as own AD and combining for SD? A: disimmilar if go south on River	
50511074	H		FALSE		Riverside since 1951 off and on, also lived Imperial co, Sonoma Co		FALSE	Riverside, jurupa,....., Pedley; undecided about eastvale but connect it before corona; for moreno valley....?; for east R co...has lots in common with Imperial, imperial has as much in common with R Co as SD Co.	
50611000	H	city council member, Santa Ana	FALSE	Santa Ana City			FALSE	maps with proposed CD, from ___ Cook; OC kept whole (with only exception at south end), Santa Ana kept whole (to allow to elect Latino)	OC is own COI, break border once if have to on south end

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50511068			FALSE	TRUE	open area, latino (fontana part and RC)	
50511073			FALSE	TRUE	talks about a school dist; Latino pop	
50511074	little mt area divides us from _____		FALSE	FALSE		
50611000	Santa Ana		FALSE	TRUE	county, latino voting	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50511068			FALSE							
50511073			FALSE							
50511074			FALSE							
50611000			FALSE					TRUE	map	05.06.11. A

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611001	H		FALSE	OC Business Council, works for			FALSE		
50611002	H		FALSE	Orange City, mayor			FALSE	OC little > 3 million, very dense geographically; Orange is ___ people; keep OC whole; Orange city now has 4 AD, please reduce; north OC cities have a lot in common; agrees with Cook proposal that speaker #0 showed.	
50611003	H		FALSE		Laguna Beach		FALSE	provided map (Laguna Beach, etc)	
50611004	H		FALSE	Water District of Orange County - provided to water to 6 counties, he's elected to a district in water district with 4-5 AD,SD,CD each			FALSE		
50611005	H		FALSE	OC Asian Pacific Alliance - part of CAPAFR - has handout			FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611001			FALSE	FALSE		
50611002	north cities (Placentia)		FALSE	FALSE		
50611003			FALSE	FALSE	lifestyle and culture - tied to land and sea, committed to nature, working with biz; Q: why? A: used whole cities around open space	
50611004	yorba linda, le habra, ...		FALSE	FALSE		
50611005			FALSE	FALSE	API community - Korean, Chinese	small biz - 63,000? Asian-owned biz

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611001			FALSE			want compact dist with clearly drawn boundaries....etc.				
50611002			FALSE							
50611003			FALSE					TRUE	testimony and 2 maps	
50611004			FALSE							
50611005			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, text and map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611006	H	acct	FALSE	CAPAFR with handout, represent Korean Community		OC	FALSE		
50611007	H	former state Leg for parts of OC; frustrated with SF Bay Area getting more of state \$	FALSE				FALSE	LA should have 14 CD, no more no less, keep districts within counties	
50611008	H		FALSE	South Asian Network - CAPAFR with handout			FALSE	map of south asian communities in so LA Co, and Nor OC	
50611009	H		FALSE	Vietnamese American Community of Southern CA, CAPAFR - has handout			FALSE	see map of Little Saigon: Garden Grove, Westminstier, Fountain Valley, parts of Santa Ana, Midway City, and Stanton	
50611010	H	(see org below)	TRUE	Santa Ana LULAC, President			TRUE	retain Santa Ana in AD, w/ S Anaheim, SW Orange & W Tustin; an AD anchored in flats of Anaheim plus portions of stanton and buena park with similar demogr; SD is a central OC district with these two AD nested in it; one CD must incl Anah & Santa Ana	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611006	lists cities with most Korean pop, and adds cities to make up pop		FALSE	TRUE		
50611007			FALSE	FALSE		
50611008			FALSE	FALSE		
50611009			FALSE	FALSE		
50611010	Santa Ana, flatlands of Anaheim, SW Orange, W Tustin, parts of Stanton and Buena Park	Santa Ana River as boundary (west of river? In particular town?)	FALSE	TRUE	COI in Central OC, Santa Ana is heavily Latino and Span speak (~75% of each): immigrants community, working class families, young population, cultural festiv for Latino commun; higher propensity to vote by Latino youth; something re colleg district?;	social interest cont.: Buena Park and Stanton is mixed pop of Latino, Asian (1/3 Latino, 1/3 Asian, 1/3 white) & we get along well; Anaheim and Santa Ana linked by blue collar, immigr pop w/ high # of Sp speakers, young aver age, common health concerns

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611006			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, text and map	
50611007			FALSE					TRUE	calculation of # of districts for So CA counties	
50611008			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, text and map	
50611009			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, text and map	
50611010	Latinos need representative from community in congress bec now against immigrants; if split two cites for CD then working class in with affluent district and voice diluted		FALSE					TRUE	Santa Ana LULAC testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611011	H		FALSE	Vietnamese-American Community			FALSE	little saigon ~ 9 sq mile, highest concentr outside Vietnam;	
50611012	H		FALSE			OC	TRUE	want max of AD, SD, CD within OC; anaheim is now 5 AD; AD centered in anaheim and adds part of Stanton, etc...another AD, and nest into a SD; gave report	
50611013	H		FALSE	Le Habra: 61K Latino? - 7 sq mile	le habra	north OC	TRUE	7 sq mi split up in ; wants La Habra in district with OC rather than LA Co and with other heavily Latino areas.	
50611014	H		FALSE	V ACOC - CAPAFR org - one page, no map			TRUE	keep little saigon together, G Grove, Westmist, and surrounding areas	
50611015	H		FALSE	APALC - provide data to support previous CAPAFR speakers			FALSE	summary of CAPAFR requests, ie keep Westminister, G Grove, Fountain Valley together...	
50611016	pass - but CAPAFR left his testimony		FALSE				FALSE		
50611017	H		FALSE	south coast chinese cultural association (SCCCA) - CAPAFR org with 2pg handout	Irvine		FALSE	Don't divide Irvine, & Irvine with Tustin and North Tustin	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611011		chapman, warner, beach blvd, ____ santa ana	FALSE	FALSE		
50611012			FALSE	TRUE	working class committee	
50611013			FALSE	TRUE	Latino	
50611014			FALSE	TRUE		
50611015			FALSE	FALSE		
50611016			FALSE	FALSE		
50611017			FALSE	TRUE	chinese community interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611011			FALSE							
50611012			FALSE					TRUE	bound proposal, stats and maps	
50611013			FALSE							
50611014			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, just text	
50611015			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR demographics supporting proposals	
50611016			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, just text	
50611017			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR handout, text and map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611018	H		FALSE		santa ana		FALSE	done well having Santa Ana and Anaheim together in CD?; keep that & want nesting of 2 AD in SD	
50611019	H		FALSE	Inter Community Network			FALSE	ok to cross county border for Artesia and Cerritos to get Asian pop	
50611020	H		FALSE	mayor of westminister - vietnamese community - handout!			TRUE	VRA: possible 2 Latino in OC, ___ Asian in OC; don't cut little saigon	
50611021	H		FALSE		westminister - vietnamese community	OC	FALSE	don't cut up little saigon	
50611022	H	vietnamese refugee	FALSE	council member from city of palm valley? Or westminister? Represents Little Saigon			FALSE	keep Little Saigon together; Q:what add? A: go to Fairview in Santa Ana (viet-own biz there too)	
50611023	H		FALSE	Asian Peach Officers Association			FALSE	keep Little Saigon together (he defines it)	
50611024	H		FALSE	school board in westminister			FALSE	Keep Little Saigon together (defines...incl'd Mid City inside Westminister)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611018			FALSE	FALSE	largest minority pop in OC, Santa Ana diverse and county seat	
50611019	H Heights, Rowland H, Diamond Bar together as well; don't support GG and West together?		FALSE	TRUE	Asian pop	
50611020			FALSE	FALSE		
50611021	Westminster, Fountain Valley		FALSE	TRUE	common school district	
50611022	GGrove, Westminster, and Fountain Valley		FALSE	FALSE	joint biz district between 3 cities, over 5000 vietnamese-owned biz	
50611023			FALSE	TRUE	splitting up would be like splitting up chinatown in SF; pop culture, etc	
50611024	G Grove, Westminster...		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611018			FALSE							
50611019			FALSE							
50611020			FALSE					TRUE	flash drive with maps, word docs, excel file	
50611021			FALSE							
50611022			FALSE					TRUE	print out of #20 flash drive material	
50611023			FALSE							
50611024			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611025	H	spoke in Long Beach, ran for office, but not speaking cause going to run again	FALSE				FALSE	keep Long Beach together	
50611026	H		FALSE	Neighbor Housing Service of OC			FALSE	support sensible comm-based district - current generally do this, have them retain intact as much as possible	
50611027	H	actor, previous marine corps	FALSE		NW corner of Santa Ana - on Homeowner's Ass		FALSE	maintain santa ana and anaheim together for state, separate for congress (to make districts more competitive)	
50611028	H		FALSE	housing council	47th CD, north orange co		FALSE	OC is 20% Latino, but 1/3 to more Latino in individual cities	
50611029	H		FALSE		yorba linda, 65K pop		FALSE	asian american community; keep North OC together;	
50611030	H		TRUE		works in Garden Grove		FALSE	Korean Community & Vietnamese Community mix well; don't separate two communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611025		LA River to ___ River on east, Ocean on south	FALSE	FALSE		
50611026	lists some...		FALSE	FALSE		
50611027			FALSE	FALSE		
50611028			FALSE	FALSE	transport, pop, economic, social needs (have a synergy of all these)	
50611029	chinese-amer concentration, Irvine, Tustin, Newport Beach		FALSE	FALSE		
50611030			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611025			FALSE			draw fair and reasonable boundaries		TRUE		
50611026			FALSE							
50611027			FALSE							
50611028			FALSE							
50611029			FALSE							
50611030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611031	H	planning commission city of stanton, in touch with working class comm in OC	FALSE		born and raised in santa ana, last 7 yrs in Stanton		FALSE	keep Santa Ana and Anaheim together, and include us in stanton - small city to be split up!! Go into the 47th	
50611032	H		FALSE		Garden Grove, 16 yrs, came to america in 95 (from vietnam)		FALSE	2001 redist fragmented Vietname community - no voice in state leg or congress, keep us together	
50611033	H		FALSE				FALSE	keep West and GG in same CD	
50611034	H	1975 came to usa	FALSE	Little Saigon communt develop org - founded L S			FALSE	keep little saigon in CD?	
50611036	H	vietnamese refugee	FALSE				FALSE	little saigon has grown; keep GG, West and surrounding Viet communities in same districts	
50611037	H	vietnamese community	FALSE				FALSE	don't divide GG and Westm.	
50611038	H	from mexican in 1980s	FALSE		santa ana		TRUE	keep Santa Ana and Anaheim together (no more borders for him please) - keep Latinos together to elect one of own	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611031			FALSE	FALSE		
50611032			FALSE	FALSE		
50611033			FALSE	TRUE	demograph, house size, median income, needs re lang and culture of Asian community, voice for Asian community	
50611034	GG, West, part of Santa Ana		FALSE	FALSE		
50611036			FALSE	FALSE		
50611037			FALSE	FALSE		
50611038			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611031			FALSE							
50611032			FALSE							
50611033			FALSE							
50611034			FALSE					TRUE	AFF map of vietnamese born pop	
50611036			FALSE							
50611037			FALSE							
50611038			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611039	H		FALSE		Lake Forest - 27 yrs		FALSE		
50611040	H		FALSE		huntington beach		FALSE	doesn't like CD 46 (current district)...please keep it in OC; use Santa Ana River, and ___ as natural dividers; coastal district seal beach to newport beach to make an AD; bottom line: keep huntington beach whole and there are three options	
50611041	H	mayor pro temp	FALSE	placentia city	placentia		TRUE	keep cities whole for admin purposes; keep county-comingling to mini; keep coastal separate from include (eg. See park system); 4th pt?	
50611043	H		FALSE	cerritos safety committee	cerritos		FALSE	keep area together (see cities below)	
50611044	H	1990 from Vietnam	FALSE	Vietnam Association of So CA in westminister			FALSE	wants chance to have viet-amer elected to office	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611039	Lake Forest connected to Irvine & not connected to northern SD Co!! Also more tied to coastal areas (Laguna, Newport); camp pendelton is buffer		FALSE	TRUE	kids go back and forth, scores are similar	
50611040			FALSE	FALSE		
50611041			FALSE	FALSE		
50611043	artesia, buena park, cerritos, la palma and cypress & cross county line into west anaheim		FALSE	TRUE	diversity? Coyote problem shared between these communities	
50611044	GG, Westminster		FALSE	FALSE	vietnam pops in GG and Westm, and growing - need to keep Viet-Am communitie in these two cities together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611039			FALSE							
50611040			FALSE							
50611041			FALSE							
50611043			FALSE							
50611044			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611045	H		FALSE		simi valley? Grew up in santa clarita valley		FALSE	keep east Ventura with Santa Clarita, and not with Topanga and Malibu (beach cities are very different!)	
50611046	H		FALSE		Garden Grove		FALSE	keep Viet-Amer community together, GG, Westm, and Fount Valley	
50611047	H		FALSE		La Palma		TRUE	La Palma, Cypress, Cerritors, Buena Park together; if need more go south to Stanton, ___ Seal Beach	
50611048	H	public school teacher	FALSE				FALSE	former rep - supports his proposal?; water district person - only one on South Co - its important; doesn't agree with coastal-inland split because of water shed - rain affects everyone downstream	
50611049	S - passed but left handout	lawyer Santa Ana	FALSE		Santa Ana - 10 yrs	Orange	TRUE	do not split Santa Ana between AD or SD	
50611051	H	represent sister and mother	FALSE		Chattsworth		TRUE	keep Chattsworth with Simi valley, etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611045			FALSE	FALSE		
50611046			FALSE	TRUE	kids school, citizens and voters	
50611047			FALSE	FALSE		
50611048			FALSE	FALSE		
50611049			FALSE	TRUE	Santa Ana is vibrant, diverse, low-middle calss, predominantly working class, strong Hispanic presence, substantial new immigrant presence (His & Asian), sign # of young urban professionals buying homes in the city	
50611051			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611045			FALSE							
50611046			FALSE							
50611047			FALSE					TRUE	proposed map with COI	
50611048			FALSE							
50611049	differs from much of OC which is more upper inc, concentrated upscale white collar, and prof workers and biz.		FALSE					TRUE	testimony described in this record	
50611051			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611053	H		FALSE		Anaheim		FALSE	compact eq pop (don't allow more than 1% deviation); keep nor OC intact and not include with LA or ___ Co; Orange with Anaheim, Nor OC would make great AD	
50611054	H		FALSE		laguna hill		FALSE	try to keep south OC together; not like current CD's not with Riverside; impt for south OC to keep deviation low to get equal representation; Q what is So OC? A: Laguna Hills, Laguna Beach, to San Clemente to SD Co border, inland up to Lake Forest ,	
50611055	H	Vietnamese	FALSE				FALSE	please do not split up Viet-Amer (GG and Westm together)	
50611055.5	H	mayor, Santa Ana (not supposed to be testimony but talked about OC)	FALSE		Santa Ana		FALSE		
50611056	pass - left after coming up to speak 4 times and each time being asked to wait for something, last time for mayor		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611053			FALSE	FALSE		
50611054			FALSE	FALSE		
50611055	GG, Westm		FALSE	FALSE	viet radio, telev, etc	
50611055.5			FALSE	TRUE	most bus traffic in OC in Santa Ana; OC is not homogenous, areas with in OC that have commonalities	
50611056			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611053			FALSE							
50611054			FALSE							
50611055			FALSE							
50611055.5			FALSE							
50611056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611058	H		FALSE		Garden Grove, works in anaheim		FALSE	anaheim is split up too much, split it into working class (vs hills wealthy), keep more ethnic groups in anaheim and Santa Ana together; use hwy 55 as barrier; keeping working class together	
50611060	H		FALSE		anaheim hills		FALSE	districts within city limits and county limits; complains about current AD; have us represented by someone within our local communities and not a broad swath across.	
50611063	H		FALSE		San Clemente - we are OC not San Diego, not Riverside		FALSE	san clemente, san juan and dana pt in same district, have been divided freq, but do a lot together (packet handout)	
50611068	H		FALSE	council member?	costa mesa		FALSE	submits plan for whole state! Consistent with 1991 ct plan	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611058			FALSE	FALSE		
50611060			FALSE	FALSE		
50611063			FALSE	TRUE	camp pendelton divides us (from SD) , use I-5 as divider, 6 COIs on handout - she mentioned parks and Capistrano USF	
50611068			FALSE	FALSE	No Co ver So Co- main difference is different Water supply; agrees south of Irvine is border between Nor and So	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611058			FALSE							
50611060			FALSE							
50611063			FALSE					TRUE	two page testimony and 3 maps	
50611068			FALSE					TRUE	three maps and 2 pages of stats	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611070	H	represent self	FALSE		Santa Ana		TRUE		
50611071	H		FALSE				TRUE	provide two pager - peunete hills north boundary; Pts out prob with CD 42 (not contiguous because no one drives from on pt to another); later asked to provide more - he said hes trying to print maps.	
50611072	H		FALSE		Huntington Beach		FALSE	keep H Beach toget; currently gerrymander - connected to Anaheim - not a natural connection, lists two togethers; keep beach cities together (even Seal Beach tho missle between us, to costa mesa, newport beach ok, not up to Cyp); keep GG and Westm toget;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611070			FALSE	FALSE		
50611071			FALSE	FALSE		
50611072	ok to go to Fountain Valley		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611070			FALSE			doesn't know the pecking order of COI vs city, county that CRC has chosen; but believes COI is more imppt than city boundaries cause cities have lobbyists to protect themselves				
50611071			FALSE					TRUE	two page testimony	
50611072			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
50611074	H	comm college district board	FALSE		orange		FALSE		
51211001	H	spoke in Norco (#4 there)	FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	do not break up desert communities which are a COI, possible Imperial too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
50611074		le sel in Orange is natural div line for COIs, west of newport is COI, look at school dist lines (Orange USD, incl. Orange, Villa Park, Anaheim Hills); anaheim hills part of Anaheim but many city council members live in hills, so flatlanders are underrep	FALSE	FALSE		
51211001			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
50611074		have several minority groups to protect, careful that COI not competing against each other - but thinks you can do it	FALSE							
51211001			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211002	H	mayor, cathedral city, chochella valley/palm springs biz community	FALSE		Chocella Valley: 9 cities and lots of incorporated area desert hot springs, palm springs, thousand palms, bermuda dunes (santa rosa area), sky valley, indio, indio hills, garnet, cathedral city, cochella, unincorporated area thermal mecca		TRUE	Keep Riverside Co intact and respect county lines, not imperial county - not similar to palm springs, not same tourism have with monuments,	
51211003	H		FALSE				TRUE	1 CD, 2 AD, 1 SD with east Rivers Co and Imperial Co - provides packet	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211002			FALSE	FALSE	water districts? (west of washington, one, east of washington, one); school districts?	cochella valley share: manufacturing, solar, etc - no govt shared with imperial valley
51211003			FALSE	FALSE	commonalities of imperial and cochella valley:health care	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211002			FALSE							
51211003			FALSE					TRUE	'packet'	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211004	H		FALSE	Diamond Bar City Council, mayor pro-tempe, also League of CA Cities, SCAG	diamond bar	LA Co	FALSE	diamond bar, chino hills, brea lots in common - shop in the others	
51211005	H		FALSE		French Valley, between Hemet and Temecula, unincorpt Winchester		FALSE	maintain communities of interest and county lines; riverside should have 3 (700K size) districts (based on pop #); south and east	
51211006	H		FALSE				FALSE		
51211007	H		FALSE		Indio, Cochella Valley		FALSE	Cochella Valley in E Rivers Co is interrlated with Imper Co/Valley - Salton (Q: how far? A: work with kids all the way to El Centro) Q: is Imperial Valley all the way from cochella to Mexican border? A: yes, agree with that	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211004		57, 60	FALSE	FALSE	57, 60 freeways congested - share massive transport headache with OC; % asian high in these cities - asians will have voice in east San Gabriel Valley	
51211005			FALSE	TRUE	a list () of commonalities in his area; dissimilarities with desert that is over mountain	
51211006			FALSE	FALSE		
51211007			FALSE	TRUE	chochella valley provide school for Imperial Valley - bussed; two valleys have same needs,	agricultural base

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211004			FALSE							
51211005			FALSE							
51211006			FALSE			don't district politically - reform gerrymandering - get to purity of districts rather than political; goes thru criteria, eq pop good, vra good, city & county line good, don't use COI - that will help you not be political				
51211007			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211008	H	retired prof, san diego state	FALSE		Palm Desert		FALSE	cochella and imperial valley share geography (saltan trough)	
51211009	H	Rivers Co Supervisor	FALSE	Rivers Co Board of Sups	bermuda dunes	rivers	FALSE	Board of Sups requested that Riverside Co stay in tact, Cochella Valley is a COI, unique one	
51211010	H		FALSE	'Desert Cities United Community of Interest'	Rancho Mirage		FALSE	keep Cochella Vally together and don't add in Imperial Co; Q: connection to North or West more than Imperial? A: yes they are more similar, Rivers Co is best but ok to go into south SB Co.	
51211011	H		FALSE		Palm Springs, 20 yrs		FALSE	cochella valley into 1 AD like were in '91, now split in 2 AD; add banning and beaumont to cochella valley to make an AD, don't group with Imperial co - different	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211008			FALSE	FALSE	geography, retirement draw, ecological disaster of Saltan Sea (now 2 reps, need one); water supply, geothermal energy, balancing old and new economies; health care issues (Q: share facilities? A; need more sharing by putting two valleys in one district)	agricultural
51211009			FALSE	FALSE	Ch V transport system,, Q: geothermal asked of previous A: under Saltan Sea - if resolve sea problem will get solar and geothermal energy to pay for it.Q: what add to make third SD? A; Imperial Co	Cochella Valley Economic Partnership
51211010			FALSE	FALSE	geographically compact	share tourism, solid income, bedroom communities, high retirement
51211011			FALSE	TRUE	____?	tourism - vs ag of Imperial Valley

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211008			FALSE							
51211009			FALSE							
51211010	very different from Imperial Co and its ag interests - different living standard, border issues, (dilute Imperial Valley's interest if put with Cochella V		FALSE			1% vs 5%	COI are not ethnicities, only shared econ or social interests			
51211011			FALSE					TRUE	testimony?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211013	H	city council member, Palm Springs	FALSE	palm springs city council			TRUE	CD 44 is too big geographically - hard for one person to represent; most closely related to Imperial Valley - draw lines from Cochella to Mexican border	
51211014	H		FALSE	city of moreno Valley?	moreno valley		TRUE	carved up now; keep city of morena valley whole; Q: who partner with? A: close relationship with Perris	
51211015	H		FALSE		San Fernando Valley - reading letter for her friend		FALSE	East Ventura Co is COI; thou oaks, oak park, simi valley, camarillo, agoura hills in one district	
51211016	H		FALSE	catherderal City & SCAG			FALSE	washington st as border for ___; banning-beaumont pass is border for ___; will email his comments	
51211017	H		FALSE		Indio		TRUE	keep cochella valley whole; indio is separated now; stay with West River Co rather than Imperial Valley - go West!	
51211018	H	volunteer with LGBT youth	FALSE	LGBT community for Cochella and Imperial Valleys			TRUE	keep Cochella Valley and Imperial Valley together; 1 cd, 2 ad, 1 sd	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211013			FALSE	TRUE	share Salton Sea; when big one hits, will be unable to get over San Jacinto mts to LA, Rivers, etc., will be cut off from Riverside;	
51211014			FALSE	TRUE	with Perris (schools, March Reserve Air Base)	
51211015			FALSE	FALSE		
51211016		I -10, hwy 86, boundary is CA-60/banning beaumont is where Coch valley ends	FALSE	TRUE	solar and wind, geothermal in imperiial valley,	three economic groups
51211017		I-10 leads us,	FALSE	FALSE	rail system being consider	CBAG= govt partners, CVAG? = economic partners
51211018			FALSE	TRUE	needs of LGBT youth similar (health (AIDS ed, treatment), economic, ___)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211013			FALSE							
51211014			FALSE							
51211015			FALSE					TRUE	letter	
51211016			FALSE							
51211017			FALSE							
51211018	better to be together in districts to serve LGBT (youth espec)		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211019	H	offered to speak in Eng or Spanish	FALSE				FALSE	East Riverside Co(Cochella Valley & pala verde valley (north past Blithe, brawley east to colorado river) and Imperial co together	
51211020	H	doctor - ER - Eisenhower Hospital, Sr Ass Dean at UC Riverside School of Medicine (new?)	FALSE	health access org - for Cochella Valley (Coachella Valley Health Initiative)	grew up in city of coachella		FALSE	combine E Rivers Co with Imper Co	
51211021	H		TRUE			Riverside	TRUE	Ass mem doesn't represent us; Co needs 3 AD - include Imperial Valley in one	
51211022	H		TRUE		calexico	Imperial	TRUE	support speakers #3, 8, 13, 20, not #10; Imperial & Riverside more similar than San Diego	
51211023	H	law professor for 4 decades till recently	FALSE		La Quinta		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211019	Blithe included	I-10	FALSE	TRUE	issues of immigrants brought different ethnicity together; education needs, protect water supply (being taken from SD and LA); expand energy, fix Salton Sea; Q: more on immigrants? A: all over these areas, if in Imper Valley shop in Indio vs in San Diego	high employment, poverty pockets, homelessness
51211020			FALSE	TRUE	Cochella Valley needs more health care capacity in safety net, not enough physiciaans & Imperial Valley has same barriers (if not worse), both different from W Rivers; similar drop out rates; most watched tv station in valleys is Univision; Q: re immig?	same socio-economic between two valleys
51211021			FALSE	FALSE		
51211022			FALSE	TRUE	ethnic groups, latinos (not as many in SD)	
51211023			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211019			FALSE							
51211020			FALSE							
51211021			FALSE							
51211022	latinos need representation		FALSE							
51211023			FALSE			where u have a chance to make competitive districts should do it...will reduce voter apathy		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211024	H	take care of disabled mother, not a lot \$	FALSE			Riverside Co over 20 yrs	FALSE	keep lower inc cities (Cochella, La Quinta) together and not with Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage	
51211028	H		FALSE		Calimesa		TRUE	realize that Rivers goes from Color River west to 9 miles from Pac Ocean; Cala Mesa belongs with Yucaipa even thou its another county - beaumont and banning want Cala Mesa but better with Yucaipa; Q: to add? A: go north west	
51211029	H	renter that was foreclosed on, retired social worker, speaking on behalf of low income people cause not here!	FALSE				FALSE		
51211030	H		FALSE		Indio		TRUE	reps don't represent her; include Indio in Cochella Valley group of cities (not with San Diego, border cities like Calexico)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211024			FALSE	FALSE		home care & public services not being supported by current reps,
51211028			FALSE	TRUE	Q: what are similiarities? A: bedroom communities, elderly vs Redlands with more investors?	
51211029			FALSE	FALSE		foreclosure crisis binds all of So Ca and Cochella Valley
51211030			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211024			FALSE				thnx for coming here, not all of us have \$ to travel to Sac			
51211028			FALSE							
51211029			FALSE							
51211030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211031	H	did census PR for three counties (Rivers, Imperial, ?) - but had to haggle with fed govt to get help with Imperial Co - typical of what goes on in eastern Cochella Valley	FALSE	UFW	cochella valley		FALSE	need Cochella Valley with Imperial Valley!	
51211032	H		FALSE		La Quinta		FALSE	agrees with last speaker, and gives more detail on what to keep and not	
51211033	H		FALSE		Palm Springs		FALSE	keep comm as desert community (use mt pass at Banning/Beaumont as dividing line) - will see growth of Saltan Sea area as gets fixed, bus line (sun line) is busy fr Desert Hot Springs, down to & up from Thermal or Mecca - lots of travel up/down this area	
51211035	H - testified in Norco	community activist	FALSE	works with hispanic latino voter reg drives	moreno valley		TRUE	keep Moreno Valley intact, with Riverside and Perris	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211031			FALSE	TRUE	social needs/probs in east Cochella Valley - people in Rancho Mirage don't see this	
51211032			FALSE	FALSE		
51211033			FALSE	FALSE		
51211035			FALSE	FALSE	health care, recreation facilities, transportation in common; March Reserve AFB - Joint Powers shared between these communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211031			FALSE							
51211032			FALSE							
51211033			FALSE							
51211035			FALSE					TRUE	maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211036	H		FALSE	mayor pro-temp of Moreno Valley - very populous city (21st in CA); today speaking as resident	Moreno Valley		TRUE	keep Moreno Valley in tact, now cut up, need one distr (state level); keep Riverside Co together as much as possible - huge growth; don't put us with city of Rivers, tho we r similar, because we are growing, so can go south and + Perris, etc	
51211037	H		FALSE		Cochella (office) - Baker Corp - renewable energy applications ; travel through the 3 valleys		FALSE	three valleys together (Coch, Pala Verde, Imer)	
51211038	H		FALSE		cathedral city		FALSE	2 proposals spoke of tonight: East/West vs Nor/Sou?;geography says link Coch to Imperial Valley - N/S vs E/W; coch valley ends when don't see scrub and sand; sep from River and W Rive Co	
51211039	H		FALSE	City Commissioner for Indio	Indio		TRUE	do feel represented as Latino	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211036	add Perris, Temecula, Winchester, San Jacinto, Murrieta		FALSE	FALSE	transportation, public safety long established COI's in Riverside Co, WRCA (West River Co Assoc of Govt)	
51211037	3 valleys		FALSE	FALSE	suburban with agric, high latino, high unemployment	
51211038		hwy 86 corridor unites counties	FALSE	TRUE	86 corridor unites N/S	
51211039			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211036			FALSE							
51211037	help to unify the three valleys to address issues, and saltan sea		FALSE							
51211038			FALSE							
51211039			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211040	H	retired military, flew	FALSE		cochella valley		FALSE		
51211041	H		FALSE	city of San Jacinto, vice mayor	San Jacinto		FALSE	got area into one supervisorial district, want to be in one state-level distr too (not split like in some proposals from Norco); Q Beaumont or Menifee more similar to? A: ?	
51211042	H		FALSE		city of Desert Hot Springs, far western edge of cochella valley		FALSE	use geography - stop at mts to get to San Diego and mts to get to _____	
51211043	H	public defender in Indio	FALSE		Palm Springs		FALSE	coche and Imper valleys into one district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211040			FALSE	TRUE	habitat and species very specific within cochella valley (not Imperial Valley); have own transportation, hwy, airports; own health care system, own education system (college?)	tourism is #1 industry NOT agriculture; agr in Imperial Valley, and San Diego
51211041		215 is border	FALSE	TRUE	utilities, school districts, all same in that region; history unites this area	citris and __
51211042			FALSE	FALSE	utilites: Imperial District - power for east Coch Valley, another one has power for west Coch Valley, water districts too, desert builders assoc, mosquito abatement district - has several representatives	4 prisons, 2 in each county - each employ 1000 people
51211043			FALSE	FALSE	moreno valley very different from cochella valley(and Imperial valley): water issue here in coch	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211040			FALSE							
51211041			FALSE							
51211042			FALSE							
51211043			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51211044	H - 44 is last # given		TRUE	city of Cochella, mayor pro-temp	cochella - larges city in Coch Valley- 110K residents		FALSE	cochella valley COI with imperial county	
51311001	H		FALSE		ramona (36,500 pop approx)	san diego	TRUE	92065 live in unicorp area (ramona) of San Diego Co, 3 AD, 2 CD, 1 SD; should be one of each	
51311002	H		FALSE		Econdino		TRUE	current split 2 AD, splits up school district, people work in one AD and live in another, unite Escondido and keep with inland communities	
51311004	H - read letter of friend		FALSE		chattsworth	LA	TRUE	chattsworth and porter ranch very similar to simi valley, moorpark, thousand oaks - areas around Santa Susanna mts make a perfect district - ok that crosses counties	
51311005	H		FALSE		simi valley, grew up in SF Valley (Chattsworth)	Ventura Co	TRUE	Chattsworth and Porter ranch are like Simi Valley, Thou Oaks, Moor park - and are not like other SF Valley cities (which are industrial) - please put those cities [across co lines] into a district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51211044			FALSE	TRUE	young & minority pop; water rights to Color River - historicly had foresight to get water rights - 1st, 2nd and 4th; Q: Native-Amer? A: several tribes (mentions 4) - mostly Cueva(?) - agua caliete too (P Sprngs), two tribes (?) have intermarried	agr is key - saving grace - if not for it unemply much worse; renewable energy - wind, solar, geotherm, develop saltan sea - econ green engine to harvest renew energy potent of saltan sea; water is key - have Colorado River water rights - have to fight for
51311001			FALSE	TRUE		ag, fruit trees, growing winery
51311002			FALSE	TRUE	inland communities very different from coastal, Encondido relates to inland, agr	
51311004			FALSE	FALSE		
51311005			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51211044			FALSE							
51311001			FALSE					TRUE	maps on hard board of existing districts	
51311002			FALSE							
51311004			FALSE							
51311005			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311008	H		FALSE		____near San Marcos	San Diego	FALSE		
51311009	H		FALSE		hidden meadows		TRUE	like Escondido but never in district with it - please do that. Q: what other areas? A: valley center, bansel, similar rural to hidden meadows; she identiifies with Escondido, San Marcos (where shops), does not identify with Murrieta, Temecula	
51311010	H		FALSE				TRUE	san marcos, vista, fullbrook, oceanside(?) together	
51311011	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep coastal communities together - oceanside to del mar, but not like abomination have now	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311008			FALSE	FALSE		
51311009			FALSE	FALSE		
51311010			FALSE	FALSE		
51311011			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311008			FALSE			look at pop growth; look at northcounty times - 2 editions inland and coast - shows different communities; something about open primary and 'none of the above' and something about heritage, re numbering, don't have to start at top even tho count from top				
51311009			FALSE							
51311010			FALSE							
51311011			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311012	H		FALSE		Fallbrook	San Diego Co	FALSE		
51311013	H		FALSE	member of AAPI group	oceanside	San Diego	TRUE	oceanside, san marcos, carlsbad, san marcos; keep these north county cities together and NOT with orange county	
51311015	H		FALSE		oceanside		TRUE	oceanside, san marcos, carlsbad, vista share a lot (tri-city area); not as much in common with Escondido	
51311016	H		FALSE		oceanside		TRUE	make county border (between OC and SD Co) district lines; hwy 78 corridor district; hwy 15 cooridor makes COI that is partially in Riverside Co; Q: Camp Pendelton yours or San Clemente in OC? A: its mostly ours	
51311017	H		FALSE		poway	San Diego Co	TRUE	keep Poway intact and add cities below	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311012			FALSE	FALSE		
51311013			FALSE	TRUE	API - oceanside: 23% inc in API, Latino increase too, white & black decreased; Q:why for COI? A:others want to answer more than her	
51311015			FALSE	TRUE	share hospital districts, use major hwy corridor, overlapping school districts, regional planning (San DAG?) is for those areas together separate from Escondido she thinks	
51311016			FALSE	FALSE		
51311017	poway, mira mesa, ____, ____ranch bernardo		FALSE	TRUE	Sikh community - professional, educated, temples in Poway and Escondido + other South Asian communities = COI	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311012			FALSE			bunch of philosophy...something about parents of fallbrook and school				
51311013			FALSE							
51311015			FALSE							
51311016			FALSE							
51311017			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311018	H		FALSE		rancho penasquitos		FALSE		
51311019	H		FALSE		oceanside; lived in area 20 yrs		TRUE	keep oceanside, vista, carlsbad and san marcos together	
51311020	H		FALSE		hidden meadows (actually kind of part of escondido)	SD	TRUE	4 similar cities (oceanside + _____)	
51311021	H		FALSE	mayor oceanside, San DAG board	oceanside		TRUE	SAN DAG considers north county Del Mar to Camp Pendleton + vista from inland to make north county coastal district; north county inland its own AD	
51311022	H	educator, researcher, national Latino research Center here on campus	TRUE		san marcos		TRUE	78 corridor (san marcos, oceanside, vista, escondido)	
51311023	H		FALSE		Vista		TRUE	Vista, and other cities on hwy 78 make up north county community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311018	Rancho Pach, Poway, carmel valley, ____, scripts ranch		FALSE	TRUE	APA community - military is economic source for this community, also stores; Q: what else? A: new hwy 56 unites carmel valley and poway	
51311019			FALSE	TRUE	kids go to school in one or the other, one mall in these cities, and escondido mall is far away	
51311020			FALSE	TRUE	CSU San Marcos serve these cities, large Latino pop in each cities(38-78%)	
51311021			FALSE	FALSE		
51311022			FALSE	TRUE	Latinos almost 50% of the pop in these cities	san Marcos is now vibrant
51311023			FALSE	TRUE	diversity, Latino is 26% of North County, majority of Vista and other cities; low education level among parents	poverty

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311018			FALSE							
51311019			FALSE							
51311020			FALSE							
51311021			FALSE							
51311022	Latinos have struggled to get adequate representation		FALSE							
51311023			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311025	H		FALSE	Tea Party Patriot	vista		TRUE	east county (drew district at RAS on Euclid); get 3 AD all within SD Co	
51311026	H		TRUE	tea party of poway, chair	poway		TRUE	proposed districts (though mentioned labelling problem-same as maps from 25?); carlsbad to del mar, east of poway, and up to escondido, but not including it.	
51311027	H		FALSE				TRUE	'district 66' he calls his proposal: hwy 15, etc...	
51311028	H	have FAS and can't drive-use public transportation, SSDI,	FALSE		carlsbad, born on _____ Indian reservation		TRUE	public transit board director must ride public districts	
51311029	H	psychiatric nurse	FALSE		vista?		TRUE	oceanside, san marcos, vista, fallbrook - make one district, hwys 78, 76, and 5 on coast	
51311030	H		FALSE	San Marcos group concerned with K-12 funding	San Marcos		TRUE	san marcos - needs voice to get better school funding	
51311031	H		FALSE		oceanside		TRUE	keep cities together (listed below)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311025		I -14, ocean, east border of county	FALSE	TRUE	vista has great social problems & not representation	
51311026			FALSE	TRUE	common demog of educ, lang, range of inc, citizenship; respects criteria outlined in CRC materials	
51311027			FALSE	TRUE		all rural - farming - land and water issues
51311028			FALSE	TRUE	lots about bus system in ramona - access to public transit; public	
51311029			FALSE	TRUE	only one hospital that is overwhelmed by gang-related ER patients	
51311030			FALSE	TRUE	imbalance in school funding with cities lumped in with; need for remedial education; housing problem resulting from school problems? 'If don't fix schools will get ghettos'	
51311031	vista, fallbrook, oceanside (check list ??) Q: what else? A; Carlsbad, Bonsel, Valley Center	sprinter and coaster for transit, hwy 78 and 76	FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311025			FALSE							
51311026			FALSE					TRUE	proposed maps and petition of 25 people supporting them (who he asked to stand)	
51311027	deserve to be together and one strong representative		FALSE							
51311028			FALSE							
51311029	if together in one district - would get fair allocation of federal and state funds to help health care access issues		FALSE							
51311030			FALSE							
51311031			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311032	H		FALSE		vista - live 50 yrs, and work as optometrist there		TRUE	camp pendelton down to fallbrook? East to hwy 15; Q: include rainbow? A: yes Q: why not Carlsbad? A: hwy 78 defines area	
51311033	H		FALSE		works in Poway		TRUE	keep together poway, san marcos, carmel valley, mira mesa (cultural hub for API- where food products are), and ___?; Q: pls submit map? A: yes, will submit tomorrow	
51311034	H		FALSE	volunteer to help API youth & prevent gang violence	paradise hills?		TRUE	paradise hills, bay terrace, and east national city together - API, mostly Filipino	
51311035	H	lawyer	FALSE		san marcos		TRUE	keep san marcos whole and in district where it has a voice	
51311036	H	analyst for city, retired; worked on partnerships, worked on census	TRUE	COPOW? - filipino organization	chula vista - 41 yrs, currently in east CV - planned community - ___ Ranch		TRUE	south bay area of SD county: keep east CV, bay terrace, and east National City and ___? together as much as possible	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311032			FALSE	FALSE		
51311033			FALSE	TRUE	API in north city of San Diego, carmel Valley, San Marcos; care about education; similar econ & social interests	
51311034		8th St included in National City	FALSE	TRUE	API - culture unites, etc.	
51311035			FALSE	TRUE	API is __ % of San Marcos (especially filipino), educational hub of north county - colleges, school district one of best in county	
51311036			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311032			FALSE							
51311033			FALSE							
51311034			FALSE							
51311035			FALSE							
51311036			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311037	H	teacher	FALSE		mira mesa, earlier poway military housing (90's)		TRUE	COI from speaker #18, mira mesa, curney mesa, rancho __, Rancho __, and __	
51311038	H		FALSE	Oceanside Chamber of Commerce, President	oceanside whole life (7K to 100+K)		TRUE	different from OC, go more to south riverside (temecula); Oceanside very much like carlsbad, vista (tri-city area...all the same)	
51311039	H		FALSE		North County long time, oceanside long time, now san marcos	San Diego	FALSE	can deal with co issues?	
51311040	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep cities and communities together & no safe political districts, not gerrymandering, keep within 1% not 5%, america first, not ethnic groups	
51311042	H		FALSE		oceanside		TRUE	agree, no gerrymander, agree with mayor to keep coastal communities together, oceanside & carlsbad down to encinitas, and would like to include vista and san marcos because share school districts (in tri-city area)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311037			FALSE	TRUE	filipino americans - culture unites - stores, churches/temples = socializing centers	
51311038			FALSE	FALSE		
51311039			FALSE	FALSE		
51311040			FALSE	FALSE		
51311042			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311037			FALSE							
51311038			FALSE							
51311039			FALSE							
51311040			FALSE							
51311042			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311043	H		FALSE		carlsbad, taught at CSU SM, and other places		TRUE	oceanside, san marcos, escondido, vista together have large latino community, put north carlsbad in even though not high latino.	
51311044	H	latina	FALSE	latino redistricting group		san diego and lived in past in Imperial Co	TRUE	keep border communities together - extension of ??	
51311045	H	prof, CSU SM	FALSE				TRUE	propose: Escon, San Marcos, Vista, oceanside (not carlsbad? Cause not same SES?) would make perfect AD; Q: what pop cut out? A: hard to say	
51311047	H		FALSE		Encinitas - many yrs		TRUE	link encinitas with carlsbad, __ beach, rancho __ millionaires too	
51311049	H	CSU SD, faculty	FALSE			SD Co	TRUE	imperial county has needs we (CSU SD) need to serve, so ok to cross border; Q: part of Nor Imper Co to cut off? A: not much pop above Brawley anyway, but below Brawley import to keep with San Diego; Q: whole Imper Co? A: sure as long as border part w/ SD	
51311050	H		FALSE	NALEO, redistricting coordinator for SD?		San Diego County	TRUE	respect COI of SD County please; Q: border district? A: says yes?	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311043			FALSE	FALSE		
51311044			FALSE	TRUE	latinos, and growing diversity of regions	
51311045			FALSE	TRUE	share 78 and sprinter; latino community - cultural, educational diversity, socio-economic status	
51311047			FALSE	TRUE	encinitas has many communities but we are all the same	
51311049			FALSE	FALSE		
51311050			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311043			FALSE							
51311044		important	FALSE							
51311045			FALSE							
51311047			FALSE							
51311049			FALSE							
51311050			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311051	H		FALSE				TRUE	poway school district should be kept together in one district (lots of area - she lists communities)	
51311052	H		FALSE	rancho bernardo tea party			TRUE	why is Rancho Bernardo in 3 CD (its 27K pop)?? Should be in one. Feel excluded by APA community - how they talk about what they want..why?	
51311053	H		FALSE		valley center, rural area at north east county		TRUE	don't use unincorporated as filler; lists communities: bonsa,....etc	
51311055	H	was on City of SD R Committee in 2001	FALSE				TRUE	all Imper Co with south San D for CD	
51311058	H		FALSE		culver city	LA co	TRUE	Westside is very active poltiically - break three ways, west of 405, north of 10; one south of 10; one is bordered mulhulland	
51311060	H		FALSE		encinitas		TRUE	agrees with earlier 2 speakers: keep escondido inland, keep oceanside coastal; san marcos with escondido; keep oceanside with Camp Pendelton, Encinitas, Solana Beach	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311051			FALSE	TRUE	poway school district	
51311052			FALSE	FALSE		
51311053			FALSE	TRUE	rural, agr, etc	
51311055			FALSE	FALSE		
51311058			FALSE	TRUE	Q: why around these city centers? A: transportation, hospitals, gang in each area (LOL); tried to draw state lines	
51311060			FALSE	TRUE	military tie of oceanside and ____; water district shared between oceanside and ____;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311051			FALSE			do 1% vs 5%				
51311052			FALSE							
51311053			FALSE							
51311055		VRA districts in Imp, Riverside, etc	FALSE			glad to have citizens vs politicians drawing lines				
51311058			FALSE					TRUE	maps? Proposal - talks about submitting a state plan	
51311060			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311061	H		FALSE		Escondido	SD Co	TRUE	keep us with san Marcos, Vista and Oceanside, and maybe either Carlsbad or Basal	
51311063	H	prof at CSU SM	FALSE				TRUE	escondido, san marcos, vista, oceanside, and possibly? Carlsbad as COI	
51311065	H		FALSE		escondido all life		TRUE	inland district vs coastal district for North County; coast camp pend to del mar & 15 corridor for inland	
51311066	H		FALSE		encinitas		TRUE	agree #47, #60: funky beach towns belong together; Q: what about oceanside? A: belongs with 78 corridor BUT with bigger CD and SD all should be together; Q:north county? A; yes, we are not San Diego, so North County should be together for bigger distr.s	
51311067	H		FALSE	city of escondido, elected official	escondido		TRUE	keep 4 cities together (see below)	
51311068	H		FALSE		escondido		TRUE	keep escondido intact, don't go south to border, go east-west (east? or west (?))	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311061			FALSE	TRUE	Latinos are majority in escondido are not represented, not getting elected when run - why need to be together.	
51311063			FALSE	TRUE	seen great demog and economic changes in this area; big festival across the street - cultural corridor between these areas.	
51311065			FALSE	TRUE	reinforce #60 - between Escondido and San Marcos affinity for years and years, dating across HS, same hospitals, college districts, public cemetary district, utlities emerged together;	
51311066	carlsbad, encinitas, del mar, ___ highlands, carmel valley, fairbanks banch, rancho sante fe	pay attention to City of SD neighborhood designations - have strong affinities	TRUE	TRUE	funky beach towns: work in high tech, and ____	
51311067	escondido, vista, san marcos, oceanside		FALSE	TRUE	water district, Latinos	
51311068			FALSE	TRUE	cultural ties that run East-West rather than North-South;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311061			FALSE							
51311063			FALSE							
51311065			FALSE							
51311066			FALSE							
51311067	increase odds for latinos to be elected (she's first elected in Escondido in 100+ yrs)		FALSE							
51311068			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411001	H		FALSE			mono	TRUE	trans-sierra AD, either side of sierras, hwy 80 and hwy	listed eastern sierra mountains counties; handout provided Q: Inyo Co? A: not so much (more desert) except Bishop is in COI with us
51411003	H		FALSE		El Centro	Imperial	TRUE	border = community of interest, nothing in common with Riverside Co except Cochella Valley; imperial relation to border connects it to san diego	
51411004	H		TRUE	Pastors on Point, Redistricting Latino and African-American			FALSE		
51411005	H		TRUE	org serves international refugees from Africa & Caribbean (TACRA)			TRUE	chula vista, san diego,...East of I-15 to el cajon, ____, ____	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411001			FALSE	TRUE	choose to live in mountains, committed to mountain lifestyle	tourist-related economy
51411003			FALSE	TRUE	go across border a lot for cultural events	
51411004	San Diego - AD 78, 79		FALSE	FALSE		
51411005			FALSE	TRUE	refugees need services that are affected by redistricting	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411001			FALSE							
51411003			FALSE							
51411004			FALSE					TRUE	handout	
51411005			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411006	H		TRUE	TACRA too - welcome refugees at airport, get them into services(training, jobs, ESL, get CA state id, etc.) - orient them to the country; Tabernacal African-Caribbean Refugee Association			TRUE	AD 74,75,76	
51411007	H		TRUE	TACRA			TRUE	refugees reside in city heights, downtown city of SD along 15 to el cajon, down to chula vista, ___ to national city	
51411008	H		TRUE	TACRA (the person which the speakers before say will answer all questions)			TRUE	as others said, understand impt of redistricting for refugee community	
51411009	H		FALSE		simi valley		TRUE	cities of Vent and LA together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411006			FALSE	TRUE	counseling, job placement, health care	
51411007			FALSE	FALSE		
51411008			FALSE	TRUE	40% of pop of San D	31% of workforce
51411009	Chattsworth, Porter Ranch, Santa Clarita, Simi Valley, Thousand Oaks, Camarillo, Oakpark, Moorpark??		FALSE	TRUE	lots in common, and NOT with towns as go west to Santa Barbara, Solivang	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411006			FALSE							
51411007			FALSE							
51411008			FALSE							
51411009			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411010	H - letter read		FALSE		west hills		TRUE	westhills, porter ranch, westake village, agoura hills, simi valley?, thousand oaks?>?	
51411011	H	sudanese community	FALSE				TRUE	sudanese live in ___ parts of San Diego	
51411012	H	sudanese - spoke twice before	TRUE	Nine Cities - services for refugees			TRUE	city heights, north park, el cajon,	
51411013	H		FALSE		lemon grove		TRUE	keep assembly district 78 and CD 59 because good for us	
51411014	H		TRUE	Karen Foundation of San Diego, represent people from Burmese			TRUE	adams ave & I -15, university & 805 S, 38th? - 56th St?	
51411015	H		TRUE	Karen Foundation of San Diego - Karen is ethnic group from Burma			TRUE	city heights 30th, 61st (same as before); need to be together in district	
51411016	H		TRUE	somalia community of San Diego - second largest Somalian community in USA (after twin cities Minn.)...			TRUE	mostly live in city heights area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411010			FALSE	FALSE		
51411011			FALSE	TRUE		unemployment because of lang, accent, discrimination - need jobs for community
51411012			FALSE	FALSE		
51411013			FALSE	FALSE		
51411014			FALSE	TRUE	?	
51411015			FALSE	FALSE		
51411016		Q: what streets? A: ____,____,el cajon blvd, 7th st	FALSE	TRUE	most live under poverty line and all the needs related; like to live together to help each other	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411010			FALSE							
51411011			FALSE							
51411012			FALSE							
51411013			FALSE							
51411014			FALSE							
51411015			FALSE							
51411016			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411017	H		TRUE	SCAPL - Asian Law org		San Diego	TRUE	AAPI in south bay (nat city, chula vista, bay terrace, and), north (rancho panecitas), ___ area	
51411018	H	CSU - SD, prof	TRUE	org for health of filipino	chula vista? Or paradise hills?		TRUE	national city is hub of filipino life	
51411019	H		FALSE				TRUE	maintain SD and Imperial county in one district;, 2 AD ok -like having 2 Ass members Q: split Imperial into North and South? A: no all of Imperial with	
51411020	H		FALSE		rancho panesquitos		TRUE	API in ___ Rancho P,Ranch __, Poway, carmel Valley together	
51411021	H		FALSE			san diego	TRUE	linda vista (W of 63, East of) - vietnamese pop; city heights, el cerrito, __, ___east of 15, north of 94, along el cajon and university	
51411022	H	professor, and binational	FALSE				TRUE	border culture	
51411023	H	worked with UFW	TRUE	promote hispanic vote and election	calexico		TRUE	don't change districts(AD, SD, CD) - they have worked for us, like being with san diego more than would like to be with Riverside; if had to take out? A: take out of Riverside Co (Mecca for one of the districts)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411017			FALSE	FALSE		
51411018	east Nat Cty, Paradise Hills, Chula Vista		FALSE	TRUE	?	
51411019			FALSE	TRUE	very interconnected - lives go between two counties (gave lots of ex's one was boy scouts' joint county org)	
51411020			FALSE	TRUE		
51411021			FALSE	TRUE	shopping center with Vietnamese owned biz	Vietnamese lower income
51411022			FALSE	FALSE		
51411023			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411017			FALSE							
51411018		Latino VRA?	FALSE							
51411019			FALSE							
51411020	API need voice		FALSE							
51411021			FALSE							
51411022			FALSE							
51411023			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411024	H		TRUE	vice mayor, City of Nat Cty	national city		TRUE	COI of Nat Cty, put with Ch Vista and South Bay communities of San Diego	
51411025	H		TRUE	APALC - data to support API proposals - re CAPAFR San Diego			TRUE	API communities (on handout)	
51411026	H	teacher in ___ school district, works with filipino community	FALSE		Chula Vista		TRUE	paradise hills, bay terrece, chula vista, alta vista, and ___ Filipino area; keep Filipino & other API community of South County together	
51411027	H		TRUE	tea party patriots of OC?		Orange County	TRUE	Orange County as COI, OC should have 6.5 AD and only have 5	
51411028	H		TRUE	something re latino			TRUE	AAPi : paradise hills, ...etc (); Q: what add? A: some towns/area; ok to split chula vista sn National City? - AAPi live in east Ch Vista and eas Chuia vista	
51411029	H		TRUE	same as previous?		orange county	TRUE	talks about capistrano school district; keep school districts together in general	
51411030	H		FALSE		carmel valley		TRUE	APA; scripps ranch, poway, ___ & _____	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411024	chula vista and south bay communities of San Deigo - Coronado and Imperial Beach could be added for #s (after Q)		FALSE	TRUE	cultural events, lower education levels,	
51411025			FALSE	TRUE	common interests in area, and divergent interests from other specific areas (Rancho Sante Fe was one)	
51411026			FALSE	TRUE	filipino - many navy families	
51411027			FALSE	TRUE	share school district, media, contiguous area,	
51411028		805 is west/east divider of Chula Vista, 8th ave (or 805?) in National City	FALSE	FALSE		
51411029			FALSE	FALSE		
51411030	curny mesa (lots listed)		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411024	to get entreprise zone easier with one rep rather than 2		FALSE							
51411025			FALSE							
51411026			FALSE							
51411027			FALSE							
51411028			FALSE							
51411029			FALSE							
51411030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411031	H		FALSE		island in the hills - tierra sante (official neighborhood of city of San Diego) - 32K pop and split into 3 AD (76,77,78)	San Diego Co	TRUE	put whole community into one AD and one SD; gave proposal	
51411032	H	phd in planning, study demographics	TRUE	works for non-profit studying demog,			TRUE	proposed maps of swaths of diversity/trapestry (maps posted on internet)	
51411033	H		TRUE	workers council for 2 counties			TRUE	border COI	
51411034	H		TRUE	latino			TRUE	2 counties and border community; draw from south of state so we aren't left overs	
51411035	H	outreach coordinator for Census-increase count of latino	TRUE	Latino Redistricting Committee	grewup Calexico, lives in San Diego		TRUE	CD & SD join Imperial and San Diego and for AD join Imperial and Riverside; Q: how do we nest? A: that's more for you than for pop; Q: how far go up? A: Indio/cochella valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411031		gave hwys streets as borders	FALSE	TRUE	?	
51411032			FALSE	TRUE	diversity	
51411033			FALSE	TRUE		unemployment, jobs
51411034			FALSE	FALSE		
51411035			FALSE	TRUE	latino; for CD better to join border counties, AD good to join with Cochella valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411031			FALSE							
51411032			FALSE							
51411033			FALSE							
51411034			FALSE							
51411035			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411036	H		FALSE				TRUE	if don't have San D with Imper Co, then lose race/ethnic districts or else these communities will be joined with north and not ever get elected.	
51411037	H		FALSE		national city		TRUE	San Diego & Imperial County	
51411038	H		FALSE				FALSE		
51411039	H		FALSE				TRUE	4 cities stay more with San Diego rather than with Imperial; Q: what about other areas inbetween? A: 4 cities show area but he means general area	
51411040	H		FALSE		raised in Imperial, now in San Diego	San Diego Co	TRUE	Imperial Co and San Diego Co very intertwined; keep them together - especially the south bay part of San Diego to not dilute Latino/Latina vote.	
51411041	H		TRUE	San Diego Latino Redistricting Committee			TRUE	keep San Diego & Imperial Co together for minority empowerment	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411036			FALSE	FALSE		
51411037			FALSE	TRUE	share community colleges, state colleges, boy scouts; also need two counties linked to ever get Latinos elected	
51411038			FALSE	TRUE	minority = retirees paid off home bought in 60's and minority in own neighborhood as others are naturalized citizens	
51411039	lakeside, santee, el cajon, _____		FALSE	FALSE		
51411040			FALSE	FALSE		
51411041			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411036			FALSE							
51411037			FALSE							
51411038			FALSE			something about federal VRA and 1 person/1 vote				
51411039			FALSE							
51411040			FALSE							
51411041		some of your ques answered by looking at VRA	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411042	H		FALSE		Imperial (city of)s	Imperial Co.	TRUE	two counties inter-connected - keep together when draw maps!	
51411043	H		FALSE		city of Imperial	Imperial Co	TRUE	Imperial Co depends on San Diego Co - keep together	
51411044	H		TRUE	works w/ filipino youth in nationality city, paradise hills, bay terrace	grew up paradise hills,		TRUE	keep API together in three areas (NC, PH, BT)	
51411045	H		FALSE				TRUE	re city heights and oak park - large vietnamese pop; existing AD - Viet community in 2, please put in 1 AD	
51411046	H		TRUE	COPA			TRUE	national city is hub of filipino, keep API together in BT, PH, CV, and NC?	
51411047	H		TRUE	pastors on point, united Af-amer, latino redistricting committee			TRUE	proposed maps will be submitted but wants to make a point; looked at AD 78, 79 and reconfigured	
51411048	H	Product of imperial valley, city planning, active advocate for communities	FALSE				TRUE	SD 40 stay as is; connect 77, 79, and 80?; keep SD and Imp Co's together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411042			FALSE	TRUE	work in one, live in other, recreate in other; historical link; Imper Co work play depends on San Diego Co.	
51411043			FALSE	TRUE	go to San Diego for better medical care	
51411044			FALSE	TRUE	Filipino cultural festivals	
51411045		gave boundaries, hwys and streets	FALSE	TRUE	cultural events, etc	
51411046			FALSE	TRUE	?	
51411047			FALSE	TRUE	collective desire to improve life, ethnic groups live/work well together	
51411048			FALSE	TRUE	strong family ties across mexican border and county border; medical services, survival needs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411042			FALSE							
51411043			FALSE							
51411044			FALSE							
51411045			FALSE							
51411046			FALSE							
51411047			FALSE							
51411048			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411049	H		FALSE				TRUE	move northern boundary of 78 to La Jolla area, include UC; chula vista with south bay; then those two could be SD; wants CD to be more central San Diego	
51411050	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep chula vista united with historical barrio district	
51411051	H		TRUE	Latino Redistricting Committee	sherman heights, (one of 5 parts of) historic barrio district		TRUE	keep historic barrio district intact and be united with chula vista and imperial valley	
51411052	H		TRUE	Pan Pacific Law Enforcement Association (API Police Officer association; Lao American Coalition (advocacy for Lao community), one of co-founders of each		SD co - over 30 yrs	TRUE	two temples located in different AD (one in AD76 one in AD 78, please put in same AD (something like 78 now)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411049			FALSE	FALSE		
51411050			FALSE	FALSE		
51411051			FALSE	TRUE	cultural events	
51411052	mid-city and city heights area [from email: this area in one district: Chollas View Neighborhood consisting of E of Freeway 805, S of Freeway 8, and N of Market St]	gave district #s and will email them	FALSE	TRUE	2 temples serve as centers of social, cultural, relig gatherings of Lao community, Eng and non- Eng speaking; if neighborhood described in one district puts Lao with Southeast Asian and E African Refugees w/ whom share common interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411049			FALSE							
51411050		respect VRA	FALSE							
51411051			FALSE							
51411052	do not divide the refugees and immigrant community of interest in city of San Diego		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411053	H		FALSE				TRUE	support of Latino Redistricting Committee plan - keep boundaries that work for us, take into consideration local redistricting groups	
51411058	H		FALSE		chula vista, on 805		TRUE	he has more in common with west chula vista than east	
51411061	H		FALSE		Coronado	SD Co	TRUE	recommends how to change 53 CD and make it a strict coastal district	
51411064	H		FALSE		Del Saro, community of San Diego		TRUE	traffic congestion where 128 and 5 merge; all in AD would be great	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411053			FALSE	TRUE	Imper Valley and San Diego interconnected, chicano movement evolved, etc	
51411058			FALSE	FALSE		
51411061			FALSE	FALSE		
51411064		gave borders	FALSE	TRUE	CSU SDiego is a hub	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411053			FALSE							
51411058		ask for VRA to be honored, that gains latino made as community are respected and allowed to advance.; realign 78 AD and 79 AD - allow latino to maintain electibility (in 78?)	FALSE							
51411061			FALSE							
51411064			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51411065	H		TRUE	east county community action org			TRUE	east county: do NOT lump us in with Imperial Co.	
51411069	H		FALSE				TRUE	Ventura Co: camirillo, Thou Oaks, moorpark, simi valley very similar, and if need more include santa clarita, not with Oxnard and coastal area of Ventura; San Diego Co.: consider economic drivers	
51411070	H		FALSE		Lemon Grove		TRUE	lemon grove - consider factors below when draw lines	
51911001	H		TRUE	group in SJ Co, private sector, hospitals, etc		San Joaquin	TRUE	brought maps to show you	
51911002	H	with speaker 1, presenting the maps w/ ppt	TRUE			San Joaquin	TRUE	all of east SJ Co in one AD, rest of co goes into AD with CC Co; next slide is CD proposal but out of time	
51911003	H		TRUE	Sac City, speaking on behalf of city manager	Sac	Sac	TRUE	city should be in one CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51411065	gave list (lakeside, alpine, decanso, credit, el cajon, el mesa		FALSE	TRUE	equestrian, rural	
51411069			FALSE	FALSE		consider economic drivers - military in San Diego
51411070			FALSE	TRUE	multi-ethnic, no majority, large immigrant pops, struggling middle class,	
51911001			FALSE	FALSE		
51911002			FALSE	FALSE		
51911003			FALSE	TRUE	vibrant collection of cohesive neighborhoods, community orgs meet with city regularly; big challenges ahead- flood protection, transpor system - best served by single voice in Congress	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51411065			FALSE							
51411069			FALSE							
51411070			FALSE							
51911001			FALSE					TRUE	ppt with maps of proposed districts	05.19.11. A
51911002			FALSE							
51911003			FALSE					TRUE	letter from city manager	05.19.11. B

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911004	H		FALSE		North Auburn	Placer	TRUE	AD 4 excludes Auburn, Auburn in AD 3 with Nevada City/Grass Valley which dominates; AD line should be county line; submits maps [all 3 distr along county lines: AD=placer&eldorado counties intact, take out Apline Co & keep sch & sup districts in tact	continued: SD = 7 counties on nevada border (Modoc - Mono) plus plumas and yuba, CD=Modoc, Lassen, Plumas, Sierra, Placer, El Dorado, and part of Butte
51911005	H	census supervisor in El Dorado	TRUE	vice chair of group of sierra club	cameron park, near Shingle Springs	el dorado	TRUE	mountain redistricting plan submitting next week; el dorado hills, cameron park, shingle springs in with rancho cordova; rochlin/roseville similar to citris heights, orangevale	
51911006	H	lawyer, used to be Public Defender for Yolo Co	FALSE		west sac, office in davis, previous public defender for Yolo in Woodland	Yolo	TRUE	include Yolo Co as part of I-80 corridor (rather than I-5 corridor), pair with Solano Co with which have historic ties; mistake to go north and pair with Colusa, Glenn, Butte, Yuba	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911004			FALSE	FALSE		
51911005	cameron park, shingle springs, ___ hills; separate from Roseville area and Lincoln/Rochlin??		FALSE	TRUE	widespread COI of in Sierra (So LT to chico) tourism, agric, wine grapes, apples	distinct rural area in eastern Placer [see soc interest] is very diff from more suburban area in western Placer and western El Dorado; eg disagree over water - build auburn damn (congressmember for, Auburn against)
51911006			FALSE	TRUE	public defender offices both statutory, vs north counties have contract public defenders; also UCD (biggest employer in county) partly in Solano Co., Davis borders Solano Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911004			FALSE					TRUE	proposed three districts and handwritten note explanations	05.19.11. C
51911005			FALSE					TRUE	Mountain Redistricting Plan + map of 5 proposed AD	05.19.11. D
51911006			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911009	H	commissioner on adult and aging commission, Sac Co	TRUE	representing AAPI community in Sac (not Commission above); council of asian pacific islanders together for advocacy and leadership CAPITAL - CAPAFR			TRUE	elk grove and west sac in same district for API community	
51911010	H		TRUE	filipino org - active in census - CAPAFR			TRUE	south sac and elk grove = filipino community - keep those together	
51911011	H		TRUE	APALC reading ARI testimony; ARI provides naturalization services, ESL classes, employment services to any low-income, LEP - CAPAFR			TRUE	ARI: South Sacramento office serves southeast asian pop, 2nd office serves downtown and West Sac, northern office in Citris Heights and serves North Natoma/North Highlands (see SEAsian, Latino, and Slavic)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911009			FALSE	TRUE	chinese, hmong, laotion, vietnamese...(one more...in order of size)	
51911010			FALSE	TRUE	filipino community - one big neighborhood, use same social and commercial services	
51911011	little saigon in Sacramento	city designates Little Saigon as Stockton Blvd from Fruitridge to Florin Blvds	FALSE	TRUE	southeast asian pop faces barriers to accessing reources, ARI provide service to reduce those barriers (eg ESL classes); ARI also helps immigrants to become citizens	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911009			FALSE					TRUE	testimony w/ 2 thematic maps	05.19.11. E
51911010			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.19.11. F
51911011			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with 1 thematic map; read by other from APALC	05.19.11. G

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911012	H		TRUE	TOFA (sac co - for Tongan community), CAPITAL (see # 9 above) - CAPAFR			TRUE	elk grove/south sac,north natomas/north point area, & west sac = pacific islander; west sac more associated with Sac city than with rest of Yolo because of services and Asian culture	
51911015	H		FALSE		nevada city	nevada	TRUE	current districts have north/south orientation - rural - please keep the same, change as little as possible, if not broke don't fix it, do 3% or less	
51911016	H		FALSE		grass valley - history in gold mining, home of Draft Horse Classic.	nevada co	TRUE	satisfied with current lines going north/south, wants small deviations so that rural areas not represented less	
51911018	H		FALSE		truckee	nevada	TRUE	in AD 3 & 4 - confusing for small town; more in common with AD 4 towns; please moving AD 3 into AD 4; also split in El Dorado and Placer	
51911019	H	insurance?	FALSE			Nevada	TRUE	central eastern and coastal CA very different; draw district on N/S basis.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911012			FALSE	TRUE	lots of needs, health etc	
51911015			FALSE	TRUE		share similar economies within current districts, would not with coastal districts
51911016			FALSE	TRUE		rural areas together
51911018			FALSE	TRUE	social too?	skiing, etc in common with other areas of AD 4
51911019			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911012			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with 1 thematic map	05.19.11.H
51911015			FALSE					TRUE	testimony using form	05.19.11.I
51911016			FALSE					TRUE	testimony using form	05.19.11.J
51911018			FALSE							
51911019			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911020	H		FALSE		grass valley	nevada	TRUE	don't lump rural in with urban and coastal areas...no mega district please; makes no sense to draw east/west district; keep north/south lines	
51911021	H		TRUE	Lake Tahoe/Southshore Chamber of Commerces			TRUE	areas around Lake Tahoe Basin remain as one unit with Truckee	El Dorado, Placer
51911022	H		FALSE			Nevada County - rural area	TRUE	mega district is bad idea, current districts work well - north/south is good - can't see any other way to do it; urge 2% or less deviation	
51911024	H	property owner?	FALSE				FALSE	keep rural rural and keep representatives that understand our community and not be dominated by urban area	
51911025	H	doctor - retired psychologist	TRUE	environmental org	diamond springs	el dorado county	TRUE	keep together sierra foothills as COI; should trump county boundaries; keep from high density border cities; wants to sierra foothills district; keep together Auburn, Chico, Grass Valley Mammoth Lakes, Nevada City, Oroville, Placerville, South Lake Tahoe	11 counties represented by county seats on his list of cities
51911026	H	retired air force officer, retired lawyer	FALSE		Rochlin		FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911020			FALSE	FALSE		
51911021			FALSE	TRUE	challenges - snow removal; communities with no infrastructure (eg no broadband); big project __?	
51911022			FALSE	TRUE	yes	yes
51911024			FALSE	FALSE		
51911025	bridgeport, markleyville, sonora, san andreas, Jackson, Placerville, auburn, downey, quincy, Susansville, nevada city		FALSE	TRUE	history, rural, low density, rural character businesses, ecosystem	
51911026			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911020			FALSE					TRUE	testimony using form	05.19.11.K
51911021			FALSE							
51911022			FALSE					TRUE	testimony using form	05.19.11.L
51911024			FALSE							
51911025			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with handwritten notes	05.19.11.M
51911026			FALSE			keep bias out.				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911029	H		FALSE				TRUE	location, location, location 3 most important things; wants compactness to limit travel times	
51911030	H		TRUE	El Dorado Co Board of Supervisors, President			TRUE	placer and el dorado for AD - tahoe basin; tahoe basin currently in AD 4, should be in own district, but need more population - go west?	
51911031	H	contractor, biz owner	FALSE		placerville	el dorado	TRUE	N/S line, keep counties east of it (foothill/mt culture) together	
51911032	H		TRUE	city of auburn, city clerk	auburn	placer	TRUE	coast to inland do all districts have some of everything and so are the same	
51911034	H		TRUE	Nevada County? board of supervisors	Truckee		TRUE	nevada county in AD 4; recommends Truckee with Placer Co??	
51911035	H	retired architect and engineer	TRUE	league of Placer County tax payers -reduce taxes and laws/regulations			TRUE	share with other counties that are similar	
51911036	H		TRUE	Lincoln City, Councilman	Lincoln	Placer	TRUE	keep similar interests together of Placer, El Dorado, and Nevada Counties	
51911040	H		FALSE		Diamond Springs	El Dorado Co	TRUE	don't want to be with Rancho Cordova - keep rural communities together - we love being rural	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911029			FALSE	FALSE		
51911030			FALSE	TRUE	rivers/water sheds (areas carved out by rivers), roads define communities; connect historical culture of 2 counties	historic trading, commerce
51911031			FALSE	FALSE		
51911032			FALSE	FALSE		
51911034			FALSE	TRUE	Q: state of Lake Tahoe? A: hornet's nest, but one state rep would help	
51911035			FALSE	FALSE		
51911036			FALSE	TRUE	placer co transportation planning agency, six county council of govt - blueprint for ____	
51911040			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911029			FALSE			you will be judged on criteria				
51911030			FALSE							
51911031			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.19.11. N
51911032			FALSE			visualize bar codes across state.; coast to inland				
51911034			FALSE							
51911035			FALSE			follow rules, compact as much as possible				
51911036			FALSE							
51911040			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911041	H		FALSE		Somerset, southeast of Placerville	El Dorado	TRUE	keep North/South districts;	
51911042	H		TRUE	Auburn City Council	Auburn	Placer Co	TRUE	keep Placer Co whole - 330 K , we are a COI; take 130K from El Dorado, Q: where, A: east to Placerville; Q: sierra district? A: no, not an isolated sierra district, not good - wants what he said (above)	
51911043	H	retired military, real estate	FALSE		___ area of Nevada Co	Nevada Co	TRUE	keep rural in tact...something about exception of Truckee?	
51911045	H		FALSE				TRUE	actually likes having two reps, but did work hard to get Prop 11 passed; after 91, almost had parity in Legislature, lasted one year (1995); he wants the state fixed as a whole	
51911046	H		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911041			FALSE	FALSE		
51911042			FALSE	TRUE	Auburn State Recreation Area - runs __ to Folsom;	
51911043			FALSE	FALSE		
51911045			FALSE	FALSE		
51911046			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911041	keep rural areas together to keep voice		FALSE							
51911042	keeping placer whole to allow accountability.		FALSE							
51911043			FALSE							
51911045			FALSE							
51911046			FALSE			be apolitical, no agenda, blank slate; draw straight line, eg. place grid for 40 spaces and adjust pop				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911047	H		TRUE	city of lincoln, mayor pro tem	Placer		TRUE	like having 2 Senators, one has feel for urban areas, one for rural areas; describes two main areas of county; do not do super district that goes up north?; Q: if propose to split Placer, where do you go? A: Lincoln can go with either	
51911048	H - read letter of friend		FALSE		Woodland	Yolo	TRUE	I -80 corridor linking Fairfield with Yolo county cities on I-80 - likes east-west orientation	
51911049	H		TRUE	Sac Hispanic Ch of Commerce, _____, _____	rochlin, roseville biz owner	Placer	TRUE	we've been underrepresented because of our growth; fortunate to be represented by two senators who both care - please don't reduce that to one; no east-west district - Humboldt too far, too hard to get there	
51911050	H		TRUE	Peoples Advocate - 15 yrs on redistr reform			TRUE	?? Spirit of law	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911047			FALSE	FALSE		
51911048			FALSE	TRUE	water; I-80,	growing viticulture
51911049			FALSE	FALSE		
51911050			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911047			FALSE							
51911048			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.19.11. O
51911049			FALSE							
51911050			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911053	H		TRUE	town of Loomis, councilman	Loomis	Placer	TRUE	Placer, El Dorado, Nevada Cos - similar: small town, rural; these 3 together at least, could go up to sierra; Q: what about AD? A: could do Placer and Nevada (hwy 80) or Placer and El Dorado (hwy 50); Q: Granite Bay? A: used to be part of Loomis,rural2	comes back later: don't go south to get population
51911054	H		FALSE		grass valley	Nevada	TRUE	we are linked to Placer because only method of escape, path to Roseville and Sacramento, auburn is larger and has shopping and medical, we do think alike, nevada and Placer belong together	
51911055	H		FALSE		Granite Bay	Placer	TRUE	terribly gerrymandered districts - and wants nesting for more communication between reps; AD: her neighborhood is lopped off and stuck with citris heights and fair Oaks (she's at end of Folsom); don't keep the same at all	
52011001	H	retired county sup Sonoma	FALSE				TRUE	do as little as humanly possible to change districts for Sonoma County; districts have served us well (he describes them)	Sonoma

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911053			FALSE	TRUE	we think differently from urban areas	
51911054			FALSE	FALSE		
51911055			FALSE	FALSE		
52011001	realizes that Davis needs to expand because of viticulture?		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911053			FALSE							
51911054			FALSE							
51911055			FALSE			thinks nesting and compactness should be more important				
52011001			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011002	H		TRUE	Redwood Empire Fair, appt by Governor to serve on	Willits (says on handout)	Mendocino	TRUE	keep Lake and Mendocino County together (because of college district)	
52011003	H		TRUE	sonoma county board of sups, president			TRUE	likes sharing districts w/ neighboring counties, sonoma, lake, mendo, napa share wine, sonoma and Humbo, DN share fishing; has worked well for us to split county. Q: where? A: how is now good; #s will tell you; Q: all levels? A: yes	
52011004	H	pomo tribal nation	TRUE	tribal council, mendo county grand jury, third term			TRUE	AD, SD, CD del norte - sonoma - good for tribes...mentions VRA	
52011005	H	news biz	FALSE		Willits	Mendocino	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011002	eureka, crescent city, fort bragg - college of redwoods.		FALSE	TRUE	Fair is very important, College of Redwoods, coast guard serves coastal counties - need to stay together	
52011003			FALSE	TRUE	agr, wine, natural resources, coastal issues share with neighboring counties	
52011004			FALSE	FALSE		
52011005			FALSE	FALSE	news: describes north coast papers and where cover and that hearings weren't in coast media.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011002			FALSE					TRUE	info about Medicino-Lake Community college district and Redwood Community College District and Division 8 of Coast Guard	
52011003			FALSE					TRUE	letter from Sonoma County Board of Sups	
52011004			FALSE							
52011005			FALSE				no transcripts or minutes or FF on video	TRUE	testimony: says that already sent emails about N/S coast is cohesive unit and E/W district would not be good for north coast & left copy of emailed comment	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011006	H		FALSE	no group	Santa Rosa	Sonoma	TRUE	submitted maps for AD, SD, CD; advocating to keep Sonoma County as whole as possible;haven't had representation cause split; combine with Mendocino and Napa - wine areas	
52011007	H		FALSE			Sonoma - 20 yrs	TRUE	Marin and Sonoma share most common interests; should be with each other, cant if Marin with East Bay or SF	
52011008	H		FALSE		San Rafael	Marin	TRUE	want Marin with Sonoma, not with SF; CD with two, SD with two plus napa, AD Marin plus Sonoma up to Santa Rosa	
52011010	H		FALSE		Novato, formally Mill Valley	Marin	TRUE	AD: all of Marin linked with Southern Sonom incl all of Santa Rosa, SD all Marin, Sonoma, Napa, CD all Marin and Sonoma, marin not with SF	
52011011	H		FALSE			Marin - 40 yrs	TRUE	Marin and Sonoma are 2 members of same family, go over GGB and flow into Sonoma; keep Marin and Sonoma together...don't dilute North Bay with other counties; Q: what cut to make AD, A: if have to...cut below Santa Rosa...but hate to do it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011006			FALSE	TRUE	different from Bay Area, not like Marin; wineries, more rural, etc	
52011007			FALSE	TRUE	suburan and rural, outside activities,	
52011008			FALSE	TRUE	common lifestyles, recreation/outside activities, viticulture,	econ & biz interest along 101 corridor, agriculture
52011010			FALSE	TRUE	worlds apart from SF, lots of agriculture, environmental concerns, share with Sonoma	
52011011			FALSE	TRUE	common belief in rural living, education and family life	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011006			FALSE					TRUE	testimony and maps	
52011007			FALSE							
52011008			FALSE							
52011010			FALSE							
52011011			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011012	H - will submit specifics by email		FALSE		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	FALSE		
52011013	H	co-own manufacturing company in Sonoma	FALSE			Sonoma	TRUE	Sonoma and marin are very different, more commonality in northern neighbors than with marin; submits maps that meet 5% and 1 person for CD; our maps try 2 keep Santa Rosa and urban areas intact, and 2 keep rural areas in tact	
52011014	H		TRUE	LWV Mendo, member	little river	mendocino	TRUE	North coast is rural isolated area linked by hwy 1; historically DN Co to Mendo - N/S - League concerned with west/east idea - bec can't travel	
52011015	H		TRUE	LWV of Mendo	fort bragg	mendocino	TRUE	keep DN, H, M, and Trinity and Lake for AD, fight over Sonoma; will bring AD up to pop need	
52011016	H		FALSE			Mendocino	TRUE	audobon map - where birds live - DN, H, M, T, S, L Co: include DN in SD, as much as Sonoma as possible; for AD stay same; for CD, less of Yolo, more of Sonoma.	
52011017	H	school principal	TRUE	LWV of Mendo	Little River	Mendocino	TRUE	put DN in SD, keep current AD; keep N/S orientation.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011012			FALSE	TRUE	culture shock when moved from SF, all walks of life in Santa Rosa/Sonoma; keep Sonoma county whole	
52011013			FALSE	TRUE		look at economic development reports for Marin and Sonoma
52011014			FALSE	FALSE		
52011015			FALSE	TRUE		share economies: fishing (salmon), recreation sports, agriculture, viticulture,
52011016			FALSE	FALSE		
52011017			FALSE	TRUE	colleges bind the counties (he lists); coast guard serves coast - unified help for recent tsunami	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011012			FALSE					TRUE	maps (same as #6)	
52011013			FALSE					TRUE	testimony and maps, and also same maps as #6 and #12	
52011014			FALSE					TRUE	map	
52011015			FALSE					TRUE	proposed district and pop counts	
52011016			FALSE					TRUE	California's important bird areas	
52011017			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011018	H	own few acres of grapes in Yountville	FALSE			Napa	TRUE	need to stay same; napa stay in one district, napa needs to stay with Yolo, Sonoma, Lake and other wine areas; southern border of county should be border of district - not with Solano Co there (north Solano near Yolo ok)	
52011019	H		FALSE			Mendocino	TRUE	proposed district: describes counties for each AD (one AD: del norte, Siskiyou, trinity, Mendocino, lake; other AD: Shasta, lassen, Tehama, glenn) and nested in SD	
52011021	H		FALSE			Napa	TRUE	join with Yolo, sonoma, lake and mendo, and napa should remain intact (agrees with 19)	
52011022	H		FALSE			Napa - 55 years	TRUE	napa with yolo, lake, sutter, glenn, colusa, and parts of Sonoma - wine areas	
52011023	H	junior Marin Academy - map on Calitics.com	FALSE				TRUE	Marin and Sonoma together, not Marin with SF	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011018		I-80	FALSE	TRUE	united through wine industry and tourist industry	
52011019			FALSE	FALSE		
52011021			FALSE	TRUE	rural agriculture area	
52011022			FALSE	TRUE		old days: prunes, cattle; now: Wine
52011023			FALSE	TRUE	marin and sonoma alike, marin not like SF; marin is 85%? rural?; small towns - like Sonoma, SF is 100% urban - bad to put marin with it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011018			FALSE							
52011019			FALSE					TRUE	testimony, map, petition	
52011021			FALSE							
52011022			FALSE							
52011023			FALSE					TRUE	testimony and mpa	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011024	H		FALSE			napa	TRUE	preserve city and county lines to have greater accountability; respect transport and biz interest, Santa Rosa, San Rafael, Petaluma, along 101; SD 2 should not incl Davis, Fairfield? And 80 corridor, stop SD __ at GGB and not include SF	
52011025	H		FALSE		greater santa rosa area	sonoma	TRUE	North Bay is COI, lines begin at GGB move North , no further east than Napa, up to Mendo, Lake; SF is not part of COI nor is East Bay industrial communities of I-80 corridor; written statement	
52011026	H	fire fighter, EMT all over Bay Area	FALSE		Sebastapol	Sonoma	TRUE	nothing in common with SF; core is 101 corridor thru marin and sonoma; don't get rid fo CD 6; change as little as possible. Q: where stop in Sonoma? A: incl Santa Rosa, cut out Bodega and other coastal areas and put them with northern coastal counties.	
52011028	H		FALSE			Napa	TRUE	Napa in common with Yolo, Lake, Sonoma, NOT solano	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011024			FALSE	FALSE		
52011025			FALSE	FALSE		
52011026			FALSE	FALSE		
52011028			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011024			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	
52011025			FALSE							
52011026			FALSE							
52011028			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011029	H	retired small biz owner	FALSE			Napa - 63 years	TRUE	with Lake, Glenn, Colusa, Yolo, and Sutter; Q: any of Sonoma A: rural part , town of Sonoma which is closest to Napa	
52011030	H	teacher, F/A, have vinyard	FALSE			Napa	TRUE	want to be grouped with other agric interested areas; Mendo, Lake, Sonoma, and Yolo would be good - more common interests	
52011031	H	small fruit orchard lives on	FALSE		Novato	Marin	TRUE	keep Marin and Sonoma together - they have a COI; two counties make close to CD	
52011032	H	self-employed cleaner?	FALSE			Marin	TRUE	marin and sonoma unified district, separate from SF	
52011034	H		FALSE		Mill Valley	Marin	TRUE	don't throw Marin under the bus the way people here want to [doesn't say what he's referring to]	
52011035	H	clinical pyschologist	FALSE			practice in Marin and Sonoma	TRUE	districts are schizophrenic - don't make sense, can't tell what district in; Marin and Sonoma together; Q: where split Sonoma? A: above the city boundary of Santa Rosa	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011029			FALSE	TRUE	rural, more than Solano; proud of current tourism, but also of heritage...prune pickers	
52011030			FALSE	TRUE	agriculture	
52011031			FALSE	TRUE	rural, agric, suburban, thousands of wineries, more families and children than SF, 101 is connector, natural, social and economic boundaries to separate from SF and East Bay	
52011032			FALSE	FALSE		
52011034			FALSE	FALSE		
52011035			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011029			FALSE							
52011030			FALSE							
52011031			FALSE							
52011032			FALSE							
52011034			FALSE			highest priority is to reduce as much as possible overlapping district that led to back room politics				
52011035			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011037	H		FALSE		SF 10 yrs, Larkspur last 6	Marin	FALSE		
52011038	H		TRUE	Humboldt Co Board of Sups, President		Humboldt	TRUE	keep north coast counties link in all three districts; letter from Arcata City council submitted tonight; Q: DN Co in same district? A: yes, issues that divide us from inland exist for over 100 yrs.	
52011039	H		FALSE		pt reyes station, west marin, isolated from 101 corridor	Marin	TRUE	identify with Sonoma county	
52011040	H		FALSE		Windsor	Sonoma	TRUE	Sonoma County in one district; don't divide anymore, and keep away from Marin and SF; very different life from both SF and Marin; imp't to keep wine areas together (lists counties, lake, napa, mendo?)	
52011041	H		FALSE		Santa Rosa, larkfield area	Sonoma	TRUE	hates SD that's like worm and goes through larkfield; compact districts please	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011037			FALSE	TRUE	care about grass fires, mt tam watershed, 101 corridor; not all the things in SF; SD should not go over GGB	
52011038			FALSE	TRUE	self identify as north coast or redwood region, don't share interests with inland north CA; unique range of issue, fishing, cruise lines, etc; 1853 Humb Co exists because didn't want to be governed by people on other side of mountains.	
52011039			FALSE	TRUE	rural lifestyle	rural, agri, latino workforce, shop in petaluma, Santa Rosa, Fairfax and San Rafael
52011040			FALSE	TRUE	different from Marin - more rural, don't commute to SF in same way; share wine with other counties	
52011041			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011037			FALSE							
52011038			FALSE					TRUE	County of Humboldt and City of Arcata letters	
52011039			FALSE							
52011040			FALSE					TRUE	list current districts for Sonoma County, also same maps as 6, 12, 13	
52011041			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011042	H		FALSE		Sausalito	Marin	TRUE	SD 3 problem, AD and CD are fine; marin, sonoma, Mendocino and Humboldt together - makes perfect SD	
52011043	H		FALSE			Marin, biz in Sonoma	TRUE	please make SD = marin, sonoma and Napa, AD up to highway 12	
52011044	H	teach? at CSU Sonoma, supervises teachers	FALSE		Valley of Moon area		TRUE	keep Sonoma together, don't separate at hwy 12; napa, lake, mendo, sonoma	
52011045	H		TRUE	represents Napa Valley wine industry, Napa County Board of Sups			TRUE	keep Napa whole, and with sonoma, mendo, lake!	napa, mendo, lake, sonoma - premium wine grape growing
52011046	H		FALSE		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	TRUE	AD7 is very gerrymandered, urban part of Santa Rosa put with Napa and with Vallejo-Santa Rosa nothing in common with Vallejo; Sonoma Co as one AD or split and put with wine country	
52011047	H		TRUE	sausalito city, council member			TRUE	SD 3 should begin at GGB, marin in COI w/ sonoma, mendocino and humboldt	
52011048	H		TRUE	Flood mitigation league of Ross Valley	san anselmo	marin	TRUE	respect land mass contiguity, water issues- flood drainage	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011042			FALSE	TRUE	SMART, etc	
52011043			FALSE	FALSE		
52011044		Valley of Moon: santa rosa city line to ___ line thru Sonoma to 80	FALSE	TRUE	commonalities of schools around Sonoma County and neighboring counties	
52011045			FALSE	TRUE	premium wine group growing	
52011046			FALSE	TRUE		
52011047			FALSE	TRUE	coast	
52011048			FALSE	TRUE	flood drainage	agricultural - equestrian industry

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011042			FALSE					TRUE	ppt about how redistricting affects North Bay	
52011043			FALSE							
52011044			FALSE							
52011045			FALSE					TRUE	Napa Board of Sups resolution	
52011046		Vallejo - filipin and Af-am pop vote diluted being with Napa, Santa Rosa	FALSE							
52011047			FALSE							
52011048			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011049	H	radio talk show host, media consultant	FALSE			Marin	FALSE		
52011050	H	teacher	FALSE				TRUE	keep marin and sonoma together	
52011051	H		FALSE		penngrove	South Sonoma Co	TRUE	AD 6: all of marin and southern slice of Sonoma - so we are ignored	
52011052	H		FALSE		San Anselmo, work in Novato	Marin	TRUE	Marin and Sonoma	
52011054	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52011055	H		TRUE	Lake Co Board of Sup, member - 150 yrs ago today separated from Napa County; resolution from Board has been sent		Lake	TRUE	remain in same CD; lake, napa, mendo, sonoma and yolo in one district; North sonoma only?	
52011056	H		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011049			FALSE	TRUE	media landscape - Marin doesn't use SF media	
52011050			FALSE	TRUE	SMART, 101 corridor, concern with environment, similar environment (eg redwoods), same water shed; education system: same in Marin and Sonoma, very different from SF	
52011051			FALSE	TRUE	ground water sent to Marin & we are running out	
52011052			FALSE	TRUE	common way of life, hospitals, schools, etc	
52011054			FALSE	TRUE		north bay biz journal, north bay biz (magazine), north bay leadership council - none serve SF or East bay
52011055			FALSE	TRUE		premium wine grapes, geothermal energy, water rights (lake county (cache creek drains into) is headwaters to yolo counties, russian & eel river start in Lake
52011056			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011049			FALSE							
52011050			FALSE							
52011051			FALSE							
52011052			FALSE							
52011054			FALSE							
52011055			FALSE							
52011056			FALSE			draw competitive districts				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011057	H		TRUE	Mendo Co Board of Sups, Chair, MCOG - transportation authority			TRUE	link with napa, sonoma	
52011058	H		FALSE			North Marin	TRUE	keep CD 6 all Marin and Sonoma, SD Marin, Sonoma, Napa, AD Marin and Sonoma up to healdsburg? Q: still need to reduce A: likes firefighters idea of carve out coast of Sonoma	
52011059	H		FALSE		Novato	Marin	TRUE	stop at GGB, blend Marin and Sonoma Counties	
52011060	H		FALSE		San Rafael	Marin	TRUE	SF vs 'Marin country' lifestyle; stop at GGB	
52011061	H - came to Redding		FALSE				TRUE	N/S vs E/W districts; advocate for E/W district - #19 was interesting	
52011063	H		FALSE		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	TRUE	keep sonoma co whole as much as can, sonoma with marin instead of with Napa, santa Rosa should with marin rather than Napa, some sonoma towns (glenn ellen?) with napa; look at tourism and wine	
52011064	H	home care provider, SEIU member	FALSE		Hot Springs	Sonoma	TRUE	go north and east, and marin and solano and a little bit of Yolo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011057			FALSE	TRUE	services to seniors	fishing, tourism, harbor concerns (with H,and DN Cos)
52011058			FALSE	TRUE	quality of life issues - commute from Sonoma through Marin;	
52011059			FALSE	TRUE	lifestyles, etc	tourism, wine and food
52011060			FALSE	FALSE		
52011061			FALSE	FALSE		
52011063			FALSE	FALSE		
52011064			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011057			FALSE				echo Humboldt Sup that not having hearing on coast for Region IX is problem	TRUE	letter from Mendo Board of Sups	
52011058			FALSE							
52011059			FALSE			wants citizen-based process				
52011060			FALSE							
52011061			FALSE							
52011063			FALSE			don't let special interests influence process				
52011064			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011065	home care		FALSE		Sonoma (town) - lived in Marin before	Sonoma	TRUE	Marin and Sonoma tied together	
52011067	H - extended remarks handed out; will present state plan on Tuesday		FALSE		San Francisco	San Francisco	TRUE	nesting can be done - will show that; AD 6 could go up and include Santa Rosa and incl rural areas around russian river, other AD go into napa; talks about senate, and how must stop at GGB to protect SF representation	
52011068	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52011069	H		FALSE			Sonoma	TRUE	all of Marin and Sonoma for SD and all of Napa (cities of Napa and american canyon) and Mendocino, Lake	
52011070	H		FALSE		Sebastapol	Sonoma	TRUE	keep sonoma county whole and with counties that share interests (rural, agr, etc), and NOT grouped in with Marin, Marin more like SF	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011065			FALSE	TRUE	homeless same, funding for health care tied, 101 corridor is key	
52011067			FALSE	FALSE		
52011068			FALSE	FALSE		
52011069			FALSE	TRUE	family is COI - lives all over Marin and Sonoma	
52011070			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011065			FALSE							
52011067			FALSE					TRUE	ltr and maps of districts from different eras	
52011068			FALSE				video turnaround is too slow, and window too small., no transcripts, inline to process review, updated copy of comment database furnished to public every 48 hrs			
52011069			FALSE							
52011070			FALSE					TRUE	maps same as 6, 12, 13, 40	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011071	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52011073	H		FALSE		sebastapol, family has 5 generations	sonoma	TRUE	AD 6 - marin and a little part of Sonoma; would like to keep Sonoma whole, describes how Sonoma split up; provides map, wants CD from Petaluma north	
52011075	H		FALSE		Santa Rosa, since 2002	Sonoma	TRUE	keep coast line in district; sonoma and marin lots more in common than marin does with SF; if have split Sonoma to keep 101 corridor and coast together, put town of Sonoma with Napa, healdsburg? with Napa	
52011085	H		FALSE			marin, 23 yrs	TRUE	huge difference between marin and sf, lots in common with Sonoma: rural, water, transport, flood issues, wildland fires; don't divide Marin - if divide us become inconsequential with issues; Q: where go? A: prob Napa, but key is more in common with Sono	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011071			FALSE	FALSE		
52011073			FALSE	FALSE		
52011075			FALSE	FALSE		
52011085			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011071			FALSE			use hexagon based on pop, with max number of sides touching - to avoid gerrymandering - people closest to each other have more in common				
52011073			FALSE					TRUE	maps same as 6, 12, 13, 40, 70	
52011075			FALSE							
52011085			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52011089	H		FALSE			Marin	TRUE	Marin and Sonoma work together - SMART, transport, similar economies, share water; don't divide Marin?	
52011090	H		FALSE		tiburon	marin	TRUE	San Rafael Bridge should be barrier, don't go east to east bay - nothing in common over there; put marin and sonoma together	
52011096	H	13 yr old - Sonoma Day School - going to Branson or MA, grandparents in Marin	FALSE			Sonoma	TRUE	Marin and Sonoma share a lot - I didn't know for years where border was; sonoma should be split in north, northern parts are different.	
52111001	H		FALSE		Livermore	Alameda	FALSE		
52111002	H		FALSE		Pleasanton, and biz there	Alameda	TRUE	pleasanton shares various things with cities listed below	
52111003	H		FALSE		Fremont	Alameda	TRUE	Freemont, Newark, Hayward, San Leandro, union city, milpitas together - keep CD together, look at 1991 lines; Q: along hwy 83? A: yes	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52011089			FALSE	FALSE		
52011090			FALSE	TRUE	marin and sonoma share recreation issues, GGNRA & wine country face similar issues	
52011096			FALSE	FALSE		
52111001			FALSE	FALSE		
52111002	livermore, dublin, san ramon + pleasanton = Tri-Valley Area	western boundary of valley, south border of valley, east boundary is Altamonte Hills	FALSE	TRUE	transportation, fire,	
52111003			FALSE	TRUE		economies need to be together

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52011089			FALSE							
52011090			FALSE							
52011096			FALSE							
52111001			FALSE			congress low approval, non-competitive districts; must maximize competitive to improve govt; whole state is a COI		TRUE	several pages explaining his position (not numbers or maps)	
52111002			FALSE					TRUE	2 page testimony and google maps showing 4 cities together	
52111003			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111004	H		FALSE		Fairfield	Solano	TRUE	Co: west boundary mountains, south by ___ & susan bay and east by; currently split up, keep Solano Co intact and put with (similar counties) Napa and Yolo	
52111005	H	realtor - 'sell communities not houses'	TRUE	association of realtors			TRUE	area where look for home - ended up in Black Hawk; it's a COI then and more so now	
52111006	H	doctor	TRUE	bio-tech company owner (Hayward)	Berkeley	Alameda	TRUE	Berkeley not like cities on other side of hills so shouldn't be with them. His COI is children of CA	
52111007	H		TRUE	San Mateo County Board of Sups, President - submitted proposal		San Mateo	TRUE	SD - want one that will allow someone from county to get elected, central San Mateo County SD; Q: problem with coast district? A: no, just not a lot of pop - most on bay side; Q: which way add? A: see submitted proposal	
52111009	H		TRUE	(testifying on behalf of) East Bay Asian Voter Education Consortium (lists groups in it - on handout its 10 groups), working with CAPAFR			TRUE	API most rapidly growing pop in AL Co: 3 concentrations 1)Oakland, Alameda, Berkeley,2) 3) Fremont, Newark	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111004			FALSE	FALSE		
52111005			FALSE	FALSE		
52111006			FALSE	FALSE		
52111007			FALSE	FALSE		
52111009			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111004			FALSE							
52111005			FALSE							
52111006			FALSE			fair districts, equal population				
52111007			FALSE					TRUE	ppt with maps	
52111009			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with 2 maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111010	H		TRUE	with CAPAFR	Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	keep Oakland china town whole (8th and webster sts)	
52111011	H		TRUE	FAJ (Filipino Advocates for Justice) - part of CAPAFR; she's Executive Director	mostly berkeley and oakland over 35 yrs	AL Co - 35 yrs	TRUE	keep Union City in tact for all district levels, Hayward, San Lorenzo, San Leandro	
52111012	H		FALSE			Alameda - almost 30 yrs	TRUE	keep Union City in tact, Castro Valley and Hayward with it, need more take San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Ashland, Cherryland	
52111013	H		TRUE	Chair of Bay Area Chapter of statewide org (APAPA) to promote API at all levels of govt - CAPAFR	Fremont	Alameda	TRUE	see map/handout Fremont, Newark, Milpitas and the Berryessa part of San Jose together; Q: cross county cause COI stronger? A: yes	
52111014	H		TRUE	Asian Law Caucas - CAPAFR	San Francisco - 7 yrs	San Francisco	TRUE	Chinatown in SF and immediate area where API live; Visitation Valley, Excelsior, Bayview - respect this please- keep together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111010			FALSE	TRUE	API need services and so need fair and adequate representation	
52111011			FALSE	TRUE	API and Latino	
52111012			FALSE	TRUE	API, need services	
52111013			FALSE	TRUE	API, shopping, etc;	
52111014			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111010			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with 1 map	
52111011			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	
52111012			FALSE					TRUE	testimony only	
52111013			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	
52111014			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111015	H		TRUE	Asian Pacific American Community Center (APACC); In SF(Visitation Valley) & part of CAPAFR			TRUE	API in San Francisco; Visitation Valley and Chinatown in same district Q: but not contiguous? A: right but currently VV cut off of district with Chinatown, would like to see together.	
52111016	H		TRUE	Gay Asian Pacific Alliance (GAPA) - with CAPAFR	San Francisco - 6 years	San Francisco	TRUE	tenderloin, soma are home to many API LGBT; they access services for LGBT and attend community-bldg events in upper market, castro, and Haight-Ashbury; respect geographic integrity of both API & LGBT communities (and end the east/west divide?)	
52111017	H		TRUE	Pilipino Bayanihan Resource Center (PBRC)	Daly City	San Mateo	TRUE	Daly City - largest Filipino pop outside of philipines (and in US), Daly City pop is 1/3 Filipino & >1/2 Asian; AD currently splits Daily City in 2 and it needs to stay together; Q: ask re linking Daly City with south parts of SF (says this in handout)	
52111018	H	teacher, volunteer	FALSE		Concord, 10CD, 11AD, 7 SD	Contra Costa	TRUE	now have 2 CDs; please put Concord in one CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111015		Q: where is Chinatown A: kerney to powell, california to broadway = chinatown	FALSE	TRUE	language services are critical, help new immig with housing, low per capita income	economic issues shared
52111016		5th to Van Ness, Market to Post, South of Market, 5th to South Van Ness	FALSE	TRUE	language issues, need services	
52111017	Daly City, South San Francisco, Broadmoor, Colma; also remain connected w/ other Asian-Americans in Sunset & Richmon (says in handout)		FALSE	TRUE	history of immigration, cultural; current AD does not respect Daly City cause splits it;	
52111018			FALSE	TRUE	increasing diversity in Concord	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111015			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	
52111016			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	
52111017			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	
52111018			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111019	H		FALSE		Clayton (east of Walnut Creek) - very small town	Contra Costa	TRUE	to do anything go to Lamorinda, walnut creek, danville, alamo	
52111020	H		FALSE		Diablo Valley (WC, Orinda, Lafayette, San Ramon, Clayton): Q: Concord? A: yes kind of part of D Valley	Contra Costa	TRUE	natural boundary between berkeley area and diable valley - should be in separate districts;	
52111021	H		FALSE		Martinez, county seat works in Walnut Creek	Contra Costa	TRUE	I - 680 corridor from Martinez to Walnut Creek - her COI - east to hwy 4; richmond in I-80 district	
52111022	H		FALSE		Danville	Contra Costa	TRUE	Keep San Ramon Valley together (Walnut Creek down to Dublin), join with Lamorinda;	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111019			FALSE	TRUE	same type of living and shopping	
52111020			FALSE	TRUE	different climates	
52111021			FALSE	FALSE		
52111022			FALSE	TRUE		similar economic interests - commuters, shop in other areas

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111019			FALSE							
52111020			FALSE							
52111021			FALSE							
52111022			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111023	H		FALSE		10CD, 7 AD, 15 SD	Contra Costa	TRUE	CD 10 - with part of Solano - they have nothing to do with us; her COI - west border is East Bay hills, Bay on north, San Joaquin Valley on east.	
52111024	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep San Ramon Valley and Walnut Creek area in same CD; CD 10 & CD 11 is confusing, should not be with San Joaquin area.(as in CD 10)	
52111025	H		FALSE		Lafayette	Contra Costa	TRUE	history of forming CC & AL counties - based on ridgelines, rivers, bay; use N/S ridgeline to draw districts, one to water (n/w to Bay?), one down to Pleasanton; Q: what about co lines? A: important generally, but in this one case ridgeline takes priority	
52111026	H		FALSE		Oakland 25 yrs		TRUE	district lines respect on city and county boundaries; respect transport corridor and natural geographic boundaries; Oakland, Piedmont, Alameda, and Emeryville together rather than Oakland with Orinda Moraga	
52111027	H		FALSE		Glenview district part of Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	COI is her neighborhood	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111023			FALSE	FALSE		
52111024			FALSE	TRUE	rural san joaquin Valley nothing to do with San Ramon Valley	
52111025			FALSE	FALSE		
52111026			FALSE	FALSE		
52111027		between hwy 13 and 580 - mid hills	TRUE	TRUE	similar needs values concerns housing	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111023			FALSE							
52111024			FALSE							
52111025			FALSE					TRUE	small paper with notes	
52111026			FALSE							
52111027			FALSE			draw the lines to benefit all Californians				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111028	H		FALSE		Oakland - 51 yrs	Alameda	TRUE	2 decades ago - judges - nesting - easier to understand who legislator is; expensive for ROV to print ballots - would be more efficient to use city and county lines	
52111029	H		FALSE	speaks about special interests influencing process	Oakland	Alameda	FALSE		
52111030	H		TRUE	representing: Alice B. Toklas LGBT Democratic Club, on board of directors	concord		TRUE	being part of SD 3 has helped LGBT in SF and Marin; Marin stay with SF so that LGBT community in marin has voice	
52111031	H		FALSE				TRUE	City of Alameda with Oakland rather than Fremont	
52111032	H		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111028			FALSE	TRUE	diverse city - live harmoniously	
52111029			FALSE	FALSE		
52111030			FALSE	FALSE		
52111031			FALSE	FALSE		
52111032			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111028			FALSE							
52111029			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	
52111030			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	
52111031			FALSE							
52111032			FALSE			fair compact districts that reflect community with all its differences, not have those differences parceled out.		TRUE	testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111033	H		FALSE		walnut creek - 20 yrs, CD 10, AD 15	Contra Costa	TRUE	COI is Lamorinda, Walnut Creek, San Ramon Valley (along 24 and 680; CD 10 includes rural areas (like Dixon) which don't have anything to do with; AD 15 includes part of Sacramento - not good either	
52111034	H		FALSE		Martinez	Contra Costa	TRUE	urge to keep COI based on job centers,?	
52111035	H		FALSE		Pleasanton - 41 yrs	Alameda	TRUE	Pleasanton has been gerrymandered; hard to know who her rep is; Dublin, san ramon, pleasanton, livermore is greater San Ramon Valley - 580/680 corridor, don't cut off at san ramon Co line	
52111036	H		FALSE		CD 7, SD 7, AD 11		TRUE	diablo valley and san ramon valley on 680 corridor is COI, keep CC Co together as COI	
52111037	H	ran for CD 13, so knows how district constructed and how it confuses people	FALSE		lives in CD 13, unincorporated Alameda Co	Alameda	TRUE	alameda fits better with Oak, Berk; ___with san Lorenzo, San Ramon,Cherryland, and ___; Newark & Fremont; Pleasanton with Livermore, Dublin, Sinol	
52111038	H		FALSE		Lamorinda	Contra Costa	TRUE	with some bayside communities in some districts; need to be with Dublin and San Ramon Valley; not with towns over berkeley, oakland hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111033			FALSE	FALSE		
52111034			FALSE	FALSE		
52111035			FALSE	FALSE		
52111036			FALSE	FALSE		
52111037			FALSE	FALSE		
52111038			FALSE	TRUE		commute first to sf, then to walnut creek, same for shopping and recreation

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111033			FALSE							
52111034			FALSE							
52111035			FALSE							
52111036			FALSE							
52111037			FALSE							
52111038			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111039	H		FALSE		Berkeley	Alameda	TRUE	remove east Oakland communities from communities on 680 corridor; alameda co should be separated from Western and Eastern hubs	
52111040	pass - then came back - made 43		FALSE				FALSE		
52111042	H		FALSE		Camarillo	Ventura	TRUE	East Ventura should link to west side of LA Co (Westlake Village etc)	
52111043	H - 40 who missed slot was given 43 cause no 43 - statement in Chinese		FALSE		San Francisco, work in Sunset, live in another area	San Francisco	TRUE	don't split up San Francisco; being treated unfairly - sunset with other specific areas in SF	
52111044	H		FALSE		San Pablo	Contra Costa	TRUE	bad district: that San Pablo County in has Solano Co part which has nothing in common with west and east CC Co; AD 1 that San Pablo is in is good.	
52111045	H		TRUE	AC Transit District - at large measure			TRUE	special district 1 which is west of ridgeline and west of CC Co	
52111047	H	immigrant to bay area	FALSE				TRUE	CD 7 - Contra Costa to Solano (to Vacaville but cuts off cities on way there); keep CC Co together in one CD as much as possible	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111039			FALSE	FALSE		
52111040			FALSE	FALSE		
52111042		hwy 23, 34, and interstate ____ corridors	FALSE	TRUE	east ventura different from coastal part of Ventura	
52111043			FALSE	FALSE		
52111044			FALSE	FALSE		
52111045			FALSE	TRUE	COI based on transportation and what willing to pay for; use to see where boundaries are	
52111047			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111039			FALSE							
52111040			FALSE							
52111042			FALSE							
52111043			FALSE					TRUE	testimony in Chinese	
52111044			FALSE							
52111045			FALSE							
52111047			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111049	H - had handout		TRUE	Tri-Valley City Councils	Pleasanton (part of tri-valley communities)	Alameda	TRUE	respect tri-valley communities	
52111050	H		FALSE		Livermore (tri valley area)	Alameda	TRUE	Tri Valley area - Livermore, Dublin, Pleasanton; put this with Tracy (area that people move to cause less expensive)	
52111051	H		FALSE		El Sobrante	Contra Costa	TRUE	Hercules, Pinole close to El Sobrante are similar, please keep with El Sobrante	
52111052	H	was on AC Transit Board	FALSE				FALSE		
52111054	H		FALSE		Orinda	Contra Costa	TRUE	include transportation corridors, areas of similar interests, and geographic barriers (oakland hills); lamorinda with _____ - will email her testimony	
52111055	H		FALSE		Concord	Contra Costa	TRUE	maintain integrity of county lines- CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111049	Pleasanton, Livermore, Dublin, San Ramon, Danville		FALSE	TRUE	media delivery area	
52111050			FALSE	FALSE		
52111051			FALSE	FALSE		
52111052			FALSE	FALSE		
52111054			FALSE	FALSE		
52111055			FALSE	TRUE	history of CC/AL Co - before gold rush were together, being separate makes sense, historic basis of difference of opinion	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111049			FALSE					TRUE	Tri-Valley City Councils (ltr, petition, map)	
52111050			FALSE							
52111051			FALSE							
52111052			FALSE			wants nesting to make it easier to see who represents you? Submitted maps?				
52111054			FALSE							
52111055			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111056	H		FALSE		unicorporated area near Pleasanton Bart	Contra Costa	TRUE	Lamorinda is a COI; towns on 680 corridor are similar should be together (Lamorinda with San Ramon and Danville); berkeley hills and Caldecott tunnel are natural boundary	
52111057	H		FALSE		San Ramon	south Contra Costa	TRUE	San Ramon Valley + Tri-Valley + Lamorinda - keep that area compact, all similar	
52111058	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	keep us (mentally ill) together if can - Tenderloin and East Oakland	
52111059	H - read other's letter		FALSE		Folsom	Sacramento	TRUE	Folsom Dam - unique area that should be recognized in drawing lines, in 3 counties	
52111060	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52111061	H		FALSE		Fremont	Alameda	TRUE	geographic features imp; Area in hills ('Mission Hills') should be ___?	
52111062	H		FALSE		Pleasanton, tri-valley	Alameda	TRUE	CD: lists cities to add to Pleasanton(lamorinda, clayton, brentwood, byron, 'but not Sinol'); AD proposal too; keep pleasanton whole? Keep cities whole	
52111063	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111056	Lamorinda cities with San Ramon and Danville		FALSE	TRUE	Bart, rail, hwy 680	
52111057	San Ramon Valley, w/Danville, Alamo, Walnut Creek, Dublin, Livermore, Pleasanton	580 and 680 defines	FALSE	TRUE	bonded by civic and cultural attractions, retail, dining; live, work, play worship	
52111058			FALSE	TRUE	people labelled mental, goals is to stay out of institutions, but lots are homeless - need better housing	
52111059			FALSE	FALSE		
52111060			FALSE	FALSE		
52111061			FALSE	FALSE		
52111062			FALSE	TRUE	share common resources, media	
52111063			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111056			FALSE							
52111057			FALSE							
52111058			FALSE							
52111059			FALSE							
52111060			FALSE			allow us to be enfranchised, be fair,				
52111061			FALSE							
52111062			FALSE							
52111063			FALSE			supports the two party system??		TRUE	testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111065	H		FALSE		Oakland, 4th generation, biz in Oakland, now lives in NorthBeach ? (since 1986?)		TRUE	SF should be one CD; in sunset line goes down street - feels bad for them	
52111066	H - in chinese		FALSE		Sunset District, SF		TRUE	professional scammer in neighborhood, affected by representation at highest level	
52111067	H - translator of Chinese		FALSE				TRUE	CD 6, CD 7 - Pelosi and Spier (who also represents San Mateo) - makes it hard to get representation in Sunset, I don't belong with San Mateo I belong with SF; make SF whole in CD	
52111069	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	keep melting pot going rather than salad bowl of micro-cultures; Berk-Oak Hills boundary down to water. One group, one objective	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111065			FALSE	FALSE		
52111066			FALSE	FALSE		
52111067			FALSE	FALSE		
52111069			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111065			FALSE							
52111066			FALSE					TRUE	testimony in Chinese	
52111067			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with a google map	
52111069			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111070	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	FALSE		
52111071	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	don't take district over hills to Lamorinda - to suburbs; Q: to make SD go north or south; A: north	
52111072	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	all of Oakland in same Assembly District, Dublin and Livermore removed from SD with urban Oakland; CD - Alameda not with Oakland and should be.	
52111073	H		FALSE		San Francisco		TRUE	parents in SF in different district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111070			FALSE	FALSE		
52111071			FALSE	FALSE		
52111072			FALSE	FALSE		
52111073			FALSE	TRUE	minority in SF cause straight, property -owner; leave special interest out	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111070			FALSE			return to common sense drawing of districts - use geographical boundaries, hills, bay, cities and communities; ignore calls for racial balkanization; Q: county boundary over communities? A: yes, if just based on race, no if based on rural/urban, economics				
52111071			FALSE							
52111072			FALSE							
52111073			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111075	H		TRUE	Tri- Valley Coaltion (see handout)	Diablo	Contra Costa	TRUE	unite Diablo Valley/Tri-Valley Area; ok to cross county line; 'free pleasanton from 3 counties'; map shows cities to keep together for AD,CD,SD; petition says ok to add similar towns such as walnut creek & Lamorinda by going north along 680	
52111078	H		FALSE				TRUE	Danville: includes Alamo, Diablo, Blackhawk-Camino Tassajara (school district includes this_); proposes CD and AD with cities	
52111079	H		FALSE		Oakland (downtown 11 yrs)	Alameda	TRUE	keep cities and county boundaries as much as possible to avoid confusion	
52111080	H	Democratic party delegate	FALSE		Oakland; in Oakland for 46 of her 52 yrs, rest in San Leandro	Alameda	TRUE	respect diversity - draw lines for good rather than special interests	
52111082	H		FALSE		Fremont	Alameda	TRUE	diversity of Fremont - different ethnicities, religions; combine Fremont with Hayward, Union City, Newark, Milipitas	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111075	from map: Castle Hill, Alamo, Diablo, Danville, Blackhawk, Camino-Tassajara, San Ramon, Norris Canyon, Dublin, Pleasanton, Livermore;		FALSE	TRUE	shopping area; mayors and councils work together on issues for greater good of area (from testimony)	economic area - this area work together for economic growth (says testimony)
52111078			FALSE	FALSE		
52111079			FALSE	FALSE		
52111080			FALSE	FALSE		
52111082			FALSE	TRUE	depend on health care, shopping	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111075			FALSE					TRUE	testimony, map and petition	
52111078			FALSE							
52111079			FALSE							
52111080			FALSE							
52111082			FALSE					TRUE	2 testimonies (from 83? & from 84 with map of Columbia U CD 14) - joint submission between 82, 83, 84	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111083	pass - but S - joint-submitter of folder left by 82 & 84, in folder testimony by Hayward resident who did not speak)		FALSE				FALSE		
52111084	H		FALSE	submitting Columbia University map that combines 5 cities (Milipitas, Fremont, Newark, Union City, Hayward) just like did in 1991	Fremont	Alameda	FALSE		
52111085	H		TRUE	UAW Fremont (person with them)			TRUE	san leandro, newark, fremont, union city, hayward, part of milipitas? Not livermore and not pleasanton	
52111087	H		FALSE				TRUE	CDs in southern california - 4 impt military facilities; don't put Ridgecrest, ChinaLake with Antelope Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111083			FALSE	FALSE		
52111084			FALSE	FALSE		
52111085			FALSE	FALSE		
52111087			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111083			FALSE					TRUE	See above/below; testimony of one who didn't speak: Hayward resident & please keep Hayward w/ Fremont, Union City, Newark bec similar needs re econ devel, schools, social services; if w/ affluent Pleasant, Liverm, Dublin, Hayward needs will be overlooked	
52111084			FALSE					TRUE	2 testimonies (from 83? & from 84 with map of Columbia U CD 14) - joint submission between 82, 83, 84	
52111085			FALSE							
52111087			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111088	H		FALSE				TRUE	want Oakland to have its own representative (noone elected to Assembly? who lives in Oakland); odd and even number districts issue...voting for 2 versus none	
52111089	H		FALSE		Berkeley, several generations ; now lives in Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	a previous speaker captured how he wants to see Oakland	
52111090	H		FALSE		Livermore, 32 yrs	Alameda	TRUE	Livermore been gerrymandered in CD 10; best thing is to keep cities of tri-valley together (lists 4 or 5?: san ramon, Dublin, pleasanton, livermore)	
52111091	H		FALSE		Lafayette	Contra Costa	TRUE	925 area code	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111088			FALSE	FALSE		
52111089			FALSE	TRUE	low-income communities of color face disparities (health, jobs), need to work as coalition to meet needs	
52111090			FALSE	FALSE		
52111091			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111088			FALSE							
52111089			FALSE							
52111090			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with map	
52111091			FALSE			transparency, numbering, nesting helps people understand, open-minded about cross-county especially when following transportation barriers (or corridors?)				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52111092	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	Oakland community is Oakland, urge to keep Oakland whole, if cant look at city council districts - rather than demographics (common interests between different demograp; use West CC School District lines for Richmond; communities around Folsom Dam together	
52111094	H		FALSE		Moraga	Contra Costa	TRUE	danville & san ramon not like Lamorinda	
52111095	H	retired federal agent	FALSE		vacaville	Solano	TRUE	CD 7 - look at changing this way: don't let incumbants keep winning	
52111096	H		FALSE		west oakland	alameda	TRUE	strong geographic identity and historical identity of west oakland (describes history, ethnic groups - eg italian, portugese); West Oakland should remain intact, all of Oakland should remain intact. Q: go where? A: north2 Berkeley,2 flat (downtown), or 2_	
52211001	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	Mont with SLO and not with Santa Cruz	Montery
52211002	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	three counties (Mont, SLO, northern Santa Barbara Co) together and not with Santa Cruz	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52111092			FALSE	FALSE		
52111094			FALSE	FALSE		
52111095			FALSE	FALSE		
52111096			FALSE	FALSE		
52211001			FALSE	FALSE		
52211002			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52111092			FALSE							
52111094			FALSE							
52111095			FALSE							
52111096			FALSE							
52211001			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. A
52211002			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. B

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211003	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	agrees with last speaker: Monterey Co paired with SLO Co and further south; Mont Co couldn't be more different than Santa Cruz Co...don't pair with it; Q: how far down in Santa Barbara Co? A: go down 101 and look at agricultural communities	Monterey & SLO counties
52211004	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep Monterey Co whole, not w/ Santa Cruz, add SLO and Santa Maria city in SB Co	
52211005	H & S		FALSE		Monterey - 27 yrs	Monterey	TRUE	Monterey more in common with south SLO Co based on military; keep Monterey & SLO together	
52211006	H & S		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	Monterey and SLO Co and town of Santa Maria (of Santa Barbara Co) to make a coastal district; all Monterey in one district; nothing in common with Santa Cruz Co; Q: draw line at Santa Maria for SB Co? A: Yes, include Santa Maria; Q: San Benito?? A:??	Monterey, SLO and part of Santa Barbara
52211007	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	Monterey and SLO and SB Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211003			FALSE	TRUE	share water issue - salinas river basin - without that 2 counties would be dust bowl physically and economically	share wine industry, recreation opportunities, salinas river water shed key to economies of 2 counties
52211004			FALSE	FALSE		
52211005			FALSE	TRUE	share military facilities, interests	
52211006			FALSE	TRUE	share 101 coast, historical bldgs, hiking trails, big sur coastline, superior retirement living; nothing in common with Santa Cruz Co	
52211007			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211003			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. C
52211004			FALSE							
52211005			FALSE					TRUE	handwritten testimony	05.22.11. D
52211006			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. E
52211007			FALSE					TRUE	handwritten testimony	05.22.11. F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211008	H & S	Navy Veteran	FALSE		Monterey Valley	Monterey	TRUE	Combine Monterey with SLO Co	
52211009	H		FALSE			Santa Clara	FALSE		
52211011	H		FALSE		unicorporated Co (island in San Jose?)	Santa Clara Co	TRUE	gave his CD, AD, SD	
52211012	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	county grouping including San Benito (heard tail end) based on Latino ability to get elected??; Monterey, San Benito, Santa Cruz, nothing in common with SLO, and the 101 Monterey corridor [see testimony]	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211008			FALSE	TRUE	help students going to school cause cheaper to live in SLO Co; geographically connected Salinas River, hwy 1, 101, coast and valley, same infrastructure?	
52211009			FALSE	FALSE		
52211011			FALSE	FALSE		
52211012			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211008			FALSE					TRUE	handwritten testimony	05.22.11. G
52211009			FALSE			want fair districts and don't want alphabet groups running show. Don't divide us by race, ethnicity, how much \$ have. Race ethnicity not a good criteria				
52211011			FALSE							
52211012			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. H

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211013	H		TRUE	NALEO Ed Fund			FALSE		
52211014	H & S	former mayor & city council member (City of Watsonville); currently (& for 34 yrs) a secondary public school educator, several other affiliations	FALSE		Watsonville, 20 yrs	Santa Cruz	TRUE	Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Benito together; need new SD with these three, like current CD 17; Q: how far up in S Cruz? A: into Scotts Valley to Greenfield, Capitola, Aptos, Aromas, Hollister in S Benito	
52211015	H	served at Fort Ord, scholar of region	FALSE		Santa Cruz, Seabride neighborhood?	Santa Cruz	TRUE	Recs re Salinas Valley; S Cruz and Monterey, and not SLO and not Palo Alto, go E to pick up pop; expand AD 27, 28 and nest them and CD 17 in one SD; keep tog ag areas around Salinas, Watsonville, Hollister, Gilroy (& rest of So S Clara Co) - 101 corridor	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211013			FALSE	FALSE		
52211014			FALSE	TRUE	diversity among three co.s is great and want own district; Begonia Festival?	economy of Watsonville: Martinellis, lite manufacturing, some tech
52211015			FALSE	TRUE	multiple overlapping COIs each need a voice, not swallowed by far away counties	rural communities of Salinas Vall more in common w/ Western part of Merced Co, than with SLO

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211013			TRUE	Monterey	notes that in a Section 5 county & significant to hold hearing there		thanks and look forward to seeing maps			
52211014			FALSE					TRUE	testimony + 2 maps (current CD 17, suggested SD 15)	05.22.11.I
52211015		recognizes that CRC needs to meet this requirement & qualifies recomm with that.	TRUE	Monterey	just reference to VRA in this area					

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211016	H		FALSE		live in Gilroy, work in Monterey Co	Santa Clara	TRUE	Gilroy, Morgan Hill and San Martin very similar family oriented communities - currently gerrymandered (especially Morgan Hill and she describes her district as well)	
52211017	H	former elementary teacher, parent, grandparent	FALSE		Sunnyvale, 42 years	Santa Clara	FALSE		
52211018	H		FALSE		Sunnyvale, 52 years	Santa Clara	TRUE	his AD, SD, CD should include cities of sunnyvale, santa clara, saratoga, cupertino, los gatos: Q:need more go where? A: South to Saritoga	
52211019	H		FALSE		Morgan Hill, Gilroy, over 30 yrs in south S Clara Co	Santa Clara	TRUE	south Santa Clara County in one district (Morgan Hill, San Martin, Gilroy); we are geographically together - can understand why not in same CD.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211016			FALSE	FALSE		
52211017			FALSE	FALSE		
52211018			FALSE	TRUE	shares roads, schools, retail centers, houses of faith	COI is Silicon Valley
52211019			FALSE	TRUE	family-oriented, mardi gras in Morgan Hill, Garlic Festival	share economics - agriculture (garlic especially)

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211016			FALSE			fairness, not based on race/ethnicity, religion, language or how much \$ earn				
52211017			FALSE			wants fair lines, compact with smallest possible perimeter, 1% deviation				
52211018			FALSE			draw fairly and not based on what groups want (listed MALDEF, NALEO, Greenlining Institute, CAUSE), we are Californians first, don't draw based on race, religion, language, wealth				
52211019			FALSE			fairness, not special interests				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211020	H		FALSE		Santa Cruz Mts, Loma Prieta Mt	Santa Cruz County, but address in Los Gatos and schools in Santa Clara Co too	TRUE	CD14 - most gerrymandered district, disenfrachises me as a voter; what does Palo Alto have in common w/ Boulder Creek	
52211021	H & S		FALSE		Marina	Monterey	TRUE	SD 15 proposal: Santa Cruz, Monterey, San Benito, and then can take predominately agricultural parts of Santa Clara (such as Gilroy), parts of Kings and Fresno	
52211022	H & S	teacher - 4th grade, Spanish-speaking	FALSE		Salinas (previously lived in Watsonville in scrucz co, and worked in Las Lomas in Mont Co)	Monterey	TRUE	wants SD to be nested with 2 current AD; Monterey, Santa Cruz, San Benito together; doesn't want to be with Merced, Fresno, Modesto, SLO, or Santa Barbara	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211020			FALSE	FALSE		
52211021			FALSE	TRUE	three counties have vision of being intergated area.	core is agriculture, hospitality, tourism and higher education; UCSC, CSU Mont Bay, Cal Poly SLO now all in SD 15 - so cut SD 15 at SLO Co line and have one advocate for Cal Poly SLO, and one for other two
52211022			FALSE	TRUE	never go to Merced, Fresno, SLO for a class; travel often to S Cruz, Monterey Peninsula to see family, shop	coastal agriculture using local water to grow up to three crops in one field, not same as ag in Merced

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211020			FALSE			don't use race, ethnicity, voter demographics to draw lines, draw lines to reflect communities that comprise districts				
52211021			FALSE			good to have two advocates for central coast or for agricultural area		TRUE	testimony & proposal for SD 15 w/ map and stats	05.22.11.J
52211022			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11.K

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211023	H	served at Fort Ord, former military	FALSE		Monterey Peninsula	Monterey	TRUE	keep Mont & SLO Cos together (military and agr families kids to CSU CalPoly); S Cruz Co more Berk/SF than like Mont; CD 17 not include S Cruz city or any part of S Cruz Co; CD 17, SD 15, AD 27 should be Monterey south to SLO & not incl Santa Cruz	
52211024	H & S	stay at home mom	FALSE		Hollister, raised in S Cruz Co, husband works in Monterey Co	San Benito	TRUE	Santa Cruz, San Benito, Monterey	
52211025	H		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	keep current AD s; does not like SD 12, 15, reps live away and don't rep our interests; please nest AD into SD	
52211026	H & S		FALSE		Carmel Valley	Monterey	TRUE	SD 15: don't have representation with it; want it to be centered in mont co, include all of Mont Co, santa cruz Co, san benito Co, south santa clara Co incl Morgan Hill	
52211027	H		FALSE				TRUE	Mont, SLO, Santa Maria	
52211028	H		FALSE				TRUE	S Cruz, Mont, S Benito, So S Clara	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211023			FALSE	TRUE	military interests with Mont and SLO, Santa Cruz Co is 'nuclear free zone' very unfriendly to military; communist party member on city council of S Cruz	
52211024			FALSE	TRUE	share sports, school compete in same leagues, share education/school districts overlap, share charities -eg. Jacob's Heart, Uway has umbrella	share economy, residents of S Benito mostly work in S Clara, S Cruz, Monterey Co.s
52211025			FALSE	TRUE	media markets develop organically and show where COI are	
52211026			FALSE	FALSE		
52211027			FALSE	FALSE		
52211028			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211023			FALSE							
52211024	S Benito smaller but interests are entwined with S Cruz and Mont and don't feel lost by being rep with them		FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11.L
52211025			FALSE							
52211026			FALSE					TRUE	testimony with RedrawCA maps	05.22.11.M
52211027			FALSE							
52211028			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211030	H & S		FALSE		Hollister	San Benito	TRUE	S Cruz, S Benito, Mont	
52211031	H		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	keep Mont whole, add SLO and Santa Maria	
52211032	H & S		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	Mont, SLO, 246 around Santa Ynez (santa Barbara co)	
52211033	H & S	mother, military wife	FALSE		CD 17, SD 15, AD 27	Monterey	TRUE	Monterey down to Santa Maria	
52211034	H		FALSE		since '97 Monterey and Pacific Grove, husband native of Salinas	Monterey	TRUE	Monterey pair up with SLO	
52211035	H		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	Mont should be whole, go south into SLO & S Barbara, not Santa Cruz	
52211036	H & S		FALSE		Bonny Doon	Santa Cruz	TRUE	re military	
52211037	H & S		FALSE		Salinas, 13 yrs	Monterey	TRUE	current CDs, ADs represent her county(or area?) well - SD does not; interests not with Merced Madera or Stanislaus; please put in SD with Santa Cruz (south), Santa Clara, San Benito	
52211038	H & S		FALSE		Salinas	Monterey	TRUE	Mont not with SLO	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211030			FALSE	FALSE		
52211031			FALSE	FALSE		
52211032			FALSE	FALSE		
52211033			FALSE	TRUE		keep economic area in tact from Monterey to Santa Maria
52211034			FALSE	TRUE	military, university	agriculture in Monterey and SLO
52211035			FALSE	FALSE		
52211036			FALSE	FALSE		
52211037			FALSE	FALSE		
52211038			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211030			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. N
52211031			FALSE							
52211032			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. O
52211033			FALSE			fair and just decisions please		TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. P
52211034	need strong voice for ag in two counties		FALSE							
52211035			FALSE							
52211036			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. Q
52211037			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. R
52211038			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. S

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211039	H & S	Mont Co Supervisor, federal VRA plaintiff against City of Salinas in 1987, member of NALEO, and lots of other orgs (read them all)	TRUE	Mont Co Board of Supervisors	East Salinas	Monterey	TRUE	keep Monterey intact, and don't delete voting strength of Latinos in Monterey County; put with Santa Cruz & San Benito with whom have much in common.	
52211040	H & S	LGBT community	FALSE		Hollister	San Benito	TRUE	SLO, Merced, Santa Barbara should not be in same district with San Benito, Monterey, and Santa Cruz. San Benito in COI with Monterey and Santa Cruz.	
52211041	H - came back after 44		FALSE		Malibu	Los Angeles	TRUE	don't identify with East Ventura Co; don't like to go over the hill, go to S Monica or LA to shop; put with Pacific Palisades & S Monica, go east; Q: where add people? Why not commun on other side of Santa Monica mts? A: its cultural rather than proximity	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211039			FALSE	FALSE		
52211040			FALSE	TRUE		landuse and water issues in Salinas Valley are different from S Joaquin Valley, coastal range and other regions
52211041			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211039		comply with VRA to allow Latinos to elect candidate of choice	FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. T
52211040			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. U & 05.22.11. V
52211041			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211042	H & S		FALSE		Prunedale	Monterey	TRUE	Santa Cruz over to Morgan Hill, catching ag areas (hollister, prunedale, paso robles); do not include South San Jose or go north to Palo Alto, etc;	
52211043	H		FALSE		Monterey	Monterey	TRUE	Include Monterey with SLO, take in Vandenberg AFB in Santa Barbara Co; feel no closeness to Santa Cruz Co (not only nuclear free zone, also handing out pot at city council mtg)	
52211044	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52211045	H		FALSE			Santa Clara	FALSE		
52211047	H		FALSE		Salinas	Monterey	TRUE	AD 27 - agree with last speaker?; don't dilute voting strength of minorities, they need to be able to elect candidate of choice, have lots of needs, and need to be represented; monterey & S Benito together	
52211048	H		FALSE		Seaside	Monterey	TRUE	Mont not with SLO or Santa Cruz Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211042			FALSE	FALSE		
52211043			FALSE	TRUE	share with SLO Coa; military, agriculture, water, wine corridor, 101 corridor, big sur, everyone goes to CalPoly	
52211044			FALSE	FALSE		
52211045			FALSE	FALSE		
52211047			FALSE	FALSE		
52211048			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211042			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. W
52211043			FALSE							
52211044			FALSE							
52211045			FALSE			fairness				
52211047		impt to elect candidate of choice: where he lives is diverse and had many needs and need to be represented	FALSE							
52211048			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211049	H & S - longer version handed in than speaking notes		TRUE	LWV of Monterey and Santa Cruz			TRUE	AD 27: want to see all of Santa Cruz and coastal areas of Monterey Co (its already like this); for SD 15 want Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito (three counties in AMBAG- Association of Mont Bay Area Govts); Q: other AD? A: out of my LWV jurisdiction	
52211051	H		TRUE	many hats: parent, President of Santa Cruz Co Board of Education	Rio Del Moore	Santa Cruz	TRUE	many school districts	
52211052	H & S		TRUE	member of AMBAG, mayor of Watsonville	Watsonville & Pajaro Valley	Santa Cruz	TRUE	COI with San Benito and Monterey Co	
52211054	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52211055	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	COI is tri-county of S Cruz, Mont, S Benito; go from So S Clara Co (border or take towns?) to Paso Robles in SLO Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211049			FALSE	FALSE		
52211051			FALSE	FALSE		
52211052			FALSE	TRUE	city is 83% Latino (mostly mexican-american); north Monterey shares a zip code with us; water shed shared with Monterey; AD 27, 28 nested into new SD??; agriculture; Q: what is Salinas Valley? A:	
52211054			FALSE	FALSE		
52211055			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211049			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. X
52211051			FALSE							
52211052			TRUE	Monterey	Section 5 must be reviewed			TRUE	business card	05.22.11. Y
52211054			FALSE							
52211055			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.22.11. Z

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52211056	H	co-founded CA Forward	FALSE				TRUE	agrees with using 3 county area of AMBAG; also look at history (1991 good, 2001 not well served by)	
52211057	H		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	Mont, S Cruz, S Benito	
52211058	H		FALSE				TRUE	Mont NOT with SLO, but with San Benito and with Freedom & Watsonville (both in S Cruz Co)	
52211086	H - signed up for high number because wanted to be last		FALSE				TRUE	agrees with # 1,2,3,35; from Mont Co go South	
52311001	H		FALSE		Foster City	San Mateo, 48 yrs	TRUE	county border doesn't reflect county.	
52311002	H & S		FALSE				FALSE		
52311003	H	technical writer	FALSE		Livermore, 8 yrs, Hayward before that	Alameda	TRUE	CD 10 - octopus; want Livermore with Pleaston, Dublin, San Ramon, and Sunol - areas around 580/680 intersection.	
52311004	H & S		TRUE	Center for Employment Training (CET), CEO, serve low-income people in San Jose area			TRUE	keep San Jose (their service areas) as one community in one CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52211056			FALSE	FALSE		
52211057			FALSE	FALSE		
52211058			FALSE	FALSE		
52211086			FALSE	FALSE		
52311001			FALSE	FALSE		
52311002			FALSE	FALSE		
52311003	Tri-valley area: Livermore, Pleasanton, Dublin, Danville, San Ramon, Sunol Q: why Sunol? A: it could go to Fremont, but wants it, lots friends live there. Q: where go to add pop? A: not over east bay hills to hayward/Oak..	Continued: Go north to Alamo, Concord, or east to Tracy (which is similar - Tracy Hills), not northeast to Brentwood - too rural	FALSE	TRUE	share a lot of life between cities, fire district shared between Livermore & Pleasanton;	
52311004			FALSE	TRUE	san jose area has long had concern for needs of community and continues to do so; resources are slim now. Q: how funded? A: federal \$	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52211056			FALSE							
52211057			FALSE							
52211058			FALSE							
52211086			FALSE							
52311001			FALSE							
52311002			FALSE					TRUE	RedrawCA maps	05.23.11. A
52311003			FALSE							
52311004			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11. B

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311005	H		FALSE		Redwood City	San Mateo	TRUE	wants district around San Mateo Co.; Q: where split for AD? A: doesn't want to split Co but if had to from San Mateo city to ocean.	
52311006	H		FALSE		Redwood City	San Mateo	TRUE	Redwood City is her COI	
52311007	H & S		TRUE	Asian Law Alliance, part of CAPAFR	San Jose, 26 yrs	Santa Clara	TRUE	keep AAPI community together - was cut in 4 by 2001 redistricting; provided map	
52311008	H & S		TRUE	with CAPAFR - has handout	Cupertino, born & raised and lives there now	Santa Clara	TRUE	talks about Taiwanese pop, and also Little Saigon	
52311009	H & S	filipino-american	FALSE		Berryessa area, NE San Jose with 100K residents	Santa Clara	TRUE	Berryessa divided into 4 AD, and totally disenfranchised API community; Berryessa, Milpitas, Fremont make a COI, please make into one AD; Q: cross co line? A: yes, bec community go across for shopping etc; Q: Berryessa U SD is neighborhood? A: yes	
52311010	H & S		TRUE	Berryessa Neighborhood Association	Berryessa area	Santa Clara	TRUE	Berryessa USD - has map of school district & city council district map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311005			FALSE	FALSE		
52311006			FALSE	FALSE		
52311007	Berryessa, etc		FALSE	TRUE	AAPI make up over 34% of S Clara County; have common needs	
52311008			FALSE	TRUE	largest Taiwanese pop and now Taiwanese biz district	
52311009		boundaries of Berryessa: city of Milpitas on north, alviso, mckee rd, Mt. Hamilton	TRUE	FALSE		
52311010			TRUE	TRUE	festivals, API community, needs voice, keep together, to get pop for AD go to Milpitas and Freemont	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311005			FALSE							
52311006			FALSE							
52311007			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR testimony with 2 maps	05.23.11. C
52311008			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR testimony and map	05.23.11. D
52311009			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR testimony	05.23.11. E
52311010			FALSE					TRUE	map of Berryessa High School District & San Jose City Council Districts	05.23.11. F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311011	H & S		FALSE		Berryessa area	Santa Clara	TRUE	Fremont - north San Jose, over 50% Asian, which is unusual (state is 15%); now voting rights been diluted, please correct that; Q: which way to go to add? A: go north to Union City to get more API, if go south get Latino	
52311012	H		TRUE	Berryessa School District, Superintendent	Berryessa area	Santa Clara	TRUE	10 mile sq area cut up into so many districts; share districts with other interests and don't get voice; Q: as well as going N for pop, try W toward Sunnyvale & Santa Clara	
52311014	H		FALSE		Campbell	Santa Clara	TRUE	Q: what want? A: don't break up areas in Campbell	
52311015	H & S		FALSE		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	TRUE	Mt View, Sunnyvale, Los Altos = one AD?; Berryessa together in one AD?; SD proposed, CD proposed? 'COI is Silicon Valley'	
52311016	H		FALSE		San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	1.city, county boundaries 2.natural geographic boundaries 3. draw regardless of race/ethnicity	
52311017	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD 14 is laughably gerrymandered; draw district not like that	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311011			TRUE	TRUE	Asian pop and all minorities together is COI which transcens county borders; wetlands, mountains, corridor, flea market	
52311012			TRUE	FALSE		
52311014			FALSE	FALSE		
52311015			FALSE	FALSE		
52311016			FALSE	FALSE		
52311017			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311011			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11. G
52311012			FALSE							
52311014			FALSE			fair for everyone				
52311015			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11. H
52311016			FALSE							
52311017			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311018	H & S		TRUE	VVO (Vietnamese Voluntary Organization)	Evergreen area of San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	boundaries are Story rd to N, King Rd to E, Capitol Exp to S, Senter Rd To W; Evergreen area with Tully Road area - please keep together for Vietnamese	
52311019	H & S		FALSE		Evergreen, live and work (real estate)	Santa Clara	TRUE	Evergreen used to be rural and now urban, please put with Downtown San Jose, and use Evergreen Sch District lines	
52311020	H & S		TRUE	Japanese American non-profit	Santa Clara	Santa Clara	TRUE	Contiguous cities of Silicon Valley: San Jose, Sunnyvale, Cupertino, Mt View: Q: go which direction to add pop A: north or to Campbell.	
52311021	H		FALSE		Los Gatos	Santa Clara	TRUE	want to be part of community (now vote with S Cruz Co) and vote with community - please redraw	
52311022	H & S		FALSE		Cambrian Park (live, work, in 24 hrs a day), neighborhood of San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	Cambrian Park is split up now; keep Cambrian Park together with Saratoga, Los Gatos, and Campbell, rather than with rest of S Jose.	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311018		see geographic comment	TRUE	FALSE		
52311019			TRUE	FALSE		
52311020			FALSE	FALSE	good school districts, high-tech companies, transport (101), shopping along El Camino Real in Sunnyvale or Asian Plaza off Wolf Rd in Cupertino	
52311021			FALSE	FALSE		
52311022			TRUE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311018			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11.I
52311019			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11.J
52311020			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11.K
52311021			FALSE							
52311022			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11.L

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311023	H		FALSE		Los Gatos	Santa Clara	FALSE		
52311024	H		FALSE		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	TRUE	CD 15 doesn't make sense; need fair districts, equal pop, etc	
52311025	H		FALSE		San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	agree with # 15, 16, 23 - do away with gerrymandering	
52311027	H		FALSE		Sunnyvale, SD 14	Santa Clara	TRUE	agree with #15; fair, open transparent, based on constitution, so daughter will feel like her votes count	
52311028	H		FALSE		Mountain View	Santa Clara	TRUE	clean up districts please (raised to not look at race - surprise to hear that discussed here)	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311023			FALSE	FALSE		
52311024			FALSE	FALSE		
52311025			FALSE	FALSE		
52311027			FALSE	FALSE		
52311028			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311023		Does not believe VRA requires race to be taken into consideration in redistricting	FALSE							
52311024			FALSE							
52311025			FALSE							
52311027			FALSE							
52311028			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311029	H	family from India, grew up all over	FALSE	don't draw lines based on ethnicity - I don't need Indian to represent me, I need an American to represent me; VRA doesn't say you should discriminate based on race/ethnicity	from all over world		FALSE		
52311030	H		FALSE		Gilroy, 7 yrs	Santa Clara	TRUE	gave her districts; wants Gilroy, Morgan Hill and San Martin in same CD	
52311031	H	engineer	FALSE		Santa Clara valley:	Santa Clara	TRUE	Saratoga, Los Gatos, Sunnyvale, Cupertino, Campbell, western San Jose that borders on Los Gators; western portion of 880/17 is part of his COI	
52311032	H & S	professor	FALSE				TRUE	Sunnyvale, Cupertino, Santa Clara, Mt View together (submits map) - API communities	
52311033	H & S		FALSE		Milipitas	Santa Clara	TRUE	Milipitas with Fremont and Berryessa in a single AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311029			FALSE	FALSE		
52311030			FALSE	TRUE	share commonalities: families, horses, large farms	
52311031		natural boundary on hwy 880 & hwy 17	FALSE	FALSE		
52311032			FALSE	FALSE		
52311033			FALSE	TRUE	Filipino pop, but also diverse and we all work/live/shop/family/friends in all 3 areas	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311029			FALSE							
52311030			FALSE							
52311031			FALSE							
52311032			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR testimony and two maps	05.23.11. M
52311033			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR testimony	05.23.11. N

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311034	H & S		TRUE	Asian Amer for Community Involvement (AACI) - service HHS needs (lists services) in San Jose area			TRUE	Asian American community should be viewed as COI and not be split up	
52311035	H & S (presents state-wide Assembly Plan)		FALSE				TRUE	map that doesn't cross city and county lines as much as possible, 1/100 of 1% deviation - please use something to this standard; value neutral, turned off race, politics; use only clear communities	
52311036	H		TRUE	UAW, Numi Job Placement Project			TRUE	keep CD 13 in tact; absorb Milpitas if need to, not Pleasanton & Livermore; Q: if have to drop? A: north of San Leandro, before Oakland	
52311037	H	youth organizer	FALSE				TRUE	Fremont, Newark, Union City (tri-city area - gateway to Silicon Valley); then add Milpitas & Berryessa, for Suburban Silicon Valley District	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311034			FALSE	FALSE		
52311035			FALSE	FALSE		
52311036			FALSE	FALSE		
52311037			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311034			FALSE					TRUE	CAPAFR testimony	05.23.11. O
52311035			FALSE					TRUE	Starting Assembly Plan	05.23.11. P
52311036			FALSE							
52311037			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311038	H		FALSE		western San Jose/Saratoga area	Santa Clara	TRUE	his area is nothing in common with Central/urban San Jose; nor with Gilroy; keep western San Jose, Campbell, Los Gatos, Saratoga together, have most in common; wants better looking districts	
52311039	H		TRUE	APALC presenting state plan			FALSE		
52311040	H		FALSE		Mt View, and now Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	TRUE	separate Bay Area from Central Valley (800 mi stretch in center of state)	
52311041	H		FALSE		Montara, unincorporated San Mateo Co	San Mateo	TRUE	CD 12, AD 21; don't divide by race, ethnicity, religion, \$ have; would like Half Moon Bay in district, and be fair	
52311042	H		TRUE	Silicon Valley Leadership Group, speaking on behalf of			TRUE	please consider Silicon Valley a COI and kept in one CD	
52311043	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep cities in tact,, use natural and geographic boundaries	
52311045	H & S	worked in high tech for....	FALSE		Mountain View	Santa Clara	TRUE	Pacifica down to Capitola and Aptos - make a CD; green blue,pink purple on map; says how to add in various areas	
52311046	H & S		FALSE				TRUE	keep our little district united - zip code area 95120	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311038		Q: 880-17? A: yes, not Cupertino	FALSE	FALSE		
52311039			FALSE	FALSE		
52311040			FALSE	FALSE		
52311041			FALSE	FALSE		
52311042	San Mateo Co, Santa Clara Co, Scotts valley (S Cruz), Alameda Co part (Fremont? Etc?..)		FALSE	FALSE		area of economic vitality
52311043			FALSE	FALSE		
52311045		hwy 880, hwy 17,	FALSE	FALSE		
52311046			FALSE	TRUE	diverse, 30 national ethnic groups	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311038			FALSE							
52311039			FALSE							
52311040			FALSE							
52311041			FALSE							
52311042			FALSE							
52311043			FALSE							
52311045			FALSE					TRUE	map of proposed CDs	05.23.11. Q
52311046			FALSE					TRUE	demographics of zip code 95120	05.23.11. R

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311047	H	retired from Hollister City Govt service	TRUE	LULAC, national vice president - cover 5 states	Hollister	San Benito	TRUE	keep us with similar areas	similar to Monterey (city?), Salinas areas
52311048	H - in Spanish		FALSE		Hollister		TRUE	indigenous Johaquin community be in or with Monterey	
52311051	H & S		FALSE		Alamden Valley, neighborhood of San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	Alamden Valley in only 1 CD, 1 AD, 1 SD;	
52311052	H - spoke in Salinas		FALSE				FALSE		
52311053	H		FALSE		San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	use natural boundaries, mts, rivers, even freeways	
52311055	H		FALSE		Campbell, 23 yrs	Santa Clara Co, 55 yrs	TRUE	COI is around Campbell and Campbell School District, west san Jose, los gatos, saratoga	
52311056	H		FALSE		Cambrian area	Santa Clara	TRUE	Saratoga, Campbell, Los Gatos with us rather than with San Jose; don't separate people by race	
52311057	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52311059	H		TRUE	NALEO			FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311047			FALSE	TRUE	large % Latino, farmworker communities	
52311048			FALSE	FALSE		
52311051			TRUE	FALSE		
52311052			FALSE	FALSE		
52311053			FALSE	FALSE		
52311055			FALSE	TRUE	same education level	
52311056			FALSE	FALSE		
52311057			FALSE	FALSE		
52311059			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311047			FALSE							
52311048			FALSE							
52311051			FALSE					TRUE	redistricting issues affecting Almaden Valley in south San Jose	05.23.11. S
52311052			FALSE			special interest group - have own goals, missions, etc; looked at 12 CBO listed on CRC website - each one side of political spectrum.				
52311053			FALSE							
52311055			FALSE							
52311056			FALSE							
52311057			FALSE			re alphabet groups, funded by Soros				
52311059			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311060	H & S		TRUE	tech industry org			TRUE	see Silicon Valley as COI, impt of high tech industry	
52311061	H	CRLA lawyer	FALSE		Morgan Hill, 30 yrs - before that Gilroy	southern Santa Clara Co	TRUE	divided every which way and not represented by the same person as Gilroy in any of three; keep together Gilroy, San Martin, Morgan Hill = COI	
52311063	H		TRUE	on a school board	san jose (district 8)	Santa Clara	TRUE	talks about city council districts and Latinos; create districts for latinos - big part of area, they are not at meeting	
52311064	H		FALSE				TRUE	look at why counties drawn (geographic); take hypen out of america, all americans	
52311066	H		TRUE	silicon valley democratic club?	San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	give 2 proposed AD - Latino pop - will get effective representation, don't dilute latino voting blocks	
52311067	H		TRUE	Sunnyvale City Council member	Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	TRUE	any city of less than 400K should not be split - this is the case with Sunnyvale; a co district (don't include S Jose) with Morgan Hill, Santa Clara, Palo Alto, Mt View, Los Altos.; also west valley communities that work together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311060			FALSE	FALSE		
52311061			FALSE	TRUE	diverse county - 5 languages for ballot materials	
52311063			FALSE	FALSE		
52311064			FALSE	FALSE		
52311066			FALSE	TRUE	transportation corridor, similar incomes	
52311067			FALSE	TRUE	VTA - transportation authority - contiguous with SClara Co, share water shed, public safety services, community college district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311060			FALSE					TRUE	testimony/letter to commission	05.23.11. T
52311061			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11. U
52311063			FALSE					TRUE		
52311064			FALSE							
52311066			FALSE							
52311067			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311069	H	from India 26 yrs ago	FALSE		Fremont, 26 yrs	Alameda	TRUE	CD 13 has held on to Fremont, Newark, Union City; Columbia U says keep it same; please keep it the same; if need to add go to Milpitas, Dublin, Castro Valley are ok, but NOT livermore, pleasanton	
52311070	H		FALSE		Milpitas	Santa Clara	TRUE	respect city lines of Milpitas; align with Fremont, Union City, Newark., not with Cupertino or Campbell	
52311071	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52311072	H - after 74		FALSE				TRUE	CD 11 - sprawls SJoag Valley, to East Bay, down to Morgan Hill, another district is bad too - for fairness	
52311073	H		FALSE		Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311069			FALSE	FALSE		
52311070			FALSE	FALSE		
52311071			FALSE	FALSE		
52311072			FALSE	FALSE		
52311073			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311069			FALSE							
52311070			FALSE							
52311071			FALSE			racists talk about dividing by race				
52311072			FALSE							
52311073			FALSE				opinion on process: NALEO, MALDEF, etc do they really represent the people? No reason to draw around racial groups - these orgs just want political power			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311074	H		FALSE		Menlo Park, CD14, SD 11, AD 21	San Mateo	FALSE	don't split Campbell	
52311075	H		FALSE		San Jose (1 mile from here)	Santa Clara	TRUE	dont take hwys as such a strong boundary - sometimes they arent, especially in small parts of S Clara Valley	
52311076	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD 21 bad - split in two pieces, not contiguous; start at GGB and go south	
52311077	H	was on recreation commission	FALSE		Cupertino since 1987	Santa Clara	TRUE	COI is West Valley: Cupertino, Los Gatos, Saratoga, Almaden Valley; Q: who add for AD? A: west of 280 (Los Altos, Los Altos Hills) or South of S Clara Co.	
52311078	H		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311074			FALSE	FALSE		
52311075			TRUE	FALSE		
52311076			FALSE	FALSE		
52311077			FALSE	FALSE		
52311078			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311074			FALSE			please don't put emphasis on testimony by union thugs and race pimps; be representative and fair				
52311075			FALSE					TRUE	map of Strong Neighborhood Initiative Areas	05.23.11. V
52311076			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11. W
52311077			FALSE							
52311078			FALSE			criticism of selection process, lack of transparency; use common sense.				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311079	H		FALSE				FALSE		
52311080	H		TRUE	Menlo Park City Council, member	Menlo Park	San Mateo	TRUE	COI of suburban communities that does cross county lines: Menlo Park, Palo Alto, Atherton, Woodside, Stanford, Portola Valley, Ladera, Los Altos, Los Altos Hills = North Silicon Valley; could also add Mt View, Cupertino along 280 if needed	
52311081	H		FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311079			FALSE	FALSE		
52311080			FALSE	TRUE	280 hwy ties together suburban communities, similar demog, income, tech suburbs of Silicon Valley	
52311081			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311079			FALSE			lines drawn irregardless of race, ethnicity, go back to drawing board, common sense, natural boundary lines based upon geography; CD 11 makes no sense - eg of what not to do;				
52311080			FALSE					TRUE	map with line drawn	05.23.11. X
52311081			FALSE			no group should have special representation; SCOTUS cases don't trump constitution if not rightly decided				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
52311082	H		TRUE	South Bay Labor Council		San Benito	TRUE	Silicon Valley is set of cohesive COIs incl S Clara Co, parts San Mateo County; Barryessa is a COI & should be one AD; West valley (Sunnyvale is heart) - 1 AD, East San Jose - 1 AD Q: what is East San Jose? A: AD 23 boundaries defines it well	
52311083	H		FALSE		San Jose 3 yrs (before Mexico)	Santa Clara	FALSE		
52311102	H		FALSE		San Jose	Santa Clara	TRUE	describes San Jose and Santa Clara Co (north county, west county, east county, south county) Q: how divide S Jose? A: 680/280 boundary; S Clara County is COI	
61611001	H	spoke at LA City Hall	TRUE	Exec Director Academia Advance			TRUE	keep Northeast LA with LA you did this and stick with it	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
52311082			FALSE	FALSE		
52311083			FALSE	FALSE		
52311102			FALSE	FALSE		
61611001			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
52311082			FALSE							
52311083			FALSE			draw blocks like states in US	thanks for coming, not good outreach/don't see neighbors; don't be corrupt (lve seen lots of corruption hear and in mexico)			
52311102			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	05.23.11. Y
61611001		need to focus on VRA now did COI pop contiguity	FALSE			good job did listen to people good first pass adjust and hold fast				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611002	H S		TRUE	represent former mayor City of Monrovia			TRUE	good that didnt split Monrovia in AD dont separate Monrovia from Pasadena in AD	
61611003	H S		TRUE	CA NAACP President National Board member			TRUE	mostly acceptable in AD SD BOE concerns re CD want multicultural districts instead of bicultural district to add more ethnicities Davis district leave Koreatown Waters district add cities on south or west	
61611004	H	environmentalist	FALSE		Manhattan Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	SD LAPVB Palos Verdes up coast then goes to Beverly HillsW Holly one hour drive any time of day rec cut out Beverly Hills and West Hollywood and include Century City Westwood Brentwood make more contiguous ex of general pt	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611002	AD Monrovia Pasadena Altadena Duarte Bradbury dont split SGV cities in CD currently split 6 cities	start with Pasa Alta and go East along I210 minimally include 5 cities listed ideally stop at co border line	FALSE	TRUE	Monrovia Pasadena share health center air support for police dept jail job training program newspaper 4 cities first 4 listed above are African American community of upper SGV Burbank Pasadena Glendale airport COI only applies to CD	
61611003			FALSE	TRUE		
61611004			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611002			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611A
61611003			FALSE			need to have economic viability can have rich and poor districts ex took airport out of Waters district		TRUE	testimony	061611B
61611004			FALSE			make districts rounder see ex above so that easier to get to meetings for district				

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611005	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	re CD ignoring conflicting set of public testimony ie keep LB whole vs split LB	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611005			FALSE	TRUE	advocacy groups serving PI separated	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611005		possibly violations Samoan American and other API communities in Carson Long Beach In CD API in LB separated from Compton Carson etc	FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611006	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	concern re CD s that incl Carson Compton Watts Willowbrook and then those that incl Long Beach Cerritos Bellflower Lakewood Paramount	
61611007	H S		FALSE		Harbor City	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD omitted 3000 people from South Bay district doesnt make sense Put all of 90710 within South Bay CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611006			TRUE	TRUE		
61611007		Harbor Freeway is natural boundary Q boundaries of area that was cut out A N by Sepulveda E by Harbor Fwy W by strip of county not sure if st or abandoned railroad right of way S by Lomita Blvd	FALSE	TRUE	vital diverse community rainbow coalition get along well	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611006		violation by separating AfAm communities of Long Beach from Carson Compton had heard testimony re not splitting similar communities LB espec North LB from Carson Compton WattsWillow brook neighborhoods	FALSE							
61611007			FALSE					TRUE	testimony maps	061611C

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611008	H S		TRUE	Pres Community Coalition in South Los Angeles representing AARC tonight coalition of groups	South Los Angeles Westmont Census Place	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft does alot of what AARC suggest except a few re curr CD 33 35 37 re InglewoodSouthgate District airport Westchest and Marina DR out so transp 105 corridor econ engine of dist remov placed with coastal dist also concern w N LB	
61611009	H S	environmentalist	TRUE	there as individ but Chair of water qual control district for LA and Ventura Co also on Boards of LConservVoters HealthBay			TRUE	AD s that are good Westside Santa Monica and ThousOaksSMmts please nest into SD draft SD LASClaritaVal ignores 70 of contig Westside Santa Monica AD instead capped on far N by Santa Clarita AD S Clar 2 ADs agree this is awkward to be toget	
61611010	H		TRUE	Valley Industry Commerce Association VICA President			TRUE	Thnk CRC for keep SFV communs whole maps r great few tweaks reduce neighborhood splits put small Val areas 3 of dist back in w Val districts will prov options for clean up that dont change devs or affect CVAPof the majmin district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611008			FALSE	TRUE	by pushing district east pits minority groups against each other unnecessarily	
61611009			FALSE	TRUE	2 AD respect COI environmental coastal transportation corridors critical COI for communities in around S Monica Mts National Recreation Area	
61611010		will provide draft maps overlying neighborhood boundaries Q what neighborhood boundaries use A LA neighborhood council boundaries which split official LA communities eg N Holly is commun and split into 3 Neigh Councils	TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611008		pits minority group ag each other and advantage a group not protected by VRA	FALSE					TRUE	memo and maps	061611D
61611009			FALSE					TRUE	testimony proposed AD SD	061611E
61611010			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611011	H		TRUE	CEO of Greater SFV Chamber of Commerce member of SFV Redistricting Coalition			TRUE	happy that respected most SFV communities CD Studio City Sher Oaks cut into West Side Studio City Taluca Lake with East LA Granada Hills cut in half for AD and SD Rosita hub of west valley cut up in CD should have one unified CD	
61611012	H	business consultant	TRUE	formerly pres Inglewood COC currently on LA Co ROV advisory committee	Hawthorne 30 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	concern with CDs not reflecting multicultural coalition call coastal district Ivory District	
61611013	H		TRUE	former mayor of Culver City			FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611011		Want district wholly win SFV or district where majority of voters are SFV residents	FALSE	TRUE		
61611012	Westchester Hawthorne Gardena Inglewood Playa del Ray El Segundo Lawndale		FALSE	TRUE	multicultural coalition in cities listed above collaborate on many projects some with LAX as apex others with Loyola Maramount attribute success to diversity	empowered communities resulted in improvements in employment transportation economic development education
61611013			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611011			FALSE							
61611012			FALSE			look at how assets education employment environment divided up across districts				
61611013			FALSE				problem with website confusing hard to find submitted maps not attached and have to go to groups website to find them Lettering so big that blacks out Culver City on some maps espec true on CD map			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611014	H		TRUE	represents neighbors work on election board 30 yrs	Hollywood area lower hills	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep Hollywood all together want to be with East Holly always been with Los Feliz and now Silver Lake is included in past been with West Holly work well together jobs there now Kodak Hollywood Highland	
61611015	H		FALSE		Rancho Palos Verdes 35 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	appreciate that RPV put back in Beach Cities District however dont go to LAX but distr goes beyond that would like to see places she does businesshas friends Lawndale Hawthorne and Gardena added	
61611016	H S		TRUE	speaking on behalf of Granada Hills COC and Granada Hills North Neighborhood Council	Grenada Hills area of SFV	Los Angeles	TRUE	AD map SE boundary line going up is Balboa Blvd to right is the 5 boundary splits 2 communs of G Hills G Hills neighb council move boundary south to 5 to 405add LA reservoir area 23000 pop to Santa Clarita ADput back toget 2 comm of G Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611014			TRUE	TRUE	not one ethnicity mixed group of people all together	
61611015			FALSE	TRUE		
61611016			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611014			FALSE							
61611015			FALSE							
61611016			FALSE					TRUE	testimony map	061611F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611017	H S		TRUE	HOPE Hispanas Organized for Political Equality Exec Director	Pico UnionKorea town area	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft maps would decrease Latinas political progress and other people of color facing economic disparities Latinas 18 of state pop and growing ex 1Pico Union Latino with affluent Beverly Hills and Pacific Palisades yet share nothing w them	cont 2 Southgate with Inglewood would diminish political progress of Latinas and Afam and pit them against each other rather than creating fair and equal represent for both
61611018	H S	retired missile engineer 38 yrs	FALSE		Torrance	Los Angeles	TRUE	SD LAPVB South Bay with Beverly Hills West Hollywood but those 2 not part of South Bayaerospace industry Carson and Gardena are part of South Bay put them in LAPVB and take out BH WH	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611017			FALSE	TRUE		
61611018		North border of LAPVB SD should be Santa Monica Blvd	FALSE	TRUE	won cold war proud of their work JapaneseAmericans that returned from WWII went to school became engineers in aerospace industry bought homes in Torrance Carson Gardena and always considered selves part of South Bay	South bay is historic center of aerospace industry whose employees live in Carson Gardena Torrance Palos Verdes Beach Cities Santa Monica

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611017		comply with VRA to fairly represent all communs and make sure growing Latino pop is not marginalized nor positioned against other communities	FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611G
61611018	want State Senator that knows and represents south bay that is 100 south bay hard economic times for Aerospace Industr lack of employment need good representation in Sacramento		FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611H

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611019	H		FALSE		Torrance whole life 54 yrs total in TorranceGardena area	Los Angeles	TRUE	AD SD covering Torrance Gardena asked at Long Beach hearing for two cities to be toget 2 respect Japanese community there for over 100 yrs Harbor Gateway NS corrid run EW betw Normandy and Vermont r w Gardena in draft pls keep it that way	cont Gardena friends colleagues support draft maps
61611020	H		FALSE				FALSE		
61611021	H		TRUE	KoreanAmerican Coalition Exec Director part of CAPAFR			TRUE	thank you for keeping Koreatown together as we requested but also want Thai town Chinatown Historic Filipinotown were not taken care of as well concerned that these communities were not kept together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611019	Gardena south of Rosencrantz Torrance greatest JapanAmer pop in South bay		FALSE	TRUE	life continuous across two cities cross borders on daily basis	business owners in one live in other
61611020			FALSE	FALSE		
61611021			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611019			FALSE							
61611020			FALSE				your methodology needs to be more clear why made decision how weighed testimony have openness BUT not transparency			
61611021			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611022	H		TRUE	Exec director Thai Community Development Center			TRUE	AD draft splits Thaitownpls reverse keep Thaitown whole win East Hollywood Biz Improvement District boundaries subm at earlier mtgSD keeps all 5 API ethnic neighborhs whole together for AD try at least 2 API ethnic neighborhs in a district	
61611023	H		FALSE				TRUE	need North LB Carson Compton together do not put North and South LB together more affluent parts of LB historically commandeered majority funding	
61611024	H S		TRUE	SOHA Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association			TRUE	pleased that Sherman Oaks kept whole in AD SD boundary of both distr at Mulholland Drive is right answer concerned that CD draft split Sher Oaks into NS at Ventura Blvd southern 12 of Sherman Oaks separated from SFV COI puts with 17 mile wide dst	Cont for Western SFVCalabasas CD include all of Sherman Oaks move southern boundary from Ventura Blvd to Mulholland Drive Q what take out to balance A will think about and send in email marked 24 to link to testim

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611022		Thaitown is on Hollywood Blvd between Western Normandy draft map uses Holly Blvd as boundary and therefore splits Thaitown into North of Holly Blvd and South of Holly Blvd draft cuts Chinatown into 3 ADs CAPAFR submitted boundaries of Chinatown	TRUE	TRUE		
61611023			FALSE	TRUE	share common problems lack of Ed resources and gang violence	economically challenged
61611024		Sherman Oaks bounded by Mulholland on S 405 fwy on W Oxnard St on N and Coldwater Canyon on E	FALSE	TRUE	SOHA formed in 60s has history several 1000 members collaborate well together on local projects for COI of SFV 500 at community mtgs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611022		follow law which means prioritize VRA	FALSE							
61611023		Section 2 protects this area	FALSE							
61611024	ADSD boundaries in draft allow united voice in state government		FALSE					TRUE	testimony letter alt maps	061611I 061611J

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611025	H		TRUE	Greater West Valley Alliance represent Woodland Hills area	Woodland Hills area	Los Angeles	TRUE	dont use Ventura Blvd as divider tears Woodland Hills in two instead use Mulholland Ridge higher than Mulh Driv our community is val dont dislike commun on other side of mountain like Malibu but they are really a mountain away from us	cont support Conservancy and last speakers idea of using Mulholland Drive rather than Ventura Blvd
61611026	H		TRUE	Woodland Hills Neighborhood Council	Woodland Hills area	Los Angeles	TRUE	West SF Valley boundaries are Mullholland Ridge on South 405 on East	
61611027	H		TRUE	Woodland Hills Homeowners Assoc	Woodland Hills area	Los Angeles	TRUE	agrees with previous speakers keep west SFV cities intact as well as whole west SFV use VICA maps	
61611028	H S		FALSE		Compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD dislikes HTGCC map disenfranchise AfAm community wants San Pedro in it	
61611029	H		FALSE				FALSE		
61611030	H S		FALSE		Culver City 60 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	Culver City should stay in AD w other areas that also surround Inglewood Oil Company fields	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611025			FALSE	TRUE		
61611026			FALSE	TRUE		keep W SFV together to maintain its economic viability
61611027			FALSE	TRUE		
61611028			FALSE	TRUE		
61611029			FALSE	FALSE		
61611030			FALSE	TRUE	COI of bordering oil fields problems that creates	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611025			FALSE							
61611026			FALSE							
61611027			FALSE							
61611028			FALSE					TRUE	testimony on form from outside group	061611L
61611029			FALSE			wants hwys on map to get idea where they are compactness measure for each map				
61611030	need to be together to deal with oil fields		FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611M

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611031	H		TRUE	Americans for Democratic Action Board member	Culver City	Los Angeles	TRUE	resolved that CRC should comply with VRA concern with CD IGWSG east to Bell which has no commonalities and sets up undesirable black brown clash CD West LA to Downtown take out east area put in Santa Monica Venice maybe beach cities south	
61611032	H		FALSE				TRUE	her neighborhood split when draw lines break communities up	
61611033	H S		TRUE	Beachwood Canyon Neighborhood Assoc BCNA			TRUE	Santa Monica Mountains have a COI eg aircraft and helicopter problems dont separate east from west in CD East Downtown LA nothing in common w us should be with East LA CD Los Feliz w west LA CD	
61611034	H S		TRUE	President Civic Association part of Coalition of 3 neighborhoods east of Hollywood freeway founder vice president of BCNA see speaker 33			TRUE	all want be in SM mt CD propose pop exchange see handout Los Feliz Griffith Park w Westside their CD Hollywood in Westside CD to Downtown CD unites Hollywood E part of Downt LA goes E LA CD so Silverlake area E Holly fwy Chinatown intact	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611031			FALSE	TRUE		
61611032			TRUE	TRUE		
61611033			FALSE	TRUE	homogenous area common geography eg aircraft problems and political issues	
61611034			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611031			FALSE							
61611032			FALSE							
61611033			FALSE					TRUE	map of suggested CDs map showing pop exchange	061611N
61611034			FALSE					TRUE	map of suggested CDs map showing pop exchange	061611O

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611035	H S		FALSE		Huntington Park	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD keep southeast together Walnut Park Southgate Huntington Park Maywood Bell Cudahy Vernon sometimes Bell Gardens Latino COI in same school districts lots shared associations/agencies one big family football rivalries all poor	
61611036	H		TRUE	Long Beach Democratic Club	North Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	ADSD North LB w Carson Compton not w Belmont Shore Naples College Sq neighbor backyard is Compton College go into Compton/Carson districts everything west of LA River Q southern boundary A Del Amo at least move 11K triangle W of river	
61611037	H S		FALSE		North Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	ADSD same prev N LB w Compton Carson Paramount not w Belmont Shore Bixby greater LB Draft distr split COIs at least move 11K triangle W of river	
61611038	H		FALSE				TRUE	echo previous 2 speakers for AD SD none of Paramount w LB or voice lost instead w N Compton N Carson many socioeconomic problems Q swap Lynwood for Paramount A Sure	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611035			FALSE	TRUE		
61611036			TRUE	TRUE		
61611037			FALSE	TRUE		Econ issues the same among the areas and go to college in that area CSU Dominguez Hills Compton College vs in Long Beach
61611038			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611035			FALSE					TRUE	testimony w old map	061611P
61611036			FALSE							
61611037			FALSE					TRUE	suggested AD SD map map of city council district with 2010 ethnicity breakdown	061611Q 061611R
61611038			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611039	H		FALSE		North Long Beach 16 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	repeats college patterns that make community also Afam Latino API working families everything west of LA River in CarsonCompton distr unites historic neighborhoods	
61611040	H		FALSE		North Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	AD SD also N LB with CarsonCompton N LB nothing in common w areas to east or even downtown LB over 50 Latino 20 AfAm much more like Carson Compton	
61611041	H	pastor	TRUE	PTA	North Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	youth in N LB identify w Carson Compton not in Signal Hill Belmont Hills	
61611042	H S		TRUE	Latino Coalition Pico Union Neighborhood Council			TRUE	propose AD48 SD26draw majority black Latino Asian districts comply w VRA Latino Coalition sensitive to needs of AfrAm and AsAm notes in particular Korean Q CD A havent had a chance will submit in 72 hrs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611039			TRUE	TRUE		
61611040			FALSE	TRUE		
61611041			FALSE	TRUE		
61611042		In letter describes 4 COI w streets	FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611039			FALSE							
61611040			FALSE							
61611041			FALSE							
61611042			FALSE					TRUE	Press Release re Press Conf on 615 letter to commission map proposed AD 48 map proposed SD 26	061611U 061611T 061611V 061611W in same order as descriptio n

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611043	H S		TRUE	NW San Pedro Neighborhood Council Board member	San Pedro Neighborhood	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft breaks San Pedro into 3 AD s LAPVBLAIHGLAWBC but all of San Pedro wants to be together so propose put all of SP in LAIHGw western side of Port of LA and Harbor CityHarbor Gateway neighborhood councils these all part of LA City	
61611044	H S		FALSE		Playa del Rey 17 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	ok w CD SD incl Playa del Rey PDR not ok w AD PDR is too small part of it playa vista and portion wetlands separated from PDR strong affinities w beach cities south El Segundo Redondo B Torrance Move north bound N like w current AD 53	
61611045	H S		FALSE		Westchester	Los Angeles	TRUE	like prev pleased w CDSD Westchester needs to be w rest of west LA City area Playa Vista Marina del Rey my COI where I do everything local paper serves these areas	
61611046	H		FALSE		South LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	For Watts CD Figueroa corridor needs to be included stretching CD from Inglewood to Southgate is not good nothing in common go west w this one	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611043	geographic comment cont add Lawndale Del Rey to LAPVB South Bay aerospace community West Carson into LAWBC to reunite it wCarson		TRUE	TRUE		
61611044			FALSE	TRUE		
61611045			FALSE	TRUE		
61611046			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611043			FALSE					TRUE	pop of San Pedro in 3 draft AD s and what to swap w	061611S
61611044			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611AV
61611045			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611A W
61611046			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611047	H		FALSE				TRUE	good that AfrAm not packed in 50 distrs dont disassemble existing COI affected by pollution jobs etc esp LAX revisit shape Put Inglewood district back closer to original form and take in more of Port put North LB w Carson Commerce	
61611048	H		TRUE	AARC consultant for	downtown LA 5 yrs till recently	Los Angeles	TRUE	dont want unnecessary clashes instead of traditional majority districts VRA issue Inglewood district goes too far east coastal district also needs to be changed LAX areas can be swapped w Southgate	
61611049	H	former	TRUE	former community leader neighborhood council	south LA for 48 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	worked w airport on noise reduction taking LA port out of district bad as a major employer for AfAm pits brown black ag eachQwhat r LAX areas AWestchester El Segundo Qexpl ethnic conflictAnot good Inglewood Southgate in the same distr	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611047			FALSE	TRUE		
61611048			FALSE	TRUE		
61611049			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611047			FALSE							
61611048			FALSE							
61611049			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611050	H	speaks on behalf of CD 35	FALSE				TRUE	CD draft took assets of a community away from it Westchester El Segundo Loyola Marymount Otis Parsons other Us Pepperdine to a different district railroad down Crenshaw to LAX need LAX incl in area	
61611051	H S		FALSE		Santa Clarita Valley 16 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	please be aware another speaker from Santa Clarita Valley pretends to not be partisan but is heavily involved w Republicans and is proposing safe seats for him and other Republicans	
61611052	H		TRUE	NALEO			TRUE	90 of growth in CA was in Latino pop but districts do not reflect that need to draw 50 CVAP districts not cut down on of districts from last time instead reflect Latino pop growth increase ability to become electedLatino political progress	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611050			FALSE	TRUE		
61611051			FALSE	TRUE		
61611052			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611050			FALSE							
61611051			FALSE					TRUE	testimony documentation about another speaker being partisan	061611X
61611052			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611053	H		TRUE	MALDEF			TRUE	drafts not compl VRACRC AD 13 Latino opportun districts vs MALDEF 18 SD draft elim 2 of 7 oport distrs dismantl Sec 2 distr of Santa Ana Anaheim CRC method is preserve coast and Long Beach city overly foc on COI concentr likemind packs distrs	geog comment cont CD CRC 6 opp dist one married w large Afam vs MALDEF 10 opp distr
61611054	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	LBPRRT CD on does part of it keep Carson Compton w LB but add LB Port because COI based on pollution along Alameda corridor port ships fuel types worked so hard to form alliances to work on these issues	
61611055	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	Compton Carson in w Ports of LA LB traffic pollution affects all of us on 710 corridor need one rep to deal w this	
61611056	H S		TRUE	Save LA River Open Space	Studio City	Los Angeles	TRUE	Studio City is small and unicorp so please keep it intact also should not be w Santa Clarita Lake Castaic Problem w LASCV SD ADs around SM mts to fix nest the 2 ADs Thou OaksSM Mt West SideSanta Monica	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611053			FALSE	TRUE		
61611054			FALSE	TRUE		
61611055			FALSE	TRUE		
61611056			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611053			FALSE							
61611054			FALSE							
61611055			FALSE							
61611056			FALSE					TRUE	memo from org w maps	061611Y

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611058	H		TRUE	Committee of Young Professional Recent Graduates	Torrance	Los Angeles	TRUE	respect VRA espec for S LA likes her districts for Torrance	
61611059	H S		TRUE	Mayor of Carson	Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	multiethnic city council want CRC to consider holistic multiethnic approach to districts Carson Wilmington area in particular School districts really imp and great tool for drawing that people will not criticize	
61611060	H		TRUE	Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council Latino group etc	San Pedro heart of Port of LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft separates SP from harbor in all three maps so SP has no voice Take out B Hills W Hollywood etc bring in Hawthorne Lynwood Gardena WillmingtonSP is tied to harbor economic harbor is our bread and butter 90 of biz in SP relate 2 harbor	
61611061	H S		FALSE		East LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	East LA should not be lumped w Hollywood Hills Silver Lake Los Feliz East LA Lincoln Heights etc should be with other eastside communities east of LA River	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611058			FALSE	TRUE		
61611059			FALSE	TRUE		
61611060			FALSE	TRUE		
61611061			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611058			FALSE							
61611059			FALSE					TRUE	resolution of city council of Carson adopted same day June 16 2011	061611Z
61611060			FALSE							
61611061			FALSE					TRUE	petition w printed list of signatories	061611AA

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611062	H		FALSE		East LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	same as previous speaker East LA should not be lumped w Hollywood Hills Silver Lake Los Feliz breaking up Latino vote	
61611063	H S		FALSE		Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	210 corridor cities have to stay together	
61611064	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Pasadena Altadena have to be together Altadena is bedroom community of Pasadena Pasadena has all the services no to foothill dist	
61611065	H		FALSE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	Pasadena Altadena have to be together w draft have to leave district to get through district dont draw racialethnic districts	
61611066	H		FALSE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	Pasadena needs to stay together as is heart of the whole area unite all the 210 corridor cities use 14 corridor for mt district and Acton aqua dolce go in the mt district	
61611067	H		FALSE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	Do not put Alhambra with Pasadena 210134 hwys are good dividers do not draw Asian district	
61611068	H		FALSE				TRUE	re CD called SFVWC Unite Reseda and put back in this district 405 should be boundary	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611062			FALSE	TRUE		
61611063			FALSE	TRUE		
61611064			FALSE	TRUE		
61611065			FALSE	TRUE		
61611066			FALSE	TRUE		
61611067			FALSE	TRUE		
61611068			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611062			FALSE							
61611063			FALSE					TRUE	proposed grouping for 2 AD nested into SD and CD	061611AB
61611064			FALSE					TRUE	same proposal as 63 w maps of 2 AD and CD	061611AC
61611065			FALSE							
61611066			FALSE							
61611067			FALSE							
61611068			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611074	H S		FALSE		Pacific Palisades	Los Angeles	TRUE	nest Thousand Oaks AD and LASCV AD to make LASCV SD	
61611075	H	foster care rep	FALSE		South LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	Do not divide South Bay cities and south LA as that will dilute resources	
61611076	H		TRUE	Lawndale City Council	Lawndale	Los Angeles	TRUE	In CD Lawndale w LAX South Bay Workforce Investment Board Westchester w Gardena Hawthorne	
61611077	H		FALSE		Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	make CD of Inglewood Hawthorne Gardena El Segundo and it will circle Inglewood Has not been in Southgate wince 1969	
61611078	H		FALSE		Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	Inglewood works w other South Bay cities but not with this particular configuration	
61611079	H		FALSE		Watts	Los Angeles	TRUE	Does not like the CD which pushed its boundaries east eastern border should be Alameda corridor	
61611080	H		FALSE		Jefferson Park area	Los Angeles	TRUE	use AARC proposed district	
61611081	H		FALSE				TRUE	does not like SD or CD w San Marino and South Gate which have nothing to do w each other South Gate should be w 710 corridor	
61611082	H		TRUE	South Bay Workforce Investment Board			TRUE	Inglewood w Lawndale Hawthorne	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611074			FALSE	TRUE		
61611075			FALSE	TRUE		
61611076			FALSE	TRUE		
61611077			FALSE	TRUE		
61611078			FALSE	TRUE		
61611079			FALSE	TRUE		
61611080			FALSE	TRUE		
61611081			FALSE	TRUE		
61611082			FALSE	TRUE	Inglewood is one stop center for transportation education public service	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611074			FALSE					TRUE	testimony maps	061611AD
61611075			FALSE							
61611076			FALSE							
61611077			FALSE							
61611078			FALSE							
61611079			FALSE							
61611080			FALSE							
61611081			FALSE							
61611082			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611083	H S		FALSE		Rolling Hills Estates	Los Angeles	TRUE	thnx for Palos Verdes Peninsula cities w beach cities to North CD w Torrance Hermosa Beach is good but disappointed that Lawndale and Hawthorne are taken out of the district were in June 2 version and replaced w Venice Santa Monica	geogr comment cont Lawndale is heart of South Bay but have nothing in common w Santa Monica or Venice Swap out SM Venice w Lawndale Hawth and then add the 12K in the Harbor Gateway south of 405 and all of Gardena
61611084	H		FALSE				TRUE	Palos Verdes Peninsula Palos Verdes Estates Rancho Palos Verdes should be together COI based on emergency services planning also add Gardena and Lawndale	
61611085	H		FALSE				TRUE	Venice Santa Monica do not want to be w Palos Verdes and vise versa	
61611086	H		FALSE		Gardena	Los Angeles	TRUE	COI boundaries are west of Harbor freeway and North of 405	
61611087	H		FALSE		Signal Hill	Los Angeles	TRUE	Cambodian community formerly from LB now Signal Hill LB Carson Compton COI formed around disasters in SE Asia w fundraising cultural events keep cultural ethnic COIs together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611083			FALSE	TRUE		
61611084			FALSE	TRUE		
61611085			FALSE	TRUE		
61611086			FALSE	TRUE		
61611087			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611083			FALSE					TRUE	testimony proposal submitted May 22 how amended proposal wrt June 10 draft including pop stats	061611AE
61611084			FALSE							
61611085			FALSE							
61611086			FALSE							
61611087			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611088	H		FALSE				TRUE	Cambodian economic cultural COI many seniors Compton Carson w LB Signal Hill	
61611089	H	Cambodian genocide survivor from 1975	FALSE		Signal Hill	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep Cambodian community together LB w Signal Hill	
61611091	H		FALSE		Pico Union	Los Angeles	TRUE	WLADT district has nothing in common from W to E drafts do not do justice to Latino community	
61611094	H S		TRUE	City of Malibu city council member	Malibu	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep current Malibu district dont like draft	
61611095	H S		TRUE	City of Malibu mayor protem	Malibu	Los Angeles	TRUE	Granada HillsMountainPacific Palisades SD does not work	
61611096	H		FALSE				TRUE	El Segundo Westchester are excluded from CD that needs to be fixed	
61611097	H S		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	put Carson Compton and LB together an educational COI not fixing will disenfranchise	
61611098	H		FALSE		Westchester	Los Angeles	TRUE	re CD 35 36 LAX is largest employer so dividing COI if LAX not with Westchester Westchester is not a beach cities community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611088			FALSE	TRUE		
61611089			FALSE	TRUE		
61611091			FALSE	TRUE		
61611094			FALSE	TRUE		
61611095			FALSE	TRUE		
61611096			FALSE	TRUE		
61611097			FALSE	TRUE		
61611098			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611088			FALSE							
61611089			FALSE							
61611091			FALSE							
61611094			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611AF
61611095			FALSE					TRUE	testimony letter from Las Virgenes Homeowners Federation w maps	061611AH 061611A G
61611096			FALSE							
61611097			FALSE					TRUE	letter talking pts w appeal from Congressman to write read letter at hearing	061611AI
61611098			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611099	H S		FALSE		West SF Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD draft splits 8 SFV Neighborh North Hills N Hollywood Northridge Reseda Sherman Oaks Studio City Valley Glenn and Van Nuys suggested revisions reduces to 4 splits Studio City North Hills Lake Balboa Lake View Terrace 3 for VRA	
61611100	H S		FALSE				TRUE	proposes way to fix CD s around Westside	
61611102	H		FALSE				TRUE	traditional Jewish communities in are greater Westwood area including Pico Robertson Beverly Hills Fairfax Belair west of 405 is different from east of 405	
61611103	H		TRUE	City of Inglewood Mayor	Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	Inglewood should be w Westchester Hawthorne Gardena Lawndale LAX is the South Bay economic engine also traffic and environmental issues	
61611104	H		FALSE				TRUE	South Bay cities have nothing in common w South Gate This sends a bad signal to black community to put them together	
61611105	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	Put together Carson Compton LB and LB Port	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611099			FALSE	TRUE		
61611100			FALSE	TRUE		
61611102			FALSE	TRUE		
61611103			FALSE	TRUE		
61611104			FALSE	TRUE		
61611105			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611099			FALSE					TRUE	draft CD and suggested revision	061611AK
61611100			FALSE					TRUE	suggested corrections to CD around Westside	061611AL
61611102			FALSE							
61611103			FALSE							
61611104			FALSE							
61611105			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611106	H		FALSE				TRUE	LB should be w Carson Compton Gardena Lawndale should not be with Compton	
61711001	H		TRUE	Alhambra City Council member San Gabriel Council of Govts		Los Angeles	TRUE	likes AD SD CD but please look at if can split less cities its hard on cities to have split representation at state and federal level	
61711002	H		FALSE				TRUE	like SD cause keeps together Pico Union Echo Park etc but not together in CD please use Latino Coalition for that	
61711003	H		TRUE	citizens for San Gabriel Mountains		Los Angeles	TRUE	CD is good unites mts with many of people who use it but notice that mts cross county line also users are in S Bern Co please know that dont need it in AD SD cause federal lands	
61711004	H		TRUE	also Citizens of San Gabriel Mts		Los Angeles	TRUE	please recognize S Bern Co users of mts put Rancho Cucamonga in SG Mt CDprovide map showing how this is easy	
61711005	H		FALSE		Westlake community in City of LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	dont feel represented well in CD for LA center MALDEF has map to help pls dont put us with Beverly Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611106			FALSE	TRUE		
61711001	Q which A off top of head Monrovia Duarte		FALSE	TRUE		
61711002			FALSE	TRUE		
61711003			FALSE	TRUE		
61711004			FALSE	TRUE		
61711005			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611106			FALSE							
61711001			FALSE							
61711002			FALSE							
61711003			FALSE							
61711004			FALSE							
61711005			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711006	H		TRUE	Latino Coalition of Los Angeles a collaborative		Los Angeles	TRUE	SD good grouping Pico Union Westlake etc please consider map submitted last nite for AD asked for CD last nite his did maps all night likes current districts	
61711007	H		TRUE	CHURLA work w low inc in Pico Union area		Los Angeles	TRUE	re look at maps and prioritize VRA Latino not well represented in AD SD and even lose a Latino CD doesnt like district that goes to Pacific Palisades also AD that goes from Pico Union really far	
61711008	H		FALSE		Highland Park near San Gabriel Mts	Los Angeles	TRUE	thank you for SG Mt district extend to east San Bernardino Co rancho cucamonga also extend north	
61711009	H		FALSE		South Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	South Pasadena put with low income area in SE LA against racebased districts group with Pasadena etc similar communities	
61711010	H	avid hiker	FALSE		Downey SG mountains	Los Angeles	TRUE	concern w Ontario CD dont live there but visit it frequently	
61711011	H	armenian family	FALSE		Sierra Madre	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep together cities below Draft maps seem to recognize various ethnic groups too heavily	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711006			FALSE	TRUE		
61711007			FALSE	TRUE	impt political issues like immigration reform people in district on opposite sides	
61711008			FALSE	TRUE		
61711009			FALSE	TRUE		
61711010			FALSE	TRUE		
61711011	Sierra Madre Monrovia Duarte Arcadia Bradberry		FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711006			FALSE							
61711007		prioritize section 2	FALSE							
61711008			FALSE							
61711009			FALSE							
61711010			FALSE							
61711011			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711012	H		FALSE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	SG mt AD SD CD not covering her COI she has maps Pasadena as hub and includes surrounding cities she doesnt know anything about the cities along the forest	
61711013	H S		FALSE		Arcadia	Los Angeles	TRUE	whole in SG mt district between Sierre Madre and Monrovia need to be with other foothill cities	
61711014	H		FALSE		Arcadia	Los Angeles	TRUE	SG foothill towns arent a COI more commonalities with cities closer to him	
61711015	H		TRUE	Duarte Mayor Pro Temp	Duarte	Los Angeles	TRUE	commend for draft however split cities which is burden for cities to serve its residents especially split in Duarte	
61711016	H S	mom nurse	FALSE		Pasadena 37 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	has map for AD SD CD keep cities below together goes into what cities share schools church girl scouts sports leagues library system and all together ethnically diverse	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711012	Altadena Pasadena as hub		FALSE	FALSE		
61711013			FALSE	FALSE		
61711014	Arcadia Altadena Pasadena Monrovia Sierra Madre		FALSE	FALSE		
61711015	Monrovia Duarte etc		FALSE	FALSE		
61711016	Pasadena La Canada Sierra Madre Altadena South Pasadena Arcadia		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711012			FALSE							
61711013			FALSE					TRUE	petition w maps	
61711014			FALSE							
61711015			FALSE							
61711016			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711017	H S		FALSE		Pasadena 37 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	history of Pasa and S Pasa and greater Pasadena area how grew railways came thru center to meet their need cities below are COI and should be kept together Share School District churches provide alternative showing this	
61711018	H		FALSE		San Marino 14000 pop small bedroom community near Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	go to Pasadena Altadena to needs like market share school districts college districts human society San Marino Pasadena are joined at hip must be same district Hacienda Heights Diamond Bar have nothing in common with us	
61711019	H S		FALSE		grew up in Playa Del Rey now in Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD draft does not reflect her COI described below maps favor uninhabited national forest cities below share boy scouts fire police library college districts shopping centers Altadena is mostly AfAm but all one community	
61711020	H		FALSE		Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	good job in some of areas but this is opp for Pasadena San Marino S Pasadena and some other community to be brought together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711017	Pasadena S Pasadena Altadena Sierra Madre La CanadaFlintridge Arcadia are COI also mentions Monrovia San Marino Glendale in history		FALSE	FALSE		
61711018	San Marino Pasadena Glendale La CanadaFlintridge etc		FALSE	FALSE		
61711019	Pasadena is hub with spokes Altadena Sierra Madre La Canada San Marino S Pasadena		FALSE	FALSE		
61711020			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711017			FALSE					TRUE		
61711018			FALSE							
61711019			FALSE					TRUE		
61711020			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711021	H S		FALSE		Boyle Heights	Los Angeles	TRUE	low inc community of 150 residents but split in AD draft active neighborhood council voice is only resource COI of common issues challenges security education	
61711022	H S		TRUE	SG Valley Economic Partnership			TRUE	CD generally good but pls connect foothill towns by move south bound of foothill district to 210 fwy move south boundary of Covina district to 10 fwy AD good cause 3 SGV AD s but keep cities win one distr if can SGV COG said similar thing	cont TY for nesting but each SD mostly outside Val so nest on EastWest corridor to make at least one SD w majority of pop in Val
61711023	H		FALSE		San Marino	Los Angeles	TRUE	Pasadena must be w San Marino San Marino is BR community that depends on Pasadena for everything shop schools restaurants etc San Marino w places like Monterey Park that have nothing in common w you ignored COI	
61711024	H S		FALSE		Whittier	Los Angeles	TRUE	Good that Whittier is whole should not be in district w Montebello and Bellflower this are a totally different COI and will dominateundermine Whittier voice	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711021			FALSE	FALSE		
61711022			FALSE	FALSE		
61711023			FALSE	FALSE		
61711024			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711021			FALSE					TRUE		
61711022			FALSE					TRUE		
61711023			FALSE							
61711024			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711025	H S		TRUE	Whittier City Council	Whittier	Los Angeles	TRUE	Good that Whittier is whole AD is good w La Habra LH Heights etc but should not be nested with northern AD for SD instead go east for SD CD is not ok COI that is in AD is not in CD Whittier should be w La Habra La Habra Heights etc	
61711026	H S		FALSE				TRUE	rather than draft SG mt SD wants nesting of 2 draft SG mt AD s submits maps	
61711027	H S		FALSE		AltadenaPasadena area	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft for S Pasaden does not incl water distr or school dist hard for state legislator Altadena w Upland Santa Clarita is not a COI Altadena voice is diluted	
61711028	H		FALSE		San Marino	Los Angeles	TRUE	COI is w Pasadena where everything is for San Marino have nothing to do w Diamond Bar	
61711029	H S		FALSE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	propose divide SG mt BY Angeles Crest highway instead of joining along it segment by putting w adjacent communities	
61711030	H	public school teacher	FALSE		Carson	Los Angeles	TRUE	west Carson should be w Carson in same AD keep API communities together in AD SD put small pieces of west LB in w Gardena Wilmington	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711025			FALSE	FALSE		
61711026			FALSE	FALSE		
61711027			FALSE	FALSE		
61711028			FALSE	FALSE		
61711029			FALSE	FALSE		
61711030			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711025			FALSE					TRUE		
61711026			FALSE					TRUE		
61711027			FALSE					TRUE		
61711028			FALSE							
61711029			FALSE					TRUE	map showing CRC proposal and citizen proposal for SG mt CD	
61711030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711031	H S		TRUE	Diamond Bar City Council member	Diamond Bar	Los Angeles	TRUE	likes AD SD w Diamond Bar does not like draft CD East SGVDiamond Bar cities that have nothing in common will be in conflict works w four corners areas use that for CD	
61711032	H		FALSE				TRUE	Carson should be w Wilmington San Pedro which is in 3 different AD s Carson should not be w Compton and South LA for CD Carson should not be w LB	
61711033	H		FALSE				TRUE	Keep southeast cities together in all districts Maywood South Gate Cudahy Vernon Bell Gardens Bell Huntington Park	
61711034	H		TRUE	former member Yorba Linda City Council	Yorba Linda	Orange	TRUE	Orange and Tustin should be w Yorba Linda in one AD	
61711035	H		FALSE		Diamond Bar	Los Angeles	TRUE	Good job but concern w SD in 4 corner area suburban housing school districts are COI	
61711036	H S		FALSE		San Marino	Los Angeles	TRUE	San Marino should not be in CD w Diamond Bar draw CD on COI as in submitted map Burbank to Arcadia and incl Monrovia	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711031			FALSE	FALSE		
61711032			FALSE	FALSE		
61711033			FALSE	FALSE		
61711034			FALSE	FALSE		
61711035			FALSE	FALSE		
61711036			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711031			FALSE					TRUE		
61711032			FALSE							
61711033			FALSE							
61711034			FALSE							
61711035			FALSE							
61711036			FALSE					TRUE	map for proposed CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711037	H S		FALSE		Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD keep Pasadena whole w Altadena which is a COI AD s keep S Pasadena whole w Pasadena Altadena Pasadena Altadena tightly intergrated schools shopping etc draft AD dilutes AfrAmer voice of area	
61711038	H S		TRUE	City of South Gate mayor	South Gate	Los Angeles	TRUE	re SD put South Gate w Arcadia San Marino Alhambra which is not good because Downey Lynwood Paramount LA USD are school districts for their kids instead break at Alameda Corridor and incl S Bell Bell Gardens Cudahy w South Gate	
61711039	H S		TRUE	City of South Pasadena council member	South Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep South Pasadena whole prefer to be put in North w Pasa San Marino Alhambra	
61711040	H		FALSE		Norwalk	Los Angeles	TRUE	dont put downtown LA in Pico Rivera AD	
61711041	H		FALSE		South El Monte	Los Angeles	TRUE	in CD AD drafts linked to cities that have no COI for CD El Monte S El Monte West Covina La Puente for AD do not take out of SGV	
61711042	H S		FALSE		South Whittier	Los Angeles	TRUE	put LA county cities together and not w OC cities	
61711043	H		FALSE		Cerritos 40 yr	Los Angeles	TRUE	in SD Cerritos Artesia should be w other LA Co cities not in OC	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711037			FALSE	FALSE		
61711038		railroad tracks divide LA south cities from other end	FALSE	FALSE		
61711039			FALSE	FALSE		
61711040			FALSE	FALSE		
61711041			FALSE	FALSE		
61711042			FALSE	FALSE		
61711043			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711037			FALSE					TRUE	petition signed by 73	
61711038			FALSE					TRUE		
61711039			FALSE					TRUE	testimony maps	
61711040			FALSE							
61711041			FALSE							
61711042			FALSE					TRUE		
61711043			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711044	H		TRUE	La Habra Heights City Council member	La Habra Heights	Los Angeles	TRUE	small BR community should be w Whittier Le Habra our interests run East not to SGV AD is ok except S El Monte Montebello SD not ok because w SGV better to put w Diamond Bar Yorba Linda for CD prefer being w Whittier or Le Habra	
61711045	H S		FALSE		Gardena 60 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	2 AD s elongated each one not COI Inglewood w Harbor City Willowbrook Lynwood on N w Wilmington San Pedro on S solution see map is divide each and make N AD and S AD would be very racially integrated	
61711046	H		TRUE	Sierra Club	SF Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	use MALDEF maps as starting pts in So CAL	
61711047	H S		TRUE	Chinese American Citizens Alliance CAPAFR SG Valley	Monterey Park 36 yrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	SGV AD is good lots of cities together that wanted but slight adjust to put CVAP over 50 for Sec 2 AD for AD SD incl Hacienda Hts Rowland Hts Diamond Bar Walnut for SD join east SGV west SGV like in CD	
61711048	H		FALSE		Downey	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft CD is not good keep Downey whole and w Paramount Bell Flower Norwalk	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711044			FALSE	FALSE		
61711045			FALSE	FALSE		
61711046			FALSE	FALSE		
61711047			FALSE	FALSE		
61711048			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711044			FALSE							
61711045			FALSE					TRUE		
61711046			FALSE							
61711047			FALSE					TRUE		
61711048			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711049	H S		TRUE	Glendale City Council member	Glendale	Los Angeles	TRUE	SD CD are good but put Pasadena and Altadena in AD	
61711050	H		FALSE		La Crescenta	Los Angeles	TRUE	good that CD keeps unincorporated LaCr w incorporated LaCr but AD splits it would like to see it together like in CD like being w La Canada agree that mountain district should be vertical chunks not long EW district	
61711051	H		TRUE	Crescenta Valley Town Council member unincorporated areas of La CrescentaMontrose	La Crescenta	Los Angeles	TRUE	like that unincorp LaCrMont kept together in drafts please also keep La Canada w unincorp La CrescentaMontrose share emergency response resources	
61711052	H		FALSE		Bell Gardens	Los Angeles	TRUE	does not like draft CD that splits up southeast cities keep southeast cities together including Cudahy Bell Bell Gardens Lynwood Maywood Commerce cities have good partnership working w eachother 710 fwy makes a transportation COI	
61711053	H		TRUE	Downey City Council member	Downey	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft CD splits Downey put Artesia into district and keep Downey whole	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711049			FALSE	FALSE		
61711050			FALSE	FALSE		
61711051			FALSE	FALSE		
61711052			FALSE	FALSE		
61711053			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711049			FALSE					TRUE		
61711050			FALSE							
61711051			FALSE							
61711052			FALSE							
61711053			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711054	H		TRUE	APALC			TRUE	Like draft CD for Diamond Bar to Arcadia unified voice for AsianAmericans immigrant COI and same media markets	
61711055	H S		TRUE	Chinese American Citizens Alliance			TRUE	AD is good CD like cause joins east SGV west SGV for SD see map take CD and add Chino Hills Brea Fullerton	
61711056	H S		FALSE		Monterey Park	Los Angeles	TRUE	support ChineseAmerican alliance SD that joins east west SGV doesnt like SD draft and details why	
61711057	H		FALSE				TRUE	drafts violate VRA Sec2 particular in LA So CA supports ChineseAmeric Citizens Alliance alternative maps their 29 SD works	
61711058	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD w La Palma Artesia Cypress Cerritos would like more of Buena Park Lakewood in that AD if can maybe cannot bec of Sec 2 nest that AD w AD Buena Park Fullerton Artesia so have SD running along hwy 91 CD is improve follows travel pattern	
61711059	H		FALSE		northeast LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	good to have northeast LA COI lang minority together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711054			FALSE	FALSE		
61711055			FALSE	FALSE		
61711056			FALSE	FALSE		
61711057			FALSE	FALSE		
61711058			FALSE	FALSE		
61711059			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711054			FALSE							
61711055			FALSE					TRUE		
61711056			FALSE					TRUE		
61711057			FALSE							
61711058			FALSE							
61711059			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711061	H		TRUE	City of Commerce Mayor	Commerce	Los Angeles	TRUE	Commerce should be w southeast cities	
61711062	H		FALSE				TRUE	split cities in mts are a problem	
61711063	H		FALSE		Pico Union neighborhood of LA City	Los Angeles	TRUE	COIs in area based on SES which are disenfranchised by draft do not put in district w Beverly Hills and Pacific Palisades Draw opportunity districts for Latinos	
61711064	H		FALSE				TRUE	Put all of Thai Town and Chinatown into Downtown AD Cerritos west OC district is good	
61711065	H		FALSE				TRUE	Do not split Pasadena in CD for AD put Pasadena w South Pasadena Altadena East Pasadena Sierra Madre	
61711066	H S		TRUE	Pasadena City Council member	Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	Keep Pasadena together move southern portion in SGV foothills move Upland from SGV into foothill move portion of Chino Hills to foothill district	
61711067	H		FALSE		Pico Union	Los Angeles	TRUE	re Pico Union SD do not split Boyle Heights supports Latino Coalition proposal which respects COI of same SES same public transportation statefederal resource needs	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711061			FALSE	FALSE		
61711062			FALSE	FALSE		
61711063			FALSE	FALSE		
61711064			FALSE	FALSE		
61711065			FALSE	FALSE		
61711066			FALSE	FALSE		
61711067			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711061			FALSE							
61711062			FALSE							
61711063			FALSE							
61711064			FALSE							
61711065			FALSE							
61711066			FALSE					TRUE		
61711067			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711068	H		TRUE	Latino Coalition			TRUE	draft SD ok for CD use Latino Coalition proposal for AD look at Coalition proposal need to put COIs educational LAUSD San Luis FD same work entertainment in same AD	
61711069	H		FALSE				TRUE	Hancock Park w wrong areas physical barriers and gated communties	
61711070	H		FALSE		Pico Union	Los Angeles	TRUE	SD almost ok ok if put all of Boyle Heights in it see Latino Coalition map for AD and for boundaries	
61711071	H S		FALSE				TRUE	supports immigrant community maps maps should reflect 90 Latino growth look also at Chinese Amer Citz Alliance map cerritos Artesia should not be w OC W LB and E LB should be separated	
61711072	H		FALSE		San Pedro city of LAPort of LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	good to separ San Pedro LBLB Portbut concern that AD SD CD use 110 Harbor fwy as border split LA City communs SP Wilmington Harbor City Harbor Gateway Harbor Gateway N put togeth w Carson to expand go W to Palos Verdes along waterline	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711068			FALSE	FALSE		
61711069			FALSE	FALSE		
61711070			FALSE	FALSE		
61711071			FALSE	FALSE		
61711072			FALSE	TRUE	COI of communities w same city council rep water district etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711068			FALSE							
61711069			FALSE							
61711070			FALSE							
61711071			FALSE					TRUE		
61711072			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711073	H		FALSE		Cerritos	Los Angeles	TRUE	AD is good but CD split Cerritor please do not for SD put Cerritos w Buena Park not Orange	
61711074	H S		FALSE				TRUE	draft AD East LA and Boyle Heights should not be w Los Feliz Atwater geographically separated culturaleconomically different look at pushing SE boundary westward	
61711076	H		FALSE		Cerritos	Los Angeles	TRUE	Cerritos should be w Buena Park La Palma Fullerton Brea in AD AsianAmerican area CAPAFR maps are good	
61711078	H		FALSE		Pasadena SGV lifetime resident	Los Angeles	TRUE	do not split Pasadena from Altadena	
61711079	H S		TRUE	Former City Council member	South Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	in CD South Pasadena should be with Pasadena common history etc	
61711082	H S		TRUE	LA City Council Member Chief of Staff	Los Angeles	Los Angeles	TRUE	oppose CD draft boundaries separates pico union westlake COI of immigrants low income challenges like inadeq pub transpaffordable housing share also w areas to east dont share interests w affluent communities palisades rodeo drive	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711073			FALSE	FALSE		
61711074			FALSE	FALSE		
61711076			FALSE	FALSE		
61711078			FALSE	FALSE		
61711079			FALSE	FALSE		
61711082			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711073			FALSE							
61711074			FALSE					TRUE		
61711076			FALSE							
61711078			FALSE							
61711079			FALSE					TRUE		
61711082			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711083	H		TRUE	Cudahy City Council member	Cudahy	Los Angeles	TRUE	AD SD is good CD IngleSG not good cause no COI w anything W of Alameda corridor like Inglewood commonalities eg 710 pollution w southeast cities like Maywood Commerce Vernon H Park Bell Gardens Bell go N instead of W	
61711084	H		FALSE		Whittier unincorporated South	Los Angeles	TRUE	good to have whittier unincorp south Whittier in same districts add Sante Fe Springs into LACVN SD where dine shop cultural events part of specific Rio Honda College District area keep Cerritos Artesia in same LA Co district	
61711090	H		FALSE		East LA	Los Angeles	TRUE	glad East LA kept whole in AD SD CD lost Latino CDs not in line w 90 growth or VRA SD for East LA w Temple City Arcadia San Marino nothing in common SES w thoseinstead grp East LA w Boyle Hts El Sereno Lincoln Hts so Lat represented	
61711091	H		FALSE		El Monte	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep El Monte whole in AD and recognize environmental COI of SG Mt	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711083			FALSE	FALSE		
61711084			FALSE	FALSE		
61711090			FALSE	FALSE		
61711091			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711083			FALSE							
61711084			FALSE							
61711090			FALSE							
61711091			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61711092	H		FALSE		South Pasadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	keep South Pasadena whole and in CD put S Pasa back in w SG Valley	
61711093	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61711124	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61711125	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61711128	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61711135	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61711142	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61711171	S		FALSE				TRUE		
61811001	H		FALSE		southern anaheim	Orange	TRUE	problem with AD SD CD of southern anaheim flatlands more in common with Santa Ana than with Anaheim Hills more working class and lower income than anaheim hills	
61811002	H		FALSE		live and work in Anaheim	Orange	TRUE	keep flatlands of anaheim and santa ana together concerned with AD SD CD include northwest Orange with Santa Ana Westminister Garden Grove	
61811003	H		TRUE	service org		Orange	TRUE	flatlands of Anaheim with Santa Ana also include other lower income areas like SW orange	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61711092			FALSE	FALSE		
61711093			FALSE	FALSE		
61711124			FALSE	FALSE		
61711125			FALSE	FALSE		
61711128			FALSE	FALSE		
61711135			FALSE	FALSE		
61711142			FALSE	FALSE		
61711171			FALSE	FALSE		
61811001			FALSE	TRUE		
61811002	Anaheim Santa Ana Orange Fullerton	boundaries of areas mentioned west 57 and SW Orange is west of 55	FALSE	TRUE		
61811003			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61711092			FALSE							
61711093			FALSE					TRUE		
61711124			FALSE					TRUE		
61711125			FALSE					TRUE		
61711128			FALSE					TRUE		
61711135			FALSE					TRUE		
61711142			FALSE					TRUE		
61711171			FALSE					TRUE		
61811001			FALSE							
61811002			FALSE							
61811003			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811004	H		TRUE	Fullerton College Student Trustee represents 22000 students		Orange	TRUE	consider students south Fullerton with anaheim and keep all S Fullerton together dont put Chino Hills in SD not a COI with us no students from Chino Hills at college	
61811005	H		TRUE	LULAC		Orange	TRUE	keep Santa Ana and flatlands of Anaheim add in in south fullerton south of chapman incl Fullerton College east G Grove SW Orange incl Chapman college concern that with AD SD CD dont have SA with Flat Anaheim	
61811006	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	by separate Santa Ana Anaheim together in CD to allow woman to be elected to Congress	
61811007	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	two problems with CD 1 separation of SA and Ana not cause Latino but because communities are the same 2 GG and West w SA pits Latino and Asian must compete for congress representation gives alternative	
61811008	H		TRUE	LULAC		Orange	TRUE	same as previous flat anaheim santa ana S Fullerton S of Chapman and part of GG	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811004			FALSE	TRUE		
61811005			FALSE	TRUE		
61811006			FALSE	TRUE		
61811007			FALSE	TRUE		
61811008			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811004			FALSE							
61811005			FALSE							
61811006			FALSE							
61811007			FALSE							
61811008			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811009	H		TRUE	community development in Santa Ana and all of OC works now at Del High center	Santa Ana live and work	Orange	TRUE	santa ana anaheim S of 91 W of 57 add parts of cities like previous	
61811010	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	concern with Latino representation in AD SD CD something about Latinos of votin age making difference in last ten years	
61811011	H		TRUE	serves victims of crimes talks about crime in different cities	Santa Ana lives and was raised in	Orange	TRUE	same as previous keep flat Ana with SA	
61811012	H S		TRUE	service org		Orange	TRUE	same as previous flat Ana with SA	
61811013	H S		TRUE	same org as 12 more on their demographic data changes over time in OC		Orange	TRUE	impt to capture trends in maps too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811009			FALSE	TRUE		
61811010			FALSE	TRUE		
61811011			FALSE	TRUE		
61811012			FALSE	TRUE		
61811013			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811009			FALSE							
61811010			FALSE							
61811011			FALSE							
61811012			FALSE					TRUE	thematic maps	
61811013			FALSE					TRUE	thematic maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811014	H S		TRUE	Mana de Orange City Latino use	lives in Santa Ana raised in Anaheim strip where working class family settled at turn of century has official name La Colonia	Orange	FALSE		
61811015	H		FALSE		Anaheim west of 57 West Central Anaheim	Orange	TRUE	West Central Anaheim flatlands shouldnt be with more affluent areas as is in current maps should with SA	
61811016	H		TRUE	rep of nonprofit that does community organizing affordable housing development community renewal victims of domestic violence		Orange	TRUE	echo previous speakers need to keep these similar areas together to have voice in Sac which need to develop affordable housing etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811014			FALSE	FALSE		
61811015			FALSE	TRUE		
61811016			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811014			FALSE							
61811015			FALSE							
61811016			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811017	H		TRUE	Santa Ana City Council		Orange	TRUE	same as previous comments discusses how past AD SD CD have been good for this area overlapping districts that provide our collective voice	
61811018	H	attorney	TRUE	part of legal team of LULAC	Santa Ana live work	Orange	TRUE	keep SA and Ana together doesnt say part of Ana	
61811019	H S		TRUE	LULAC president spoke in Santa Ana		Orange	TRUE	COI overlaps city boundaries and more imp't to keep COI together than city	
61811020	H		TRUE	LULAC rep		Orange	TRUE	LULAC defined COI in court in 90s will sue also drew lines for LA and for OC Latinos need to be represented	
61811021	H	GI	TRUE	American GI forum President		Orange	FALSE		
61811022	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	Transportation COI Central Anaheim Santa Ana West part of Orange Garden Grove	
61811023	H		TRUE	Santa Ana School Board		Orange	TRUE	Santa Ana w Central and West areas of Anaheim VRA was violated no Latino effective districts drawn LULAC 147 maps should be used	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811017			FALSE	TRUE	share public safety Homeland Security defined area includes these areas and many other interests	
61811018			FALSE	TRUE	share high teen pregnancy rate needs legislative attention	
61811019			FALSE	TRUE		
61811020			FALSE	TRUE		
61811021			FALSE	FALSE		
61811022			FALSE	TRUE		
61811023			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811017			FALSE							
61811018			FALSE							
61811019			FALSE							
61811020			FALSE							
61811021			FALSE				must have Lao Hmong Vietnamese translator for a meeting in OC these are common languages in OC			
61811022			FALSE							
61811023			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811024	H S		TRUE	Anaheim School District rep		Orange	TRUE	respect boundaries of school districts SA has school COI with Ana and same as previous speakers	
61811025	H in Spanish	parent	FALSE			Orange	TRUE	continue to join SA and Ana as have been now Separation could be a disaster affect children culture history	
61811026	H		TRUE	president of a committee DELAC	Anaheim	Orange	TRUE	keep Ana and SA together for students and their education	
61811027	H S		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	draft CD 47 splits Latino community bring West Ana together with SA multigenerational homes and work in hospitality in Anaheim Disneyland also SD 24 same thing	
61811028	H		TRUE	Mayor City of Tustin also on boards of Transportation agencies representing all of OC with three positions	Tustin	Orange	TRUE	Assoc of CA Cities proposed maps to help you within 3 deviation AD SD want your work to be credible	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811024			FALSE	TRUE		
61811025			FALSE	TRUE		
61811026			FALSE	TRUE		
61811027			FALSE	TRUE		
61811028			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811024			FALSE							
61811025			FALSE					FALSE		
61811026			FALSE							
61811027			FALSE					TRUE		
61811028			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811029	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	references work of Association of CA Cities that 28 spoke about elected officials worked hard on it AD SD only within 97 in draft seems to split more cities than necessary also have north and south OC together and thats impossible to represent	
61811030	H S		TRUE	OC water district on Board of Directors	costa mesa	Orange	TRUE	watergeographic considerations drove their map and their map also respects Asian communities and Latino communities flat Ana with SA	
61811031	H		FALSE		Irvine	Orange	TRUE	re importance of Irvine support Water District map of CD s	
61811032	H	adopted asian child represents parents of Asianamerican children	FALSE		Garden Grove	Orange	TRUE	Little Saigon is center of Asian community Asians and Latinos each need own representatio	
61811033	H	CSU Fullerton alum org Owner of small biz in G Grove	TRUE	VietnameseAmerican COC	live and work in Little Saigon lives specifically in Fountain Valley	Orange	TRUE	praise that Little Saigon kept together in maps please continue that Q care about who else in district A concern only with being together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811029			FALSE	TRUE		
61811030			FALSE	TRUE		
61811031			FALSE	TRUE		
61811032			FALSE	TRUE		
61811033			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811029			FALSE							
61811030			FALSE					TRUE	map of proposed CDs	
61811031			FALSE							
61811032			FALSE							
61811033			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811034	H		TRUE	Vietnamese American COC small biz owner inherited from parents in Westminister little Saigon is over 2000 businesses and has grown into other cities from one mile strip in Westminister		Orange	TRUE	appreciate AD SD CD draft that keep Little Saigon together please continue	
61811035	H	talks about Little Saigon Vietnamese American community	FALSE		Little Saigon	Orange	TRUE	keep Little Saigon together for Vietnames COI	
61811036	H	speaking on behalf of Vietnamese family	FALSE		Garden Grove	Orange	TRUE	Little Saigon biggest Vietnamese community in nation in GG Westm north FV west SA Qwhere A1st st asks that dont cut upneed voice for Vietnamese in Ass Sen Cong	
61811037	H		TRUE	City of Westminister city council		Orange	TRUE	keep Little Saigon together Westm G Grove FV 24 405 fwys G Grove School District Q please submit a map showing us what we left out A ok later	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811034			FALSE	TRUE		
61811035			FALSE	TRUE		
61811036			FALSE	TRUE		
61811037			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811034			FALSE							
61811035			FALSE							
61811036			FALSE							
61811037			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811038	H		TRUE	Westminister School Board member		Orange	TRUE	Little Saigon together GG Westm FV	
61811039	H		FALSE		Garden Grove	Orange	TRUE	thanks for work to keep our vietnames community together SD CD maps combine Vietnames Latino better if Latinos Santa Ana with other Latino area Q where A Euclid between 68th and 69th is border	
61811040	H	latino community	FALSE		former resident of Santa Ana	Orange	TRUE	AD SD CD called SNNAA keeps Santa Ana together brings together large Vietnamese community failed to nest correct AD s in SD different COIs for Asian and Latino in terms of culture and language	
61811041	H		FALSE		Santa Ana	Orange	TRUE	Split SA along Asian and Latino lines keep SA w Ana	
61811042	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	keep Westminister G Grove together others ask for Ana with SA rather than w GG we agree	
61811043	H S		TRUE	City of Santa Ana council member but represent all of OC		Orange	TRUE	SA flat Ana together Q Orange and GG split A if have to add those to keep flat Ana and SA together then thats fine	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811038			FALSE	TRUE		
61811039			FALSE	TRUE		
61811040			FALSE	TRUE		
61811041			FALSE	TRUE		
61811042			FALSE	TRUE		
61811043			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811038			FALSE							
61811039			FALSE							
61811040			FALSE							
61811041			FALSE							
61811042			FALSE							
61811043			FALSE					TRUE	letter	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811044	H		FALSE	Le Habra City Council	Le Habra northern rim of OC	Orange	TRUE	first draft allows competition minority COULD get elected concern that SD and AD in LA keep W Anaheim and SA together cause thats what inspired her to run for office	
61811045	H		FALSE		Santa Ana	Orange	TRUE	dont fail us protect minorities as per VRA Ana w SA	
61811046	H	works with Latino and Asian pops in OC	FALSE		Garden Grove	Orange	TRUE	West St W is one E is other Latino and Asian communities Resort area is not a divider its the hub of our area where people work a community Center Ana w GG Ana is different from Ana Hills	
61811047	H		TRUE	city of Santa Ana not part of Assoc of CA Cities		Orange	TRUE	likes santa anabased districts keep SA whole look at 55 and 91 fwys put SA with Ana and GG issues are foreclosure rates and lack of open space VRA Sec 2 applies here	
61811048	H		FALSE	LULAC of Santa Ana		Orange	TRUE	Districts should reflect growth in Latino Community Latinos are 44 of OC pop see SA LULAC map	
61811049	H S	grad student at CSU Long Beach	TRUE		Altadena	Los Angeles	TRUE	San Gabriel mt district not good should be La Canada Altadena Pasadena So Pasadena Sierre Madre Arcadia	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811044			FALSE	TRUE		
61811045			FALSE	TRUE		
61811046			FALSE	TRUE		
61811047			FALSE	TRUE		
61811048			FALSE	TRUE		
61811049			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811044			FALSE							
61811045			FALSE							
61811046			FALSE							
61811047			FALSE							
61811048			FALSE							
61811049			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811050	H S		FALSE				TRUE	see maps for testimony night before	
61811051	H		FALSE				TRUE	SG mt AD same as 49 does not like	
61811052	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	Ana GG SA together or violate VRA maps submitted by the cities violate VRA	
61811053	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	Fullerton Artesia Cerritos La Palma Buena Park Cypress for AsAm COI	
61811054	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Brea La Mirada Fullerton Buena Park Cerritos Cypress together AD should be 22 fwy area south incl Stanton GG Westm FV COI of different religions culture also AD with Anaheim Orange	
61811055	H	Korean Community	FALSE	Korean Business Association		Orange	TRUE	dont put Cerritos with Garden Grove put cerritos with La Palma Artesia Buena Park Fullerton all signif Korean pop	
61811056	H		TRUE	city council member La Palma	La Palma 20 yrs	Orange	TRUE	concerns and comments CD La Palma and one other OC city with bunch of cities in LA County put us with other North OC cities Cypress Buena Park Fullerton Los Alimtos	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811050			FALSE	TRUE		
61811051			FALSE	TRUE		
61811052			FALSE	TRUE		
61811053			FALSE	TRUE		
61811054			FALSE	TRUE		
61811055			FALSE	TRUE		
61811056			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811050			FALSE					TRUE	addendum to testimony in whittier was 29 there	
61811051			FALSE							
61811052			FALSE							
61811053			FALSE							
61811054			FALSE					TRUE	big board map	
61811055			FALSE							
61811056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811057	H	Asian Community	FALSE			Orange	TRUE	make Asian district keep Vietnames and Korean together	
61811058	H		TRUE	vietnamese org		Orange	TRUE	keep Little Saigon together	
61811059	H S	speaking for people who live in mobile home parks	FALSE			Orange	TRUE	mobile home parks do not have representatives please take us into consideration	
61811060	H		FALSE		Brea	Orange	TRUE	Armenian Community wronged in draft maps want 3 cities Montebello Whittier Pico together they are in AD CD but not SD Also Crescenta Valley take La Habra out	
61811061	H		FALSE	La Habra City Council member	La Habra	Orange	TRUE	concern with AD and SD that combine with LA cities	
61811062	H S		FALSE		works Huntington Beach private therapy practice does probono work in flatlands of Anaheims supported by NIMH	Orange	TRUE	concerned re anaheim keep CD 47 as is no SA w beach cities left remarks	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811057			FALSE	TRUE		
61811058			FALSE	TRUE		
61811059			FALSE	TRUE		
61811060			FALSE	TRUE		
61811061			FALSE	TRUE		
61811062			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811057			FALSE							
61811058			FALSE							
61811059			FALSE					TRUE	list of mobile home parks in OC	
61811060			FALSE							
61811061			FALSE							
61811062			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811064	H		FALSE	Dana Point City Council	Dana Point	Orange	TRUE	Dana Point is split in draft please make whole in AD SD and put with other south county cities shares schools hwy water So County Ch of Commerce Laguna Woods into Coastal	
61811065	H S		FALSE		Buena Park		FALSE		
61811066	H		FALSE		La Palma		TRUE	support AD OC west Cerritos La Palma Cypress Buena Park please keep this going and resist those who dont like it CD that has La Palma with Long Beach not good put us with other OC cities	
61811067	H		FALSE		anaheim	Orange	TRUE	how could you separate SA and flat Ana its clear they are one community connect with bridge of GG COI is education foreclosures poverty English lang learners draft is not compliant with VRA	
61811068	H in Spanish		FALSE		Santa Ana	Orange	TRUE	Santa Ana and Anaheim face similar probs eg home burgleries and east GG too	
61811069	H	kids in Santa Ana schools	FALSE		Santa Ana	Orange	TRUE	keep SA with Ana and east GG is a COI	
61811070	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	SA Ana east GG COI w similarities same issues same problems like home security	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811064			FALSE	TRUE		
61811065			FALSE	FALSE		
61811066			FALSE	TRUE		
61811067			FALSE	TRUE		
61811068			FALSE	TRUE		
61811069			FALSE	TRUE		
61811070			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811064			FALSE							
61811065			FALSE					TRUE	poem	
61811066			FALSE							
61811067			FALSE							
61811068			FALSE					FALSE		
61811069			FALSE							
61811070			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811071	H S		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	also want Ana with SA to do this AD based in SA AD based in Anaheim then nest them into a SD describes what bridge is between SA Ana east GG and SW Orange and gives streets small immigrant area	
61811072	H		FALSE		Anaheim	Orange	TRUE	flat Ana SA and E GG kept together in all 3 district types COI is immigrant and income lack of parks housing open space Also mentions Anaheim resort triangle	
61811073	H		TRUE	mayor of Huntington Beach		Orange	TRUE	oppose our CD share water and school districts with neighbors integrating police fire attend each others fairs parades festivals support Water District map proposal for CD	
61811074	H		FALSE	mayor of Orange		Orange	TRUE	Do not use so much of Orange as bridge between SA Ana use only west of 57 same as river called platinum triangle east GG does not like water district map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811071			FALSE	TRUE		
61811072			FALSE	TRUE		
61811073			FALSE	TRUE		
61811074			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811071			FALSE					TRUE	maps	
61811072			FALSE							
61811073			FALSE							
61811074			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811075	H		TRUE	OC Communities Organized for Responsible Development a community organizer	Santa Ana	Orange	TRUE	same grouping as other with SA Ana GG together in all districts	
61811076	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	concern with separation of Asian community talks about Shaun Duane map that complies with VRA westminister w Huntington Beach Fountain Valley Costa mesa w Sunset Beach	
61811078	H		TRUE	City of La Palma Transportation Agency	La Palma	Orange	TRUE	doesnt like being in CD with 90 LA cities esp Long Beach put in proposed Loma Linda CD or Le Habra CD	
61811079	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	CD with Huntington Beach Fountain Valley Westminister concern with Oceanview School District work closely with Huntington Beach Unified School District FV school district and federal funding	
61811080	H		FALSE		Dana Point	Orange	TRUE	our little city split in half for AD SD please dont do this pull Dana Pt in So OC AD which is 2 under and it wont affect deviation much	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811075			FALSE	TRUE		
61811076			FALSE	TRUE		
61811078			FALSE	TRUE		
61811079			FALSE	TRUE		
61811080			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811075			FALSE							
61811076			FALSE							
61811078			FALSE							
61811079			FALSE							
61811080			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811081	H		TRUE	Planned Parenthood		Orange	TRUE	SA flat Ana share problems like high teen birth rate wants same config of others west of 57 S of 91 each GG south Fuller SW orange	
61811083	H		FALSE		Diamond Bar	Los Angeles	TRUE	like AD and SD for her town doesnt like CD	
61811085	H		TRUE	Anaheim City Council Anaheim part of Ass of CA Cities and worked on their maps for OC	Anaheim	Orange	TRUE	our maps respect city lines like Dana Point and county lines there is an Orange Curtain agree with mayor of Orange that bridge is west of SA River and East GG	
61811086	H		FALSE		unincorporated part of West OC Rossmore county may merge Rossmore Los Alimitos and Seal Beach into SuperCity	Orange	TRUE	small bedroom community nothing in common with Long Beach or port	
61811087	H		TRUE	on LACO as well as other orgs		Orange	TRUE	speaking about CD draft support CD proposed by water district	
61811088	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	disagree with splitting Irvine wants CD split at Costa Mesa coastal district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811081			FALSE	TRUE		
61811083			FALSE	TRUE		
61811085			FALSE	TRUE		
61811086			FALSE	TRUE		
61811087			FALSE	TRUE		
61811088			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811081			FALSE							
61811083			FALSE							
61811085			FALSE							
61811086			FALSE							
61811087			FALSE							
61811088			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811090	H		TRUE	letter from council woman Seal Beach		Orange	TRUE	Seal Beach Huntington Beach with similar communities ones linked with now are NOT similar	
61811097	H		FALSE				TRUE	one letter re Diamond Bar Yorba Linda Norwalk districts Le Habra drawn out of those and concern with Le Habras representation also a letter from Orange council member concern with splitting OC into two or more CDs	
61811104	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	concern w Diamond BarWalnutLe Habra district instead want to rotate around and have a North Co OC Highlands district with Ana Hills and So OC highlands district	
61811105	H S		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	like previous has alternative to draft moving around various parts of OC did population exchanges	
61811106	H		FALSE		Garden Grove city	Orange	TRUE	Cerritos Artesia with N OC Cypress La Palma Buena Park rather than central OC happy that GG westm and FV together for Vietnamese but please add west SA need to unite Latinos in SA and part of Ana for VRA district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811090			FALSE	TRUE		
61811097			FALSE	TRUE		
61811104			FALSE	TRUE		
61811105			FALSE	TRUE		
61811106			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811090			FALSE							
61811097			FALSE							
61811104			FALSE							
61811105			FALSE					TRUE	maps	
61811106			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61811108	H		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	pleased with Westminister district in draft talks about congresswoman having been to vietnam and established that connection doesnt want to start over on that	
61811109	H	testified at Oakland	TRUE	young professionals for better government founder			TRUE	org submitted SD map before now submitting AD map taking into acct coastalenvironmental issues	
61811110	H		FALSE				TRUE	submit proposalplease consider	
61811114	H		FALSE				TRUE	its political	
61811115	H S		FALSE		San Clemente	Orange	TRUE	San Clemente should be with Dana Pt San Juan CD stuck with San Diego	
61811116	H		FALSE		North OC	Orange	TRUE	55 freeway separate Ana and SA a MasonDixon line anaheim hills has nothing to do with us	
61811120	H S		FALSE			Orange	TRUE	maps of AD proposals and maps showing how put COIs together like split GG east is Latino and west is Asian pitting latino ag Vietnamese and latino or vietn ag Indian in Cerritos etc	
61811123	H		TRUE	CCAG			FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61811108			FALSE	TRUE		
61811109			FALSE	TRUE		
61811110			FALSE	TRUE		
61811114			FALSE	FALSE		
61811115			FALSE	TRUE		
61811116			FALSE	TRUE		
61811120			FALSE	TRUE		
61811123			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61811108			FALSE							
61811109			FALSE							
61811110			FALSE							
61811114			FALSE							
61811115			FALSE							
61811116			FALSE							
61811120			FALSE					TRUE	maps	
61811123			FALSE				process issues instructions RPV biz mtg transcripts COI database			

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911001	H		FALSE		Bloomington	San Bernardino	TRUE	AD s drafts look like old one	
61911002	H		FALSE				TRUE	BOE draft East district S Bern should be w Rivers Imperial OC because of water similarities recreation etc do not split S Bern	
61911003	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD called SBRIA problem to split Fontan and other cities school districts are split share freeway repair problems	
61911004	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD proposal of Rancho C with Fontana Bloomington and half of Rialto this is a watershed COI also a COI based on shared police and other services	
61911005	H		FALSE		Chino Hills	San Bernardino	TRUE	for draft AD CD include Chino Hills and 12 of Chino Chino Hills Chino Pomona other Pomona Valley towns are COI this COI should not be with LA	
61911006	H		FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	wants Perris in CD instead of Norco importance of 215 corridor	
61911007	H		FALSE		Ontario	San Bernardino	TRUE	AD CD SD for western S Bern Co has Upland Chino Hills cut out of S Bern Co put pomona back in with LA districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911001			FALSE	FALSE		
61911002			FALSE	TRUE		
61911003			FALSE	TRUE		
61911004			FALSE	TRUE		
61911005			FALSE	TRUE		
61911006			FALSE	TRUE		
61911007			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911001			FALSE							
61911002			FALSE							
61911003			FALSE							
61911004			FALSE							
61911005			FALSE							
61911006			FALSE							
61911007			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911008	H		TRUE	Inland Action advocacy org for biz leaders economic development based in Redlands presented 0 deviation maps for Inland Empire	Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	please look at our maps 0 deviation plan for AD SD CD	
61911009	H		TRUE	Inland Empire Economic Partnership submits maps w Inland Action	Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	CD draft displace IE residents such as Upland Chino Hills Temecula their maps speaker 8 cut down on city splits and create VRA seat around Fontana	
61911010	H		TRUE	Inland Action rep and University of Redlands rep			TRUE	Chino Hills and Upland back in IE dont split Redlands in CD	
61911011	H		TRUE	Inland Action President			TRUE	propose maps that are better CD draft splits us in a greigious fashion their CD proposal keep Redlands whole their AD proposal keeps Rivers whole uses major freeways and splits fewer cities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911008			FALSE	TRUE		
61911009			FALSE	TRUE		
61911010			FALSE	TRUE		
61911011			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911008			FALSE							
61911009			FALSE							
61911010			FALSE							
61911011			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911012	H		TRUE	Inland Action			TRUE	used draft maps to make IE maps better based on knowledge of IE and looked at complying with VRA especially in CD and put people back in IE Please look at Q Equivalency Files A will get from RedrawCA	
61911013	H		TRUE	represent 46 hospitals in two IE counties	Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	drafts hard to understand far flung wants IE in tact in AD SD CD because of growth and health care needs likes Inland Action maps keep Redlands whole	
61911014	H		TRUE	mayor city of redlands	Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	CD splits Redlands does disservice to residents provided comment keep Redlands whole	
61911015	H		FALSE				TRUE	supports Inland Action maps its vital to region to keep Upland and Ranch C together	
61911016	H		TRUE	Mayor of Chino Hills Four Corners Coalition			TRUE	CD draft of four corners area divides chino hills oppose it support AD SD in fourcorner area fourcorner area has lots of local districts in common Q in your map chino hills is split A yes	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911012			FALSE	TRUE		
61911013			FALSE	TRUE		
61911014			FALSE	TRUE		
61911015			FALSE	TRUE		
61911016			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911012			FALSE							
61911013			FALSE							
61911014			FALSE							
61911015			FALSE							
61911016			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911017	H S		TRUE	Four Corners Coalition cross county lines parts have more in common with each other than with parts of own county			TRUE	cross county lines Chino Hills w Diamond Bar w Brea	
61911018	H		FALSE		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	TRUE	list of cities that are COI Colton Bloomington San Bernardino Highland etc also draft has too many districts for one county	
61911019	H		TRUE	4321 Coalition			TRUE	no connection between Rancho C and Redlands geographically or community wise school shopping etc Rancho C needs to go in another district to its west	
61911020	H		TRUE	Coalition 4321 number of districts S Bern Co should have			TRUE	want S Bern Co in tact want one district for 100 representation of entire co	
61911021	H		FALSE		Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino	TRUE	Fontana Rialto with Rancho C NOT redlands AD Rancho C with Fontana cause school districts need to be together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911017			FALSE	TRUE		
61911018			FALSE	TRUE		
61911019			FALSE	TRUE		
61911020			FALSE	TRUE		
61911021			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911017			FALSE							
61911018			FALSE							
61911019			FALSE							
61911020			FALSE							
61911021			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911022	H		TRUE	LatinoLatina Roundtable			TRUE	Ranch C and Chino Hills removed from OntarioPomona AD and Fontana should be added Revisit whole plan to include income and ethnic issues do not reduced Latino representation	
61911023	H		TRUE	city manager	Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	Moreno Valley intact in all three draft districts which is great support first draft maps Dont change	
61911024	H		FALSE		Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	reject draft maps in entirety violate all criteria fundamentally flawed cant be worked with	
61911025	H		FALSE		Rancho Cucamonga	San Bernardino	TRUE	AD draft cuts part RC out want it in tact Also split Redlands want rest of Rancho Cuc back get rid of part of Redlands which is also split so make it whole again too CD makes sense RC w communs to south RC shares HS district with Ontario	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911022			FALSE	TRUE		
61911023			FALSE	TRUE		
61911024			FALSE	TRUE		
61911025			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911022			FALSE							
61911023			FALSE							
61911024			FALSE							
61911025			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911026	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD SBCUC use devs to keep more cities toget support 4321 concept dont use S Bern Co as a filler doesnt like Redlands being split natural COI around I15 highway and lists cities to put together Redlands Loma Linda Highland Mentone Yucaipa	
61911027	H in Spanish		FALSE		Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	re CD Riverside Moreno Valley Perris is COI family educational family assistance and hospital programs also March AFB should be with it	
61911028	H		FALSE		Riverside prior to that Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	good draft AD SD close to ours concern w CD left out city of Perris put it back in with Rivers Mor Vall for several reas Joint Authority for March Q what take out if put Perris in A submitted better CD that meets pop reqtakes Norco out	
61911029	H		FALSE				FALSE		
61911030	H		TRUE	4321 coalition			TRUE	keep S Bern School District in tact and other school districts has concerns re education	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911026			FALSE	TRUE		
61911027			FALSE	TRUE		
61911028			FALSE	TRUE		
61911029			FALSE	FALSE		
61911030			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911026			FALSE							
61911027			FALSE							
61911028			FALSE							
61911029			FALSE			Section 5 is a historical accident messes up map drawing				
61911030			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911031	H		FALSE				TRUE	happy to be back in CD AD SD as you drew it However want San Bern intact do not split at waterman ave	
61911032	H		FALSE		desert	Riverside County	TRUE	look at my proposalpackage as 3 in Palm Springs eastern Coachella Valley and Imperial together for all maps	
61911033	H		FALSE			San Bernardino	FALSE		
61911034	H		TRUE	Monday Morning Group nonpartisan advocacy	Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	likes maps	
61911035	H		TRUE	Monday Morning Group	Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	group supports the maps if changes keep us with rest of IE NOT LA NOT OC	
61911037	H		FALSE		Pico Union	Los Angeles	TRUE	must comply with VRA should not be w Beverly Hills	
61911038	H		FALSE		Montclair	San Bernardino	TRUE	like being with Ontario and Pomona as in CD draft remove R C and Chino Hills and put in Fontana montclair should not be with dissimilar interests and SES	
61911039	H		FALSE		Pomona	Los Angeles	TRUE	upset with lack of representation for Latino in CD s Pomona Montclair Chino Ontario Fontana is COI AD is good SD is good except too big for CD Chino should be in there with Pomona Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911031			FALSE	TRUE		
61911032			FALSE	TRUE		
61911033			FALSE	FALSE		
61911034			FALSE	FALSE		
61911035			FALSE	TRUE		
61911037			FALSE	TRUE		
61911038			FALSE	TRUE		
61911039			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911031			FALSE							
61911032			FALSE							
61911033			FALSE							
61911034			FALSE							
61911035			FALSE							
61911037			FALSE							
61911038			FALSE							
61911039			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911040	H		TRUE	LWV of Riverside		Riverside	TRUE	like and support maps especially re Norco Jurupa Valley Riverside Moreno Valley etc like nesting	
61911041	H		FALSE		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	TRUE	Pomona Upland Chino Hills San Bernardino Redlands together dont divide by race and income dont use freeways which are proxies for that submitted maps that improve	
61911042	H		FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	thanks for keeping Riversid Moreno Valley whole add Perris March AFB Mead Valley Good Hope	
61911043	H		FALSE				TRUE	Water transportation COIs watershed of Santa Ana River 215 freeway	
61911044	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	Crestline Lake Arrowhead Running Springs together	
61911045	H	professor	FALSE				TRUE	likes that Rivers wMoreno Val in AD SD CD take out Norco Corona from CD put in Perris school district has Perris and Moreno Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911040			FALSE	TRUE		
61911041			FALSE	TRUE		
61911042			FALSE	TRUE		
61911043			FALSE	TRUE		
61911044			FALSE	TRUE		
61911045			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911040			FALSE							
61911041			FALSE							
61911042			FALSE							
61911043			FALSE							
61911044			FALSE							
61911045			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911046	H		TRUE	IEAAC			TRUE	put unincorporated areas in one district thanks for paying atten to Ebony Tri make focus the 1 district that is totally in San Bern incl Font RLTF0 district tract 27 is part of Rialto 29 blocks1460 residents left out see last 2pg of submission	
61911047	H		FALSE		Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	very excited to be kept in tact AD SD are very good CD should include Perris Perris residents shop in Moreno Valley take corona and norco out of CD	
61911048	H		FALSE		chino Hills neophyte young town	San Bernardino	TRUE	chino hills is a family works together studies together stays together and vise versa	
61911049	H		TRUE	member 4321 coalition	Redlands own biz in San Bern	San Bernardino	TRUE	supports 4321 principle keep S Bern Co together also please dont split Redlands in half Colton and Grand Terrace together	
61911050	H		FALSE				TRUE	how define COI How is pinhole of Cochella Valley a COI how is Perris not with Rivers Moreno Valley	
61911051	H		TRUE	Hemet City Council			TRUE	HemetSan Jacinto good in AD SD not in CD take out of Cochella district put in another replace Corona put HemetSan Jacinto Valley with similar areas	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911046			FALSE	TRUE		
61911047			FALSE	TRUE		
61911048			FALSE	TRUE		
61911049			FALSE	TRUE		
61911050			FALSE	TRUE		
61911051			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911046			FALSE							
61911047			FALSE							
61911048			FALSE							
61911049			FALSE							
61911050			FALSE							
61911051			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911052	H		FALSE		Upland	San Bernardino	TRUE	Upland should stay with S Bern Co in AD SD and CD rather than with LA County possibly move Chino Chino Hills to be Diamond Bar	
61911053	H		FALSE		Upland	San Bernardino	TRUE	as wife just said made Upland an appendage of LA Co district and takes away its voicenothing in common w Glen Burb need to put Upland w S Bern Co esp w Montclair Rancho C Chino Hills go with Diamond Bar Yorba Linda keep CD s win cos	
61911054	H		FALSE		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	TRUE	Highland Redlands and San Bernardino City together because form a COI lists shared projects especially transportation cultural ties too	
61911055	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	District called SBCUC splits Crestline off from other mt communities but they are all a COI make mountain dist all the way to Big Bear	
61911056	H		FALSE				TRUE	Running Springs Lake Arrowhead Crestline are COI want to be with unicorpatad area of S Bern Co high desert rather than cities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911052			FALSE	TRUE		
61911053			FALSE	TRUE		
61911054			FALSE	TRUE		
61911055			FALSE	TRUE		
61911056			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911052			FALSE							
61911053			FALSE							
61911054			FALSE							
61911055			FALSE							
61911056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911057	H		TRUE	Riverside Black Chamber of Commerce June tenth Committee	Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	salutes maps thanks for meeting many more would be here but will send comments other ways	
61911058	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	Crestline Lake Arrowhead Running Springs are COI common school districts etc need voice of people on Mt small communs wsmall voices so need to be together	
61911059	H		FALSE		Cedar Pines Park on mountain	San Bernardino	TRUE	agrees w previous speaker dont split up families of communities	
61911060	H		FALSE		Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	dont want Redlands split dont want two Congressmembers consider proposal of 1 for CD	
61911061	H		FALSE		Apple Valley	San Bernardino	TRUE	also likes proposal of speaker 1 happy to have Crestline and other high dessert areas with us in high dessert rather than being with Lancaster Palmdale Oak Hills and Hesperia should be w mt district drop mono inyo	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911057			FALSE	FALSE		
61911058			FALSE	TRUE		
61911059			FALSE	TRUE		
61911060	rialto colton muskland redlands half of Fontana etc together in 1 proposal for CD	united by 215210	FALSE	TRUE		
61911061			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911057			FALSE							
61911058			FALSE							
61911059			FALSE							
61911060			FALSE							
61911061			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911062	H		TRUE	Chamber of Commerce Riverside			TRUE	spoke on May 5 proposing nesting support draft maps even though Riverside city split mayor of Riverside has no problems we believe you did your job Q ok with way split city of Riverside A yes Jackson street etcits fine	
61911063	H		TRUE	arrowhead arms neighborhood association president	arrowhead arms neighborhood 750 residents county island around city of San Bern	San Bernardino	TRUE	like others want to be in tactwe have 2 SD for our 750 residents if any way to keep communities together please do it	
61911064	H		FALSE		Lake Arrowhead	San Bernardino	TRUE	want to be with other mountain areas like Crestline Cedar Pines Park Running Springs wildlife wildfire area face same issues want one AD and SD	
61911065	H		FALSE		Ontario	San Bernardino	TRUE	talked 3 times to you wow you listened to us Not perfect but suggest two areas to fix Rancho Cucha with Redlands splitting of Redlands	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911062			FALSE	TRUE		
61911063			TRUE	TRUE		
61911064			FALSE	TRUE		
61911065			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911062			FALSE							
61911063			FALSE							
61911064			FALSE							
61911065			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911066	H		FALSE		Eastern Cochella	Riverside	TRUE	concern with splits Eastern Cochella different from Western Coch working class vs snowbirdsvacationers please reconnect Eastern Cochella Indio for ex to Imperial economy Q which cities w Imperial A no answer recogn this main artery	
61911067	H		FALSE		San Bernardino	San Bernardino	TRUE	San Bernardino doesnt have parts dont have neighborhood names its a valley that needs to be together pair with Redlands but NOT w Rancho C	
61911068	H		FALSE		Rialto	San Bernardino	TRUE	supports 4321 plan	
61911069	H		FALSE		La Quinta	Riverside	TRUE	Coachella Imperial area asked earlier speaker Indio Coachella to Calexico on border	
61911072	H		TRUE	S Bern NAACP			TRUE	supports recommendation earlier Rialto with San Bern also add Colton Muscoy Bloomington Grand Terrace put Fontana in another AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911066			FALSE	TRUE		
61911067			FALSE	TRUE		
61911068			FALSE	TRUE		
61911069			FALSE	TRUE		
61911072			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911066			FALSE							
61911067			FALSE							
61911068			FALSE							
61911069			FALSE				complaint about this mtg being in Fathers Day and so far from Cochella Valley no time to get to it and get a good number especially after mass			
61911072			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911073	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	Crestline and Lake Arrowhead is one mountain top one COI so must be together Only 2 ways to get their thru Running Springs or 138 share emergency services	
61911074	H	HS teacher	FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	fully support AD and SD you drew with CD just add Perris	
61911075	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	echo 73 re mt COI emergency services etc	
61911076	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	echo 73 75 re mt COI emergency services etc	
61911077	H		FALSE		Perris	Riverside	TRUE	AD and SD great just concern with CD Mead Valley Good Hope are part of Perris same zip sch dist so put all three with Rivers Moreno Vall in CD please Q what take out A Corona Norco maybe Eastvale	
61911078	H		FALSE		Perris	Riverside	TRUE	Perris Rivers Moreno Val are a family do not break us up one family like 3 muskateers	
61911079	H in Spanish		TRUE	ExecDirector of nonprofit			TRUE	keep Rivers Moreno Val and Perris together work with farmworkers affected by NAFTA in difficult situations so this grouping is impt	
61911080	H		FALSE		Crestline 40 yrs	San Bernardino	TRUE	cant imagine being separate from other mt areas Cedar Park Running Springs Lake Arrowhead	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911073			FALSE	TRUE		
61911074			FALSE	TRUE		
61911075			FALSE	TRUE		
61911076			FALSE	TRUE		
61911077			FALSE	TRUE		
61911078			FALSE	TRUE		
61911079			FALSE	TRUE		
61911080			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911073			FALSE							
61911074			FALSE							
61911075			FALSE							
61911076			FALSE							
61911077			FALSE							
61911078			FALSE							
61911079			FALSE							
61911080			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911081	H		FALSE		Crestline over 37 yrs	San Bernardino	TRUE	mt communities have strong COI also mentions emergency services need to be with Running Springs and Lake Arrowhead and not necessarily Big Bear	
61911083	H		FALSE		Upland	San Bernardino	TRUE	need to be with S Bern where sphere of influence is and NOT with LA Co	
61911084	H		FALSE		mt communities	San Bernardino	TRUE	CalTrans Distr same for mt communities economy is tied to their common roads definitely include Big Bear with mt communities too	
61911085	H		TRUE		Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	keep Redlands whole wLoma Linda San Bern city Highland	
61911090	H	on radio	FALSE				FALSE		
61911091	H		FALSE		Cedar Pines Park	San Bernardino	TRUE	mt communities are different from rest of So CA flatlanders we love it up there shovel snow etc same water districts same school districts	
61911093	H		FALSE		Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	draft CD splits Redlands removes conservative CD and makes safe district for liberal Bacca who doesnt represent us does not like CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911081			FALSE	TRUE		
61911083			FALSE	TRUE		
61911084			FALSE	TRUE		
61911085			FALSE	TRUE		
61911090			FALSE	FALSE		
61911091			FALSE	TRUE		
61911093			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911081			FALSE							
61911083			FALSE							
61911084			FALSE							
61911085			FALSE							
61911090			FALSE			got to work together born in USA w freedom				
61911091			FALSE							
61911093			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911097	H		TRUE	group of companies restaurants shops etc			TRUE	support SD s proposed keep Pomona Ontario Chino together in ADSD	
61911098	H		FALSE				TRUE	happy with Pomona chino ontario montclair district keep that area Pomona Valley together	
61911099	H		FALSE		Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	happy that Moreno Valley in tact did research for March JPA real community the five areas keep together Rivers MV Perris Mead valley Good Hope	
61911101	H		FALSE		Crestline	San Bernardino	TRUE	must be with mt communities which share services Will be disenfranchised if divided	
61911102	H		FALSE		Lake Arrowhead	San Bernardino	TRUE	Crestline wLake Arrowhead Running Springs same water district fire recreation community hospital district school district well defined community keep together	
61911103	H		FALSE		Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	Perris w Moreno Valley Riverside in CD three are a COI happy w AD SD let down by CD	
61911104	H Spanish Speaker interpreter spoke only after prereviewing testimony with him		FALSE		perris 23 yrs	Riverside	TRUE	happy with AD SD not happy w CD Perris w Moreno Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911097			FALSE	TRUE		
61911098			FALSE	TRUE		
61911099			FALSE	TRUE		
61911101			FALSE	TRUE		
61911102			FALSE	TRUE		
61911103			FALSE	TRUE		
61911104			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911097			FALSE							
61911098			FALSE							
61911099			FALSE							
61911101			FALSE							
61911102			FALSE							
61911103			FALSE							
61911104			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911105	H Spanish Speaker interpreter spoke only after prereviewing testimony with him		FALSE				TRUE	perris Moreno Valley Riverside together	
61911107	H Spanish speaker with same interpreter presenting		FALSE		Perris	Riverside	TRUE	same re Perris Rivers MV perris nothing in common with other cities	
61911108	H Spanish again with same interpretor speaker did speak a little Spanish at first in mic		FALSE		Perris	Riverside	TRUE	joining Perris Rivers MV	
61911109	H Spanish speaker interpreter spoke only		FALSE		Perris	Riverside	TRUE	same as other two AD SD good CD must have us with Rivers MV	
61911110	H		FALSE		Perris	Riverside	TRUE	same as other three AD SD good CD must have us with Rivers MV	
61911111	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep Redlands together keep cities together	
61911112	H		FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	generally happy espec eliminating OC from us support adding Perris to CDless in common with Corona and Norco	
61911113	H		TRUE	on a transportation board	Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	support Perris with Rivers Mareno Valley in CD especially transportation issues working on together such as metrolink	
61911114	H		FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	same re three cities riverside MV Perris needed in CD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911105			FALSE	TRUE		
61911107			FALSE	TRUE		
61911108			FALSE	TRUE		
61911109			FALSE	TRUE		
61911110			FALSE	TRUE		
61911111			FALSE	TRUE		
61911112			FALSE	TRUE		
61911113			FALSE	TRUE		
61911114			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911105			FALSE							
61911107			FALSE							
61911108			FALSE							
61911109			FALSE							
61911110			FALSE							
61911111			FALSE							
61911112			FALSE							
61911113			FALSE							
61911114			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61911115	H		FALSE		Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	same as previous for CD include Perris and possibly Mead Valley and Good Hope March ARB not as much in common w Corona Norco confused re AD not sure if meant to include Mead Valley Good Hope but should	
61911116	H		TRUE	Ridgecrest COC	Ridgecrest	Kern	TRUE	fully support maps happy all in Kern County	
61911121	H		FALSE		Moreno Valley	Riverside	TRUE	8 communities put with other part of Riverside Co please put them together Moreno Val Rivers Norco Corona Mead Valley Eastvale so that AfAm pop maximized in CD Comply w VRA	
61911122	H	physician	FALSE		Redlands	San Bernardino	TRUE	need to link cities in S Bern Co Redlands Highland Loma Linda S Bern in all three districts do not use hwys as borders as that cuts communities that live on both sides agrees re Rivers MV Perris too based on work in that area	
61911123	H speaks in Spanish and English		FALSE		Riverside	Riverside	TRUE	adhere to VRA for Latino low income communities dont ignore Section 2 of VRA	
61911127	H		FALSE		Mt community	San Bernardino	TRUE	put Crestline back with rest of us	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61911115			FALSE	TRUE		
61911116			FALSE	TRUE		
61911121			FALSE	TRUE		
61911122			FALSE	TRUE		
61911123			FALSE	TRUE		
61911127			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61911115			FALSE							
61911116			FALSE							
61911121			FALSE							
61911122			FALSE							
61911123			FALSE							
61911127			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011001	H S		FALSE		Calexico	Imperial	TRUE	coachella valley should be with imperial because of COI around Salton Sea cleanup health education CSUUC cultural and natural resources enterprise zones shared water ways canals Nothing in common w S Diego but border so no Imperial w SD	
62011002	H S		FALSE			Imperial	TRUE	draft AD SD CD do not have imperial w Coachella Valley and that is the COI cultural economies linked restoring Salton Sea natural resources renewable energy potential	
62011003	H S		TRUE	Imperial County Board of Supervisors Chair		Imperial	TRUE	COI is w Coachella Valley Indio Mecca Thermal La Quinta Oasis based on Salton Sea issues desert renewable energy all major employers for both Imperial and Coachella Valley Align with COI except ok to have south SD areas close to border w Imp	
62011005	H S		TRUE	SCAPAL part of CAPAFR		San Diego	TRUE	good to have north SD area in one district in draft AD problem with Poway Linda Vista and South Bay draft SD also a problem CAPAFR will submit new maps please follow VRA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011001			FALSE	TRUE		
62011002			FALSE	TRUE		
62011003			FALSE	TRUE		
62011005			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011001			FALSE					TRUE		
62011002			FALSE					TRUE		
62011003			FALSE					TRUE		
62011005			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011006	H S		FALSE		National City	San Diego	TRUE	AD draft for South Bay not good in Chula Vista map Filipino community is divided SD draft for east Chula Vista east National City is not good do not prioritize nesting	
62011007	H S testimony		FALSE		Mira Mesa	San Diego	TRUE	good to have API community together Poway should be in RCHMM look at CAPAFR maps	
62011008	H S		FALSE				TRUE	good that Linda Vista whole but in wrong AD SD cause w wealthy communties put LV in RCHMM because its urban not coastal and has Vietnamese and Hmong CAPAFR will present maps	
62011009	H S		TRUE	director of Pacific American Charter School	Linda Vista	San Diego	TRUE	LV needs to be in RCHMM for AD and moved in SD too should not be w wealthy communities of La Jolla	
62011010	H		FALSE			Imperial	TRUE	CD of Imperial w SD is ok AD SD Imperial should be with border areas of SD not w east SD nothing in common w El Cahon	
62011012	H S		FALSE			San Diego	TRUE	draw NS districts for API community for Filpino district Bay Terrace for ADSD have suburban w urban areas but not w east	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011006			FALSE	TRUE		
62011007			FALSE	TRUE		
62011008			FALSE	TRUE		
62011009			FALSE	TRUE		
62011010			FALSE	TRUE		
62011012			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011006			FALSE					TRUE		
62011007			FALSE					TRUE		
62011008			FALSE					TRUE		
62011009			FALSE					TRUE		
62011010			FALSE							
62011012			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011013	H		FALSE		Talmadge	San Diego	TRUE	CD fine draft AD SD separate Kensington Talmadge so divides community Kensington w Talmadge is one neighborhood and impt to be together	
62011014	H S		FALSE	Rancho Sante Fe Homeowners Assoc	Rancho Sante Fe	San Diego	TRUE	AD CNSAN has RSF w downtown but it should be w north county communities submitted maps to fix	
62011015	H	immigration consultant	TRUE	Calexico City Coucil member	Calexico	Imperial	TRUE	Imperial w east Coachella Valley farm workers follow the work into Coachella Valley for low income people all 3 levels of districts should include eastern Coachella	
62011016	H		FALSE			Imperial	TRUE	impt to have Imperial w eastern Coachella Valley does not matter what else is in district COI is based on desert similar economic needs Salton Sea pollution water renewable energy develop agricultural regiona airquality south SD is not agricu	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011013			TRUE	TRUE		
62011014			FALSE	TRUE		
62011015			FALSE	TRUE		
62011016	eastern Coachella Valley Indio Thermal Mecca La Quinta Visa Santa Rosa		FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011013			FALSE							
62011014			FALSE					TRUE		
62011015			FALSE							
62011016			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011017	H		TRUE	Calexico City Coucil member	Calexico	Imperial	TRUE	Imperial w eastern Coachella Valley because lots of commonalities mainly agric if Imperial in w SD in AD then its voice muted based on social economic ethnic racial differences	
62011018	H		TRUE	Calexico School Board member	Calexico	Imperial	TRUE	Imperial must be w east Coachella vs w SD impoverished ESL communities students w non Englishspeaking parents	
62011019	H		FALSE			Imperial	TRUE	do not put with SD which is far away put Imperial w Coachella Valley	
62011020	H S		FALSE	Chair of a Filipino org	Chula Vista	San Diego	TRUE	Bay Terrace Alta Vista w paradise Hills Bonita east Chula Vista and east National City	
62011021	H S		FALSE		City Heights San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	City Height is diverse community of 30 languages 100 dialects at 80515 intersection like ADSD draft in one map piece of City Heights separated and that is fixed in submitted maps	
62011022	H		FALSE				TRUE	eastern Coachella Valley w Imperial not SD w Imperial low income senior pop cant go to SD as its too expensive	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011017			FALSE	TRUE		
62011018			FALSE	TRUE		
62011019			FALSE	TRUE		
62011020			FALSE	TRUE		
62011021			TRUE	TRUE		
62011022			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011017			FALSE							
62011018			FALSE							
62011019			FALSE							
62011020			FALSE					TRUE		
62011021			FALSE					TRUE		
62011022			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011023	H	FilipinoAmerican	FALSE		Oak Park San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	API COI of urban San Diego City Heights Oak Park and Paradise Hills in AD LMSAND nest LMSAND SNSAND to make SD unite urban parts along hwy I 8 El Cajon University Ave	
62011024	H		FALSE		El Cajon east SD county area	San Diego	TRUE	El Cajon in east county should not be connected to Imperial Alpine Rancho San Diego Julian Warner Spings Santee together w us go north along east border share fire danger state park forest tribal lds current AD 77 is good and reflect our COI	
62011025	H		FALSE		Lake Marina Village area east SD County	San Diego	TRUE	Lake Marina Campo connect w El Cajon Alpine Santee Rancho SD Jamul Pine Valley share forest state park use borders of AD 77	
62011026	H S		FALSE		Santee east SD County	San Diego	TRUE	do not include east County w Imperial Co now is in AD SD ISAND instead put in area east of I15 wildfire protection area likes CD NESAN use that to guide AD SD	
62011027	H S		FALSE		Alpine	San Diego	TRUE	El Cajon through forest tribal lands recreational outdoors Ramona Poway can be included same type of fire ara	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011023			TRUE	TRUE		
62011024			FALSE	TRUE		
62011025			FALSE	TRUE		
62011026			FALSE	TRUE		
62011027			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011023			FALSE					TRUE		
62011024			FALSE							
62011025			FALSE							
62011026			FALSE					TRUE		
62011027			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011028	H		FALSE				TRUE	Barrio district does not need to be split link Pt Loma w Barrio Logan	
62011029	H		FALSE				TRUE	Kensington Talmadge together w normal heights etc in SD put w uptown district nothing in common w Imperial Co	
62011030	H		FALSE				TRUE	use CD draft as model to draw area in AD SD need to have east SD County separate from imperial all the way up to Julian	
62011031	H		FALSE		Rancho Penesquitas San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	Rancho Penesquitas with Escondido Poway	
62011032	H S		TRUE	FilipinoAmer org			TRUE	COI submitted on May 14 not reflected in draft South Bay AD not goodeastern Chula Vista split Eastlake neighborhood split Chula Vista APIs employed there so dilutes voting power east National City split hospital there employs serves FilipAms	cont see new CAPAFR maps

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011028			FALSE	TRUE		
62011029			FALSE	TRUE		
62011030			FALSE	TRUE		
62011031			FALSE	TRUE		
62011032			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011028			FALSE							
62011029			FALSE							
62011030			FALSE							
62011031			FALSE							
62011032			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011033	H S	fire chief	TRUE	Rancho Sante Fe FD Fire Chief	Rancho Sante Fe	San Diego	TRUE	Fire district is COI Ranch Sante FeFairbanks Ranch incl in coastal but should be w inland where have same fire threat incl western portion of FD w inland portion in RCHMM	
62011034	H	speaker 4 on May 14	TRUE	Collaborative of Pastors on Point AfAmer org Latino Redistr group African immigrant org			TRUE	pastors Latinos etc submitted maps that were disregarded in drafts concern w AD 78	
62011035	H S		FALSE		Culver City	Los Angeles	TRUE	City County Splits report BOE split 2 counties 89 cities redid so no cities or counties violated stuck close to CVAP for Sect 5 districts did adjustments w in LA County will provide equivalency files	
62011036	H S		FALSE		Rolando Village in College Area of San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	reconsider ISAND map that links college area to east SD Co and to Imperial Co nest LMSAND AD w CNSAN AD to make Sen Distr w urban SD keep urban SD together	Cont we rely on underfunded city services all in SDUSD city needs its own unified voice in Sac

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011033			FALSE	TRUE		
62011034			FALSE	TRUE		
62011035			FALSE	TRUE		
62011036			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011033			FALSE					TRUE		
62011034			FALSE							
62011035			FALSE							
62011036			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011037	H		FALSE		University City neighborhood of San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	I5 used as border and splits University in ADSD put both sides of UCSD campus in same district so have unified voice in Sacramento put all of campus in CNSAN AD CSAND SD	
62011038	H		FALSE		City Heights neighborhood San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	LMSAND AD is good like current but please change ISAND SD nest CNSAN w LMSAND for SD keep City Heights w rest of SD City City needs voice in Sac	
62011040	H		TRUE	nonprofit in Imperial serves disadvantaged Imperial county including border region and Coachella residents		Imperial	TRUE	Imperial should be with low inc communs in east Coachella Valley to have a voice for farmworker communs COI based on poor quality life high unemployment poor health lack of affordable housing lowest performing education poor air water quality	cont to have fairjust representation need to be combined not anymore w affluent communities
62011041	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep east SD Co together Jamul Julian Santee El Cajon	
62011042	H		FALSE		Downtown San Diego	San Diego	TRUE	keep as much as possible of SD city united so that one rep	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011037		University City is triangle between hwys 5 805 52 just inland of La Jolla contains east campus and med center	TRUE	TRUE		
62011038		City Heights area is east of 15 north of 94 south of 8	TRUE	TRUE		
62011040	Indio Thermal Mecca Coachella Cathedral City Blythe Palm Desert		FALSE	TRUE		
62011041			FALSE	TRUE		
62011042			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011037			FALSE							
62011038			FALSE							
62011040			FALSE							
62011041			FALSE							
62011042			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011043	H		FALSE				FALSE	process comment	
62011044	H	pastor	TRUE	pastor on point collaborative AfrAmer w Latino pop	San Diego 57 yrs	San Diego	TRUE	May 14 submitted AD proposal Pastors on Pt Latino Redist org third org Latino African immigrants concerned that you ignored that Sherman Heights Golden Hills excluded exclude areas north of I8	
62011045	H	from Sudan	FALSE		City Heights 12 yrs represents refugee community in City Heights	San Diego	TRUE	keep city heights intact another community member submitted map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011043			FALSE	FALSE		
62011044			FALSE	TRUE		
62011045			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011043		VRA is big problem groups threatening to sue RPV and inline process review needed asap why taking so long	FALSE				concerned w lawsuit wants CRC to draw lines instead of cts			
62011044			FALSE							
62011045			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011046	H S		FALSE			San Diego 13 yrs in county	TRUE	AD RCHMM most of API but excludes Poway put in NESAN Poway connect to RCHMM cities not to east PUSD split cause schls in Carmel Mountain Ranch Rancho Pensaq Rancho Bernardo SD NESAN is urbansuburban rural June 24 CAPAFR will submit fix	
62011047	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Poway needs to be in AD RCHMM to reflect COI school district is split SD NESAN puts urban w suburban which makes no sense	
62011048	H S		FALSE		represents Border Patrol in Imperial Co	Imperial	TRUE	re border in Imperial County draw ADSD like CD	
62011049	H S		FALSE	represents CambodianAmer community	City Heights	San Diego	TRUE	keep together cambodianAPI community in City Heights 45th 47th street has nothing in common w rural communities	
62011050	H		FALSE		Golden Hills	San Diego	TRUE	Golden Hills should be w Hillcrest City Heights Rolling Hills	
62011051	H		FALSE		Normal Heights City of San Diego	San Diego	TRUE	Do not connect to Imperial co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011046	Sikh community is mostly in Poway Mira Mesa Rancho Penasquitos Sabor Springs Rancho Bernardo		FALSE	TRUE		
62011047			FALSE	TRUE		
62011048			FALSE	TRUE		
62011049			FALSE	TRUE		
62011050			FALSE	TRUE		
62011051			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011046			FALSE					TRUE		
62011047			FALSE					TRUE		
62011048			FALSE					TRUE		
62011049			FALSE					TRUE		
62011050			FALSE							
62011051			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011054	H S		FALSE		North Park	San Diego	TRUE	problem w AD SD CNSAN should be w LMSAND not SSAND CNSAN is not good for North Park as splits school district	
62011055	H		FALSE		Sherman Heights	San Diego	TRUE	Sherman Heights should be w Barrio Logan	
62011056	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Poway should be w rest of API communitysikh same school district importance of education similar to Mira Mesa Kerney Mesa Rancho Penesquitas Rancho Bernardo Sorrento Valley Paradise Hills	
62011057	H		TRUE	Latinos in Action representative	City Heights San Diego City	San Diego	TRUE	keep Latino communities together	
62011058	H	UCSD undergrad on student govt	FALSE				TRUE	AD s split the UCSD undergrad community live off campus in UTC area and in different AD than campus itself move AD boundary to 805 fwy takes in 25000 people w same demographics	
62011059	H	UCSD undergrad SD local	FALSE				TRUE	same as 58 move AD boundary to 805 so undergrad together and have voice	
62011060	H	UCSD undergrad	FALSE				TRUE	move boundary so UCSD with UTC area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011054			FALSE	TRUE		
62011055			FALSE	TRUE		
62011056			FALSE	TRUE		
62011057			FALSE	TRUE		
62011058			FALSE	TRUE		
62011059			FALSE	TRUE		
62011060			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011054			FALSE					TRUE		
62011055			FALSE							
62011056			FALSE					TRUE		
62011057			FALSE							
62011058			FALSE							
62011059			FALSE							
62011060			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011061	H S		TRUE	East County Action Coalition	Lakeside east SD County	San Diego	TRUE	east SD County is a COI recreation area equestrian BR communities for City fire risk watershed shared if merge w Imperial Co would devastate east Co	
62011062	H S		TRUE	represents LGBT community in SD	College Area 34 yrs City of SD	San Diego	TRUE	LGBT meets definition of COI but hard to know where live cause not in Census first draft did good job uniting LGBT in CNSAN except Tamladge College West communities fix by nesting LMSAN w CNSAN	
62011063	H		FALSE		Point Loma	San Diego	TRUE	coastal areas in SD should be nested with college areas in LMSAND	
62011064	H		FALSE				TRUE	SD s should be nested w common SES look at topography not freeways take south orange from san diego and put in Del mar and Solana Beach Santee goes into NE San Deigo in college area intact	
62011065	H		TRUE	Calexico city Council member	Calexico	Imperial	TRUE	Imperial should be w east Coachella	
62011066	H		TRUE	Latino Redistr Group of San Diego			TRUE	keep historic Latino areas together also in OrangeSan Diego area refer to previous testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011061			FALSE	TRUE		
62011062			FALSE	TRUE		
62011063			FALSE	TRUE		
62011064			FALSE	TRUE		
62011065			FALSE	TRUE		
62011066			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011061			FALSE					TRUE		
62011062			FALSE					TRUE		
62011063			FALSE							
62011064			FALSE							
62011065			FALSE							
62011066			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011067	H		FALSE				TRUE	City Heights needs to be united Oceanside Escondido together w other Latino areas will submit testimony	
62011069	H S		FALSE			Imperial	TRUE	Imperial Valley w eastern Coachella water agricultural COI also historical ties of both valleys	
62011070	H		FALSE	Oceanside City Council	Oceanside	San Diego	TRUE	Oceanside should not be w OC in AD SD as nothing in common w OC different jobs media orients itself toward San Diego	
62011071	H		FALSE		Lemon Grove	San Diego	TRUE	Lemon Grove is part of AfAmer community needs to be with other AfrAmer neighborhoods in AD SD maximize AfrAmer in districts especially in LMSAND	
62011072	H		FALSE		Imperial Valley	Imperial	TRUE	Imperial Valley needs good representation wants to be w east Coachella because share unemployment pollution poverty	
62011075	H S		FALSE	Rancho Sante Fe Assoc homeowners association since 1927	Rancho Sante Fe	San Diego	TRUE	Rancho Sante Fe should not be in AD SD of draft maps only unincorporated area in AD AD border cuts through school districts fire sewer ambulance districts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011067			FALSE	TRUE		
62011069			FALSE	TRUE		
62011070			FALSE	TRUE		
62011071			TRUE	TRUE		
62011072			FALSE	TRUE		
62011075			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011067			FALSE							
62011069			FALSE					TRUE		
62011070			FALSE							
62011071			FALSE							
62011072			FALSE							
62011075			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011076	H		FALSE				TRUE	Rancho Sante Fe Fire District in 2 districts in AD SD draft maps should not be in Ocean or city districts would be good to be in RCHMM	
62011077	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD SD lines not good for UCSD undergrads move line from 5 to 805 to unite UCSD community	
62011078	H		FALSE		El Cajon	San Diego	TRUE	District should go northeast city heights should be reunited barrio logan w sherman heights Hwys 1578 more in common than OC	
62011080	H		FALSE				TRUE	Navajo area at hwys 8 15 Terra Santa from Navajo area is good keep that way	
62011081	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep UCSD area together by moving border from 5 to 805	
62011082	H		FALSE				TRUE	north Claremont split from south Claremont Bay Park should be together	
62011083	H		FALSE				TRUE	Normal Heights Logan Heights and Sherman heights should be together Chicano Park is cut out of Barrio Logan historic area look at 8th district council map to see where community is VRA district at Logan Heights Fire Station	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011076			FALSE	TRUE		
62011077			FALSE	TRUE		
62011078			FALSE	TRUE		
62011080			FALSE	TRUE		
62011081			FALSE	TRUE		
62011082			FALSE	TRUE		
62011083			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011076			FALSE							
62011077			FALSE							
62011078			FALSE							
62011080			FALSE							
62011081			FALSE							
62011082			FALSE							
62011083			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011085	H		FALSE				TRUE	Do not nest in south san diego area do not connect east San diego w imperial ok to put paradise hills w national city imperial should be w border area that goes up west side of San Diego dont split Barrio Logan do not put OceansideEscondido w OC	
62011086	H		FALSE				TRUE	unify Barrio Logan w Sherman Heights nest LMSAND CNSAND	
62011088	H		FALSE		Golden Hill	San Diego	TRUE	Oceanside should not be w OC CSAND w Linda Vista other lowinc communities makes no sense No SD w imperial VRA district for SD is big issue CAPAFR has proposal for that follow it	
62011091	H S	Cambodian interpreter	FALSE		City Heights	San Diego	TRUE	SE Asian community should be together in LMSAND put with north San Diego communities and not with rural communties	
62011092	H		FALSE				TRUE	east county AD dont put east county w Imperial County put w northeast El Cajon this is a shopping and recreation COI	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011085			FALSE	TRUE		
62011086			FALSE	TRUE		
62011088			FALSE	TRUE		
62011091			FALSE	TRUE		
62011092			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011085			FALSE							
62011086			FALSE							
62011088			FALSE							
62011091			FALSE					TRUE		
62011092			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62011093	H		FALSE				TRUE	Coachella Valley w Imperial in AD agriculture Salton Sea renewable energy imperial has nothing to do w San Diego nothing in common w country clubs	
62011094	H		FALSE	City of Coachella Mayor	Coachella City	Riverside	TRUE	concern w all draft districts 98 Latino community median age 24 yrs similar to Imperial Co poor community Palm Desert 89 white same as Rancho Mirage Indian wells West Imperial East Coach together tourism Riverside only 1 Bill vs 5 Billy agr	
62011097	H		FALSE	San Diego Imperial Labor Council		San Diego	TRUE	two letters about border area COI	
62011100	H		FALSE		Carlsbad	San Diego	TRUE	fix UCSD area	
62011101	H		FALSE				TRUE	east Coachella Val should be VRA district w Imperial and along the border redo the nesting	
62011102	H		FALSE		Chula Vista	San Diego	TRUE	largest Filipino community in continental US keep it together	
62011104	H		FALSE		Rancho Santa Fe	San Diego	TRUE	for North County Oceanside down to the coast keep UCSD together to hwy 805 add in Kearny mesa	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62011093			FALSE	TRUE		
62011094			FALSE	TRUE		
62011097			FALSE	TRUE		
62011100			FALSE	TRUE		
62011101			FALSE	TRUE		
62011102			FALSE	TRUE		
62011104			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62011093			FALSE							
62011094			FALSE							
62011097			FALSE							
62011100			FALSE							
62011101			FALSE							
62011102			FALSE							
62011104			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211001	H		FALSE		simi valley	ventura	TRUE	Simi Valley should not be with Santa Clarita Santa Clarita is 3 freeways and over 40 minutes from Simi Valley There is no COI for Simi Valley with Santa Clarita Lancaster Fillmore and Santa Paula might be better with SCL because they are connected	
62211002	H		FALSE			Ventura	TRUE	Santa Catalina should be with Long Beach People move to Simi Valley to get out of SFV Officials would have to travel very far based on this draft it is one of the biggest districts in the state dont separate Ventura county	Ventura Long Beach
62211003	H		FALSE		Thousand Oaks	Ventura	TRUE	Want East county cities Simi Valley Thousand Oaks West Lake Village Oak Park Moor Park together in the CD AD and SD Separate from SFV dont want to be with Calabasas Woodland Hills Northridge Rolling Hills etc	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211001	Simi Valley Santa Clarita Lancaster Santa Paula Fillmore		FALSE	FALSE		
62211002	Simi Valley Santa Catalina Long Beach		FALSE	FALSE		
62211003	Simi Valley Thousand Oaks West Lake Village Oak Park Moor Park Northridge WoodlandHills Calabasas Rolling Hills		FALSE	TRUE	safer in Ventura share community sports etc	In their small business they serve customers from Oak Park Thousand Oaks previously mentioned COI not Conoga Park Northridge Calabasas NOT from SFV Sales tax is cheaper in Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211001			FALSE					FALSE		
62211002			FALSE							
62211003			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211004	H S		TRUE	Las Virgines Homeowners Federation President	Las Virgines		TRUE	LASCV district is barely contiguous the connection is artificial and seperates COIs COIs are eastwest bound to coast NOT NS Santa Clarita and Las Virgines should not be together S Clarita should be with Antelope Valley Lancaster Palmdale	
62211005	H S		FALSE				TRUE	SD should be combined AD 41 and 42 Santa Monica Mountains Bay Las Virgines Malibu 405 and 401 and PCH Built around backbone of Santa Monica Mtns	
62211006	H S		FALSE	Las Virgines Homeowners Federation delegate			TRUE	CDs do not work because they split up Santa Monica Mountains Recreation Area It splits this area 3 ways Keep Santa Monica Mtns Recreation Area intact Las Virgines is a distinct area including Agoura Hills Calabasas etc	
62211007	H S		FALSE		Malibu		TRUE	Do not put Gorman Santa Clarita Chatsworth to Malibu district Take lower part of LASVC and combine with LAVSF and LAVS They share fire protection etc	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211004	Santa Clarita Las Virgines Lancaster Palmdale Antelope Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211005	Las Virgines Malibu Santa Monica Mountains		FALSE	TRUE	public education institutions	
62211006	Las Virgines Agoura Hills Calabasas		FALSE	FALSE		
62211007	Gorman Santa Clarita Chatsworth Malibu		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211004			FALSE					TRUE	long comment with map on three sheet of taped together paper from Las Virgines Homeowners Federation	
62211005			FALSE					TRUE	map with comment taped to it from Las Virgines Homeowners Federation	
62211006			FALSE						map with comment taped to it from Las Virgines Homeowners Federation	
62211007			FALSE					TRUE	2 letters with maps attached	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211008	H		FALSE				TRUE	Simi Valley and Moor Park in Ventura county CD and the AD with Santa Clarita Valley	Ventura
62211009	H S		FALSE	City Council for city of Simi Valley	Simi Valley		TRUE	Keep Simi Valley intact and with all the communities in Ventura county Boundaries for Simi Valleys CD are bad it splits Simi Valley divides neighborhood	Ventura
62211010	H S		TRUE	Latino Policy Forum			TRUE	Malibu has different issues than Santa Clarita N Ventura is more agricultural than Malibu COIs in North Ventura county with Santa Clarita not Malibu	Ventura
62211011	H		TRUE	California League of Conservation Voters Vice President			TRUE	Westside Santa Monica AD keep Ballona wetlands in one district LASCV SD keep Santa Monica Mountain Conservancy and wetlands together Thanks for numbering odd SDs North to South Keep odd SDs odd Wetlands boundaries are Marina del Rey and LAX	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211008	Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211009	Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211010	Santa Clarita Malibu		FALSE	FALSE		
62211011			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211008			FALSE							
62211009			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter from City of Simi Valley	
62211010			FALSE					TRUE	3 maps	
62211011			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211012	H		TRUE	Ventura County Board of Education	Simi Valley	Ventura	TRUE	AD should be all of eastern Ventura county include Camarillo Casa Conejo Thousand Oaks Moor Park Simi ValleySD should be with Santa Clarita not Santa Barbara group w other inland valley towns CDSimi ValleyMpark should be with rest of Ventura	Ventura
62211013	H		FALSE		Thousand Oaks		TRUE	CD Moor Park Simi Valley and T Oaks should be together Combine Ventura with Santa Barbara for CD SD do not split Thousand Oaks You could make an E county seat and W county seat if you have to split T Oaks Oxnard can be sepearted from others	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211014	H		FALSE		Santa Rosa Valley	Ventura	TRUE	Keep Simi Valley and Moor Park with East Ventura County not with Lancaster East Ventura County with Santa Clarita AD Keep inland suburbia cities together and coastal cities together East Ventura can go with Santa Barbara	Ventura Santa Barbara

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211012	Camarillo Casa Conejo Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Clarita Santa Barbara		FALSE	TRUE		
62211013	Simi Valley Moor Park Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211014	Lancaster Simi Valley Moor Park		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211012			FALSE							
62211013			FALSE							
62211014			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211015	H		TRUE	California Lutheran University President	Thousand Oaks		TRUE	AD Thousand Oaks should be unified Oxnard should be unified SD inland areas with Santa Clarita makes more sense than with coastal Thousand Oaks not similar to Santa Barbara CD Simi Valley and Moor Park together with Ventura	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211016	H S		FALSE		Thousand Oaks	Ventura	TRUE	Thousand Oaks Moor Park and Simi Valley are a COI 101 and 23 Freeways bind these three Do not make Thousand Oaks part of LA County Do not split Thousand Oaks	Ventura
62211017	H		FALSE			Ventura	TRUE	LA and Ventura do not have anything in common Do not put Ventura county with LA in CD	Ventura LA
62211018	H		FALSE		Canejo	Ventura	TRUE	do not split Thousand Oaks in AD Thousand Oaks shares interest with Simi Valley Use county line as boundary	
62211019	H		FALSE		Camarillo		TRUE	CD Simi Valley and Moor Park should not be with Lancaster Ventura should be with coastal Santa Barbara	Ventura Santa Barbara

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211015	Thousand Oaks Oxnard Moor Park Santa Barbara		FALSE	FALSE		
62211016	Thousand Oaks Moor Park Simi Valley		FALSE	TRUE	equestrian firefighting	
62211017			FALSE	FALSE		
62211018	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley		FALSE	TRUE	share schools	
62211019	Simi Valley Moor Park Lancaster		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211015			FALSE							
62211016			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony 1 map	
62211017			FALSE							
62211018			FALSE							
62211019			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211020	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD Simi Valley and Moor Park are linked Move Ventura into district with Santa Barbara Let Simi Valley and Moor Park stay with East Ventura county	Ventura Santa Barbara
62211021	H		FALSE		Malibu		TRUE	SD Malibu and Thousand Oaks do not have common interest Coastal communities are COI do not have things in common with inland communities PCH is deadly and shared interest of the coastal communities not inland communities	
62211022	H		FALSE		Oxnard		TRUE	Simi Valley should be kept with San Fernando Valley Keep Oxnard whole in AD	
62211023	H S		TRUE	Chairmen of Board Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	SD Santa Clarita AD with Eastern Ventura County AD including Simi Valley Moor Park Camarillo CD excludes Newhall Put Newhall with West Santa Clarita	
62211024	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Keep Oxnard whole in AD dont use NS lines dont put Oxnard with Simi Valley because Oxnard has a lot of immigrants Unify Thousand Oaks in AD Apply EW demarcation for East Ventura County Same problem in CDs	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211020	Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Barbara		FALSE	TRUE	Simi Valley and Moor Park are linked by geographic area and roads that go to and from them	
62211021	Thousand Oaks Malibu	PCH	FALSE	FALSE		
62211022			FALSE	FALSE		
62211023			FALSE	FALSE		
62211024	Oxnard Simi Valley Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211020			FALSE							
62211021			FALSE							
62211022			FALSE							
62211023			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter from Santa Clarita Valley Chamber of Commerce	
62211024			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony 2 copies	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211025	H		FALSE		Simi Valley		TRUE	CD Do not put Simi Valley and Moor Park with Victorville too far away No COI of Simi Valley with Victorville or Lake LA	
62211026	H		FALSE	City Council of Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	TRUE	Keep Santa Barbara county whole including longpoke	Santa Barbara
62211027	H		FALSE		San Buenaventura		TRUE	Keep Simi Valley with rest of Ventura county	Ventura
62211028	H S		TRUE	Supervisors office of LA County			TRUE	respect intergrity of 101 corridor Santa Monica Bay and Westside region SD Santa Clarita does not utilize good principals needs to be corrected 2 AD Thousand Oaks and Santa Monica are logical starting points	
62211029	H S		FALSE				TRUE	SD LASCV you should nest Thousand Oaks and Westside Santa Monica district together w Malibu AD Keep mountain community intact Include WSFV into Thousand Oaks along 101 corridor NS is not a COI Santa Clarita has more in common with Antelope Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211025	Simi Valley Moor Park Victorville Lake LA		FALSE	FALSE		
62211026	Longpoke Santa Barbara		FALSE	FALSE		
62211027	Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211028	Santa Clarita Santa Monica Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211029	Thousand Oaks Antelope Valley Santa Clarita Malibu		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211025			FALSE							
62211026			FALSE							
62211027			FALSE							
62211028			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter from LA Board of Supervisors	
62211029			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211030	H S		TRUE	Studio City Residents			TRUE	Studio City should be whole with San Fernando Valley not with Santa Clarita Studio City belongs to Santa Monica going to the West Nest Studio City in with Malibu and West Santa Monica Mountains Clean up Studio City boundaries	
62211031	H S		FALSE		Pacific Palisades		TRUE	Santa Clarita should NOT be with Santa Monica Mtns Nest ADs LAVSF and LAWSC	
62211032	H		FALSE		Moor Park		TRUE	SD Simi Valley up to Santa Barbara is bad Moor Park should be part of Santa Clarita AD Simi Valley and Thousand Oaks should be together CD Simi Valley and Moor Park should be part of inland communities Ventura city with Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara
62211033	H		FALSE		Simi Valley	Ventura	TRUE	SD does not nest the right districts together Inland seats need to be with inland coastal need to be with coastal CD Simi Valley and Moor Park should not be with Lancaster Ventura should be with Santa Barbara Keep Simi Valley intact in general	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211030	Studio City		FALSE	FALSE		
62211031	Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211032	Ventura Simi Valley Moor Park Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211033	Simi Valley Moor Park Lancaster Ventura Santa Barbara		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211030			FALSE					TRUE	Blue folder Studio City Residents Association	
62211031			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony	
62211032			FALSE							
62211033			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211034	H		FALSE		Thousand Oaks		TRUE	United county is important Thousand Oaks Camarillo do not have anything to do with San Fernanado Valley CD Simi Valley Moor Park should be with E Ventura Santa Barbara with Ventura City	
62211035	H		TRUE	Moor Park Chamber of Commerce President			TRUE	AD keep E Ventura County together dont split Thousand Oaks SD Simi Valley Moor Park need to be nested keep Coast with Coast and Inland with Inland CD remove Ventura	Ventura
62211036	H		FALSE		Santa Paula		TRUE	Keep Oxnard intact keep Thousand Oaks intact and should be with E Ventura county Include Simi Valley and Moor Park with Santa Clarita in SD	
62211037	H		FALSE		Simi Valley		TRUE	Simi Valley is not related to Oxnard or anything west of it or any coastal Thousand Oaks should be with Simi Valley Agricultural and Coastal do not have anything in common with Simi Valley Inland cities have more in common with Santa Clarita	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211034	Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Barbara Ventura Thousand Oaks Camarillo		FALSE	FALSE		
62211035	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Moor Park Ventura		FALSE	FALSE		
62211036	Thousand Oaks Oxnard Simi Valley Moor Park		FALSE	FALSE		
62211037	Simi Valley Thousand Oaks Oxnard		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211034			FALSE							
62211035			FALSE							
62211036			FALSE							
62211037			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211038	H S		TRUE	Sycamore Canyon Village Homeowners Association Board of Directors	Simi Valley		TRUE	CD divides neighborhoods Not good Residents living on same street will be in different districts Keep Simi Valley intact and in Ventura county	
62211039	H		FALSE		Somas		TRUE	dont break up Ventura county CD Ventura city with Santa Barbara Put Coast with coast inland with inland for SD AD Woodranch should not be cut in two take out Oxnard and put with Santa Barbara	Ventura
62211040	H S		FALSE	Oxnard School District Trustee			TRUE	Keep Thousand Oaks whole in AD Simi Valley has more in common with Santa Clarita and LA EVENT district should follow CD pattern	
62211041	H		FALSE		Thousand Oaks		TRUE	Santa Clarita should not be included with Agoura Hills Westlake Village Thousand Oaks CD should be from Thousand Oaks to Woodland Hills because of high tech areas and environmental reason of Santa Monica Range	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211038	Simi Valley		TRUE	FALSE		
62211039	Ventura Santa Barbara Woodranch Oxnard		FALSE	FALSE		
62211040	Santa Clarita Thousand Oaks Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211041	Santa Clarita Agoura Hills Westlake Village Thousand Oaks Woodland Hills		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211038			FALSE					TRUE	Sycamore Canyon Village map	
62211039			FALSE							
62211040			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter from Oxnard School District	
62211041			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211042	H		FALSE		Thousand Oaks West Lake Village		TRUE	Thousand Oaks should be put in all three districts that go EAST along 101 TOaks has most in common with those in Canejo Valley AD and SD ok Santa Clarita is too far away to be w TOaksCDput TOaks with Woodland Hills West Valley Agoura Hills	
62211043	H		FALSE		Border Ranch	West San Fernando Valley	TRUE	Unite WSF valley communities that are split Dont split Reseda and Northridge and put with West Valley SFValley Redistricting Collaborative has good proposal	
62211044	H		TRUE	LA City Board of Neighborhood Commissioners			TRUE	keep Tarzana whole CD West Valley district West Valley communities should swap with East Valley communities E and S of 405 to make a more unified West Valley district Keep Reseda whole	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211042	Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita Woodland Hills West Valley Agoura Hills		FALSE	FALSE		
62211043	Reseda Northridge		FALSE	FALSE		
62211044	Tarzana Reseda		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211042			FALSE							
62211043			FALSE							
62211044			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211045	H S		TRUE	San Fernanado Valley Redistricting Coalition			TRUE	CD Reunify Northridge Reseda Lake Balboa and Sherman Oaks into West SFV district Reunify N Hollywood Van Nuys in ESFV All of Studio City in WLA Shadow Hills Tohunga into Foothill D Swap pop Between valley districts Section 2 district	
62211046	H S		FALSE	San Fernanado Valley Redistricting Coalition			TRUE	ADs Reunify Canoga Park Valley Glen and Van Nuys in WSFV West Hills and Studio City into Ventura Granada Hills w Santa Clarita N Hollywood in ESFV Split Northhills into Santa Clarita and ESFV Likes SDs Teluca Lake should be nested	
62211047	H		FALSE		West San Fernando Valley		TRUE	Reunify Reseda and Northridge in WSFV Valley communities should be kept whole and either in East or West Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211045	Northridge Reseda Lake Balboa Sherman Oaks North Hollywood Van Nuys Studio City Shado Hills Tohunga		FALSE	FALSE		
62211046	Canoga Park Valley Glen Van Nuys West Hills Studio City Ventura Santa Clarita Granada Hills Northhills Teluca Lake		FALSE	FALSE		
62211047	Reseda Northridge		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211045			FALSE					TRUE	5 large CD maps from VICA	
62211046			FALSE					TRUE	6 large AD maps from VICA	
62211047			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211048	H		FALSE	Valley Industry and Commerce Association			TRUE	Neighborhood vs Community maps Use neighborhood council lines when cities need to split Some cities do not have neighborhood councils Neighborhood council lines are inaccurate in many cases and leave out pieces of communities	
62211049	H S		FALSE		Reseda		TRUE	Reseda is considered hub of WSFV Do not split Reseda in CD Keep Reseda in WSFV Good job keeping other WSFV communities together Dont split Northridge	
62211050	H S		FALSE		Canejo Valley	Ventura	TRUE	AD and SD LASCV OxnardThousand Oaks is COI Bond is strong with E and S to the Ocean Coastal and Inland are distinct SD OxnardThousand Oaks Unity map is better Dont split Thousand Oaks dont put with Santa Clarita	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211048			FALSE	FALSE		
62211049	Norhtridge Reseda		FALSE	FALSE		
62211050	Oxnard Thousand Oaks		FALSE	TRUE	Hiking in Santa Monica Mountains Oxnard and Thousand Oaks work together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211048			FALSE			Neighborhood vs Community maps Use neighborhood council lines when cities need to split Some cities do not have neighborhood councils		FALSE		
62211049			FALSE					TRUE	photo of Reseda sign	
62211050			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211051	H S		FALSE		Thousand Oaks		TRUE	SD LASCV Keep Thousand Oaks together do not link with Santa Clarita Link Santa Clarita with Palmdale Lancaster Thousand Oaks is better connected to Malibu Extend SD along 405 including Santa Monica Bay area SD is bad Malibu w Santa Monica Bay	
62211052	H S		TRUE	CAUSE			TRUE	AD EVENT Keep Oxnard whole Keep Thousand Oaks whole Moor Park into SBWV LOOK AT CAUSE MAP	
62211053	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD South Asian population in SFV Keep Tarzana Reseda along 405 intact in CD	
62211054	H S		FALSE	Clergy United for Economic Justice Ventura County	Thousand Oaks		TRUE	Do not divide Oxnard Simi Valley and Oxnard should be separate in AD SBVENT unity map keep everything whole	
62211055	H S		FALSE	member of CLUEJ Mexican American Bar Assoc			TRUE	EVENT ADkeep Oxnard and Port Hueneme together with Camarillo and Thousand Oaks SBVENT COI with Oxnard and Port Hueneme Simi Valley is distinct and should not be with them 126 splits city of Ventura	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211051	Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita Palmdale Lancaster Thousand Oaks Malibu		FALSE	FALSE		
62211052	Oxnard Thousand Oaks Moor Park		FALSE	FALSE		
62211053	Tarzana Reseda		FALSE	FALSE		
62211054	Oxnard Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211055	Onard Port Hueneme Simi Valley Camarillo Thousand Oaks Ventura		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211051			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony	
62211052			FALSE					TRUE	USB stick with nothing on it 4 maps on 2 pages	
62211053			FALSE							
62211054			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony 4 maps	
62211055			FALSE					TRUE	4 maps on 2 pages	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211056	H S		TRUE	Ventura County supervisor	Oxnard	Ventura	TRUE	Oxnard has been split historically where their representation is marginal Keep Oxnard whole AD keep Oxnard and Port Hueneme together Likes OxnardThousand Oaks unity make from CAUSE	
62211057	H		FALSE		Chatsworth		TRUE	SD Chatsworth Malibu is not good Include upper Chatsworth with Santa Clarita with Simi Valley and E Ventura C Reincorporate Reseda and Northridge into WSFV ResedaNorthridge in West SFV for CD	Ventura
62211058	H		FALSE		Simi Valley		TRUE	SD Simi Valley has nothing in common with Santa Barbara Keep inland with inland and coastal with coastal	Santa Barbara
62211059	H		FALSE		Oak Park	Ventura	TRUE	Eastern Ventura County communities should be kept together Oak Park bw Westlake Village and Thousand Oaks Ventura County all in one AD SD should be all inland together Nest EVENT AD with Santa Clarita AD for SD Share housing land use patterns	Ventura

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211056	Oxnard Port Hueneme		FALSE	FALSE		
62211057	Chatsworth Malibu Santa Clarita Simi Valley Reseda Norridge		FALSE	FALSE		
62211058	Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211059	Oak Park Westlake Village and Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211056			FALSE					TRUE	2 letters Board of Supervisors Ventura County 1 other 4 maps	
62211057			FALSE							
62211058			FALSE							
62211059			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211060	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD dont split Northridge and Reseda Swap with Studio City and Sherman Oaks	
62211061	H		FALSE		Agoura Hills		FALSE	Agoura Hills has COI with West Valley communities Communities between Westlake Village and 405 freeway Move Sherman Oaks and Studio City into East Valley	
62211062	H		TRUE	Camarillo Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	Keep Camarillo whole with East SD Heritage Valley and Santa Clarita River Valley dont end at LA line	
62211063	H		TRUE	Mayor of Thousand Oaks			TRUE	AD Thousand Oaks should remain part of Ventura County Supports CAUSE proposal SD Simi Valley and Moor Park should be part of northern LA county Thousand Oaks should NOT be w LA county CDNorthern county has more in common with Santa Clarita good	
62211064	H		TRUE	Mayor pro tem of Thousand Oaks		Ventura	TRUE	CD is good Thousand Oaks should remain whole with Ventura county it will get swallowed by LA Thousand Oaks are in COI with Ventura public services NOT with Valley Would rather be split with Ventura than whole with LA	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211060	Northridge Reseda Studio City Sherman Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211061	Agoura Hills Sudio City Westlake Village Sherman Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211062	Camarillo Heritage Valley Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211063	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Moor Park Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211064	Thousand Oaks Ventura LA		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211060			FALSE							
62211061			FALSE							
62211062			FALSE							
62211063			FALSE							
62211064			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211065	H		TRUE	Greater Conejo Valley Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	Thousand Oaks should remain part of Ventura County NOT with LA SD Santa Clarita should not be with Thousand Oaks	Ventura
62211066	H		FALSE		Simi Valley		TRUE	AD keep Oxnard intact dont let EVENT hit ocean SD nest NWSFV and Santa Clarita with EVENT Put in Woodranch and take out Piru	
62211067	H S		TRUE	CAUSE			TRUE	Oxnard Port Hueneme El Rio Santa Clara Valley are COI AD is bad because it splits Oxnard and doesnt align with W and E Ventura county COIs Other districts ok because they keep COI together	
62211068	H		TRUE	Mayor of Malibu			TRUE	SD Malibu shares school district with Santa Monica 101 405 PCH are transit corridors COI Nest ADs and keep spereate from Santa Clarita SD is bad	
62211069	H		FALSE		Studio City		TRUE	SD Dont divide between neighborhood council lines use them as demarcations Sherman Oaks Teluca Lake Universal City are in COI with Studio City	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211065	Thousand Oaks Santa Clarita LA		FALSE	FALSE		
62211066	Woodranch Piru Oxnard Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211067	Port Hurneme Oxnard Ek Rio Santa Clara Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211068	Malibu Santa Monica Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211069	Sherman Oaks Teluca Lake Universal City Studio City		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211065			FALSE							
62211066			FALSE							
62211067			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter CAUSE 3 pages of maps	
62211068			FALSE							
62211069			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211070	H		TRUE	Topanga Town Council	Topanga		TRUE	SD there is no connection between Topanga and Santa Clarita	
62211072	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211073	H S		FALSE		Topanga		TRUE	Keep Topanga with Pacific Palisades and Santa Monica Topanga is NOT a COI with Santa Clarita Do not include Gorman	
62211074	H S		FALSE		Studio City		TRUE	keep Sudio City whole speaker 30 and 69 make same points	
62211075	H S		FALSE		Studio City		TRUE	support speaker 74 and 30	
62211076	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Ojai Valley in favor of EVENT CD if lines exlude Simi Valley and Moor Park Dont split Ojai Valley from North Ojai Valley Thatcher school and Upper Orange Rose	
62211077	H		FALSE				TRUE	dont split Ojai keep whole from summit to Casitas Springs EVENT and SLOSB boundary cuts off a neighborhood Keep Ojai valley whole	
62211078	H		FALSE		Ojai		TRUE	happy with AD SBWVENT and SD Keep Ojai with West Ventura and Santa Barbara Ojai is similar to SB	Ventura Santa Barbara

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211070	Topanga Santa Clarita		FALSE	FALSE		
62211072			FALSE	FALSE		
62211073	Topanga Pacific Palisades Santa Monica Santa Clarita Gorman		FALSE	FALSE		
62211074	Studio City		FALSE	FALSE		
62211075			FALSE	FALSE		
62211076	Simi Valley Moor Park Ojai		FALSE	FALSE		
62211077	Ojai		FALSE	FALSE		
62211078			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211070			FALSE							
62211072			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	
62211073			FALSE					TRUE	mapquest directions to Gorman CA	
62211074			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	
62211075			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	
62211076			FALSE						1 letter 3 maps	
62211077			FALSE							
62211078			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211079	H S		FALSE			Ventura	TRUE	CD and SD are good Put Thousand Oaks in and get Simi Valley out in AD Oxnard Port Hueneme and Santa Clara Valley are COI Santa Paula was a section 2	
62211080	H		FALSE		Santa Paula		TRUE	AD ideally would include all of Santa Barbara and and exclude Simi Valley Moor Park and Thousand Oaks Keep Oxnard whole dont include Santa Paula Piru with Ventura in SD	Ventura
62211082	H		FALSE		Channel Island Services		TRUE	AD dont use NS line of Ventura Blvd to split Oxnard and Port Hueneme Use Gonzalez EW line and put North Oxnard with Santa Barbara	
62211083	H		FALSE	League of United Latin American Citizens Oxnard Council	Port Hueneme		TRUE	AD Do not split Oxnard Do not include Simi Valley Oxnard makes more sense with Piru Santa Clarita Santa Paula Fillmore	
62211084	H S		FALSE		Oxnard		TRUE	Oxnard Camarillo Port Hueneme Fillmore are a COI western Ventura Do not include Oxnard with LA county Like SD and CD Fix AD	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211079	Thousand Oaks Simi Valley Oxnard Port Hueneme Santa Paula Santa Clara Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211080	Oxnard Santa Paula Piru Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211082	Oxnard Port Hueneme		FALSE	FALSE		
62211083	Oxnard Simi Valley Piru Santa Clarita Santa Paula Fillmore		FALSE	FALSE		
62211084	Oxnard Camarillo Port Hueneme Fillmore		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211079			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter 1 court document	
62211080			FALSE							
62211082			FALSE							
62211083			FALSE							
62211084			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony 3 copies	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211085	H S		FALSE		Oxnard	Ventura	TRUE	CD and SD are good AD keep Oxnard whole with Santa Clara Valley and NOT with Simi Valley Oxnard with Port Hueneme and Santa Clara Valley are COI	
62211086	H S		FALSE	CAUSE			TRUE	likes SD and CD AD keep Oxnard whole Oxnard and Port Hueneme are a COI Supports CAUSE map which does not split Ventura	
62211087	H S		FALSE		Oxnard		TRUE	AD supports CAUSE map Oxnard Thousand Oaks Unity Plan	
62211088	H S		FALSE		Oxnard		TRUE	COI is Oxnard Fillmore Piru Port Hueneme Supports Oxnard Thousand Oaks Unity Map CAUSE map	
62211089	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Keep Conejo Valley Thousand Oaks area whole and with Simi Valley Keep Oxnard whole with its COI including El Rio Port Hueneme in West Ventura	
62211090	H		FALSE	pass			FALSE		
62211092	H		FALSE				TRUE	Keep Oxnard whole	
62211093	H S		FALSE		Santa Paula		TRUE	support CAUSES map that keeps Oxnard whole Dont disconnect Santa Clara valley Piru Santa Paula Fillmore it needs to be connected to city of Ventura	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211085	Oxnard Port Hueneme Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211086	Oxnard and Port Hueneme		FALSE	FALSE		
62211087	Oxnard Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211088	Oxnard Fillmore Piru Port Hueneme Thousand Oaks		FALSE	FALSE		
62211089	Oxnard Thousand Oaks Conejo Valley Port Hueneme El Rio		FALSE	FALSE		
62211090			FALSE	FALSE		
62211092	Oxnard		FALSE	FALSE		
62211093	Oxnard Ventura Piru Santa Paula Fillmore		FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211085			FALSE					TRUE	hand written letter	
62211086			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter 1 report 4 maps	
62211087			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter 1 map	
62211088			FALSE					TRUE	1 map	
62211089			FALSE					TRUE	4 maps 1 letter	
62211090			FALSE							
62211092			FALSE				applauds citizen participation			
62211093			FALSE					TRUE	1 map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62211097	H		FALSE		Thousand Oaks		TRUE	AD Camarillo Moor Park Thousand Oaks keep whole are a COI CD Thousand Oaks and Simi Valley and Moor Park should be together	
62211099	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211100	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211101	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211105	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211109	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211110	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211113	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211114	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211136	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211140	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62211150	S		FALSE				FALSE		
62311001	H S		FALSE		Ridgecrest		TRUE	Like all 3 districts Thanks for keeping Ridgecrest within Kern county lines and with China Lake Air Station We want these first lines to be the final lines	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62211097	Thousand Oaks Moor Park Camarillo Simi Valley		FALSE	FALSE		
62211099			FALSE	FALSE		
62211100			FALSE	FALSE		
62211101			FALSE	FALSE		
62211105			FALSE	FALSE		
62211109			FALSE	FALSE		
62211110			FALSE	FALSE		
62211113			FALSE	FALSE		
62211114			FALSE	FALSE		
62211136			FALSE	FALSE		
62211140			FALSE	FALSE		
62211150			FALSE	FALSE		
62311001			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62211097			FALSE							
62211099			FALSE					TRUE	1 map	
62211100			FALSE					TRUE	hand written notes	
62211101			FALSE					TRUE	1 map 1 letter 2 copies	
62211105			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter Lompc Valley Chamber of Commerce	
62211109			FALSE					TRUE	hand written notes	
62211110			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony 1 map 1 book passage	
62211113			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter 3 maps	
62211114			FALSE					TRUE	hand written testimony	
62211136			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony	
62211140			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter City of Lompoc	
62211150			FALSE					TRUE	1 testimony	
62311001			FALSE					TRUE	4 letters	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311002	H		TRUE	Nissei Farmers League			TRUE	San Joaquin Valley is distinct Extending valley lines into Foothill regions and into Bay Area is bad SJV has own air district Keep Merced intact in San Joaquin Valley San Joaquin is heavy agricultural	
62311003	H S		TRUE	Merced County Supervisor			TRUE	StanislausMerced County SD should not extend to San Jose Santa Cruz Drive over a mountain range different water needs is why MRCD district is bad Previous plan was better Salinas should not be with central valleythis district	
62311004	H		TRUE	California Latino Water Coalition			TRUE	opposes Merced SD and Foothill SD Latino farmworkers will lack voice in Sacramento with 1st draft lines Sacto foothills and Bay Area dont understand issues of Latinos in the valley High unemployment	
62311005	H S		FALSE	Merced County Farm Bureau			TRUE	Salinas Silicon Valley and San Joaquin Valley are not COI San Joaquin is agricultural has unique burdens Current SD is bad One rep cannot serve both San Joaquin and Salinas No Salinas in this district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311002			FALSE	FALSE		
62311003			FALSE	FALSE		
62311004			FALSE	FALSE		
62311005			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311002			FALSE							
62311003			FALSE					TRUE	1 map	
62311004			FALSE							
62311005			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter Merced County Farm Bureau	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311006	H		FALSE				TRUE	Foothill SD Madera is a straddle county mountain and valley Bulk of population lives in valley not in foothills Split county is ok Madera and Chowchilla goes best with Merced or Fresno	
62311007	H S		TRUE	Mexican American Political Association MAPA San Jaoquin valley			TRUE	Foothills SD splits westside of Fresno county Not good because farmerworkers are COI across the county See map	
62311008	H S		TRUE	El Concilio de Fresno			TRUE	AD Fresno 2 western good map Area for removal in Fresno North boundary Kings Canyon Rd East Armstrong St South California Ave West Minniwawa Removes Sunnyside see map	
62311009	H		TRUE	Madera County Farm Bureau			TRUE	Foothill and MRCD SDsFlatlands of Madera not in common w Foothills like Placer etc Foothills are white and affluentnot like valley floor Madera 54 Latino24 unemployed Madera with Fresno and MercedCD Split is ok valley has agriculture interests	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311006			FALSE	FALSE		
62311007			FALSE	FALSE		
62311008			FALSE	FALSE		
62311009			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311006			FALSE							
62311007			FALSE					FALSE		
62311008			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter El Concilio de Fresno 1 map	
62311009			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311010	H		TRUE	Madera Unified School District			TRUE	Madera shares concerns with Merced and Fresno not with Foothill region and Silicon Valley Madera has high Latino pop Who are employed by agriculture Valley floor are poorer communities no COI with Foothills	
62311011	H		FALSE			Merced	TRUE	bad air in Merced MercedMonterey SD bad pollutionstrict regulation MercedMonterey need own rep Monterey Santa Cruz Santa Clara should not be w Central Valley Central Valley Stanislaus Fresno Merced Madera ok Salinas ok if needed for VRA	
62311012	H S		TRUE	Lompoc City Council	Lompoc	Santa Barbara	TRUE	Dont split Longpoc in AD or SD Longpoc is NOT a correctional facility Do not split Longpoc is 40000 people Look into Ojai valley if needed or San Inez	
62311013	H S		TRUE	UC Merced			TRUE	San Joaquin Valley keep Valley together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311010			FALSE	FALSE		
62311011			FALSE	FALSE		
62311012			FALSE	FALSE		
62311013			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311010			FALSE							
62311011			FALSE							
62311012			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter City of Lompoc	
62311013			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311014	H S		TRUE	Merced County Supervisor			TRUE	SD is not good for Merced Merced is aligned with Central Valley NOT coastal counties Merced should not be with Monterey Santa Cruz Santa Clara Make district NS along 99	
62311015	H		FALSE				TRUE	Merced and Foothill SD Do not include Merced with Coast Do not include Merced and Fresno with Foothills	
62311016	H S		TRUE	Merced County Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	Merced SD Merced is agricultural Scilicon Valley is tech Merced should be entirely in Central Valley NOT with Scilicon Valley Merced should be with 99 corridor Stanislaus Madera Nothing in common with coast	
62311017	H S		TRUE	Tuolumne County Board of Supervisors			TRUE	Foothill district in AD SD CD The district is too big for one rep to drive through Stanislaus was historically part of Tuolumne Our interest are EW Modesto Align Foothills with Central Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311014			FALSE	FALSE		
62311015			FALSE	FALSE		
62311016			FALSE	FALSE		
62311017			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311014			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter Merced County	
62311015			FALSE							
62311016			FALSE						1 letter	
62311017			FALSE					TRUE	7 letters Fluetsch and Busby Insurance Merced County Sheriff City of Merced Tuolumne County Board of Supervisors Tuolumne County Chamber of Commerce EL Dorado Board of Supervisors	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311018	H		TRUE	Tuolomne Board of Supervisor			TRUE	Foothill SD CD and AD Boundaries should be pulled in from north and south and expanded to the West The population mostly comes from the N and S in this district Tuolomne has COI with Stanislaus Receive medical community college through EW	
62311019	H S		FALSE		San Louis Obispo		TRUE	CD Bakersfield should not be split Fix claw in Bakersfield No more ethnic gerrymandering Lets end safe districts	
62311020	H S		TRUE	Communities for New California			TRUE	support Maldef plan Fresno AD1 and 2 Move Sunnyside from Fresno 2 to Fresno 1 Sunnyside is unincorporated and should be with Old Fig Garden	
62311021	H		FALSE		Fowler		TRUE	AD SD and CD are good so far Foothills should not be drawn into Central Valley districts Fowler should be out of Foothills in SD	
62311022	H S		TRUE	Dolores Huerta Foundation Farmworker Membership	Bakersfield		TRUE	CD KINGS Clovis out East Porterville Orange Cove East Orosey Support Maldef map but include Shafter AD split Bakersfield on 99 north to Bernard St Dont split Arden	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311018			FALSE	FALSE		
62311019			FALSE	FALSE		
62311020			FALSE	FALSE		
62311021			FALSE	FALSE		
62311022			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311018			FALSE							
62311019			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter 2 maps	
62311020			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	
62311021			FALSE							
62311022			FALSE					TRUE	3 maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311023	H		TRUE	Dolores Huerta Foundation United Farm Workers of America			TRUE	Support Maldef proposal for SD KINGS AD and CD Keeping farmworkers together is imporant Farmworkers that work in Salinas come to San Joaquin Kettlemen City and go to the coast coast and central valley more in common than you think	
62311025	H		TRUE	Fresno Center for New American CAPAFR Fresno			TRUE	Lao Hmong are drawn in different districts in Fresno split South East Asian community share poverty and unemployment with Latino pop South East Asian community is COI with Latinos in VRA district	
62311026	H		TRUE	CAPAFR			TRUE	South East Asian community is split twice in AD SD split 3 times We know the neighborhood is large but if it must be divided inlcude area immediatly south of Sunnyside SE Asian COI boundaries FSEC2 NShields S41 ETemperence Jensen See Map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311023			FALSE	FALSE		
62311025			FALSE	FALSE		
62311026			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311023			FALSE							
62311025			FALSE							
62311026			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311027	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	Fresno API is split into 3 SD Keep API together as much as possible in SD AD include Sunnyside whole in FSEC2 Swap out sunnyside with FSEC1 as Latino community suggests	
62311028	H		TRUE	CAPAFR	Fresno		TRUE	SE Asian pop is mostly in SE Fresno Farmer COIs should be is FSEC2	
62311029	H		FALSE			Fresno	TRUE	CD Freeway 41 should be district divide would keep old neighborhoods together Divides Fresno in half 5 CDs in Fresno makes the election administration costs higher unnecessarily and means less polling places	
62311030	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Consider place based communities in the Merced SD MercedMont SD is bad King City and Hollister Santa Clara residents should not be part of Central Valley place based district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311027			FALSE	FALSE		
62311028			FALSE	FALSE		
62311029			FALSE	FALSE		
62311030			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311027			FALSE							
62311028			FALSE							
62311029			FALSE							
62311030			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311031	H S		FALSE				TRUE	San Joaquin COI largest agricultural are in USA can be recognized from space Keep San Joaquin together Do not include Santa Cruz and Santa Clara with San Joaquin Should not lose population in Valley and gain in Santa Clara	
62311032	H		FALSE				TRUE	CDs in general population standard is too tight this isnt what the US law says We think it should not be held to one person but could be higher like 1	
62311033	H		FALSE				TRUE	Doesnt support Maldef Dont use raceethnicity in drawing lines Other race based organizations are just going after tax payer money	
62311034	H		TRUE	Veterans Action Alliance			TRUE	300000 veterans in Central Valley Fresno CD use 41 as dividing line Make only 4 CDs NOT 5 it is bad for election administration costs too much	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311031			FALSE	FALSE		
62311032			FALSE	FALSE		
62311033			FALSE	FALSE		
62311034			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311031			FALSE					TRUE	1 letter	
62311032			FALSE							
62311033			FALSE							
62311034			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311035	H		TRUE	Former Merced County Supervisor			TRUE	Merced Stanislaus Fresno and Central Valley communities are COI distinct from urban or bedroom communities Nest Merced AD with FSEC2 SD that goes from Fresno to Placer is bad	
62311037	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	Kings and Fresno AD are good keep as majority Latino CVAP Please create one Latino majority CD 90 of growth was Latino but no additional seats were drawn Make Kings AD a Section 2 Support MaldefNaleo plan CRC are dilution Latino strength	
62311038	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	CD current divider is through 40 streets too confusing instead use hwy 41 as the divider Simplify and reduce number of CDs so that Fresno doesnt have so many reps	
62311039	H		TRUE	China Lake Alliance			TRUE	Keep Kern in one district for AD SD CD Most people at the Naval base live in Kern COI with Mojave airport	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311035			FALSE	FALSE		
62311037			FALSE	FALSE		
62311038			FALSE	FALSE		
62311039			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311035			FALSE							
62311037			FALSE							
62311038			FALSE							
62311039			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311040	H		TRUE	Tuolomne County Republican Central Committee		Tuolomne	TRUE	dont draw Nevada county to Fresno it is too far and that gives rural communities less of a voice Tuolomne should go with Merced or Stanislaus Draw in blocks not so great a distance across	
62311041	H		FALSE			Stanislaus	TRUE	Traffic flow is EW A NS district that focuses on Sacramento bedroom community would be a loss of representation	
62311043	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	CD Freeway 41 should be dividing line Limit CDs Fresno is needlessly divided and 5 CDs is too many It is too expensive for election administration	
62311044	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD Hwy 41 is good divider for Fresno It is totally logical and 41 as divider makes it easy for citizens to know who their representative is	
62311045	H		TRUE	MAPA			TRUE	CD is bad Latino growth is not reflected the CDs dilute Latino districts Consider Maldef and Naleos congressional maps	
62311046	H		TRUE	Fresno Redistricting Coalition	Fresno		TRUE	explains previously submitted maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311040			FALSE	FALSE		
62311041			FALSE	FALSE		
62311043			FALSE	FALSE		
62311044			FALSE	FALSE		
62311045			FALSE	FALSE		
62311046			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311040			FALSE							
62311041			FALSE							
62311043			FALSE							
62311044			FALSE							
62311045			FALSE							
62311046			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311047	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD Inyo Mono San bernadino district is not compact enough Mono should be with Foothills Glen and Siskiyou counties should not be split Imperial San Diego CD is not in the spirit of CRC guidelines Fresno split into less CDs	
62311049	H		FALSE				TRUE	reconsider SDs especially Foothill and Merced districts Central Valley should not be with Coastal areas like San Jose and Santa Cruz	
62311051	H		FALSE				TRUE	good CDs in central valley they will be competitive AD KingsKern and Bakersfield CD are ethnically gerrymandered It is better if AD Madera county is 2 counties lowland and highland Match Stanislaus lines with existing lines	
62311054	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	CD split Fresno EW down 41 Merced going to the coast is a bad	
62311055	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	CD draw divider for Fresno at 41 5 CDs in Fresno is bad	
62311056	H		FALSE			Placer	TRUE	CD linking Placer to Fresno is bad Depending on where rep is from they might be biased Keep Northern CA away from Central Valley	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311047			FALSE	FALSE		
62311049			FALSE	FALSE		
62311051			FALSE	FALSE		
62311054			FALSE	FALSE		
62311055			FALSE	FALSE		
62311056			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311047			FALSE							
62311049			FALSE							
62311051			FALSE							
62311054			FALSE							
62311055			FALSE							
62311056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311058	H		FALSE		Clovis friends in Auberry		TRUE	NS district is bad going all the way to Placer is too far NS districts mean too much travel time for representatives	
62311059	H		FALSE		Modesto		TRUE	SD Santa Clara County has no similarity to Modesto Do not include Modesto with Santa Clara County	
62311060	H		TRUE	Modesto City Council	Modesto		TRUE	Central Valley concerned with agriculture unemployment foreclosure rates Since the population is heavily centered on the west side the Central Valley will loose their voice Hard for a rep to represent tech and agriculture in same district	
62311062	H		FALSE		Atwater		TRUE	CD Merced Central Valley has high unemployment and foreclosure rate Nothing in common with Bay Area and Santa Clara COI is with 99 NOT 101 Go up NS not EW The district as is is too big	
62311063	H		FALSE		Merced		TRUE	MercedFoothill SD housing problems extreme foreclosures low property taxes people leaving empty houses Need representative from Central Valley Central Valley is distinct from Placer Calavaras Santa Clara	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311058			FALSE	FALSE		
62311059			FALSE	FALSE		
62311060			FALSE	FALSE		
62311062			FALSE	FALSE		
62311063			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311058			FALSE							
62311059			FALSE							
62311060			FALSE							
62311062			FALSE							
62311063			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311064	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	Take piece from Squaw Valley and put back into Fresno in order to have 4 CDs not 5 99 split in Fresno is good	
62311065	H		FALSE				TRUE	Good job CRC You are doing a fair job in the Valley Good job placing SW Fresno into a new CD and keeping cities whole Stay strong and focused	
62311069	H		FALSE			Madera	TRUE	Central Valley should not include Santa Cruz Santa Clara San Jose Madera is part of San Joaquin Valley base should be with Central Valley	
62311070	H		FALSE			Fresno	TRUE	KINGS CD thank you for excluding Fresno to preserve rural community voice Clean up rural areas around northern Kings KINGS AD Oakland Ave in Fresno and north of Lemoore are areas of farming concern some of the farmers were divided in this AD	
62311071	H		FALSE				TRUE	Supports what speakers 38 47 44 and 43 said Make less then 5 CDs in Fresno Use 41 not 99 41 is city divider 99 is more rural	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311064			FALSE	FALSE		
62311065			FALSE	FALSE		
62311069			FALSE	FALSE		
62311070			FALSE	FALSE		
62311071			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311064			FALSE							
62311065			FALSE							
62311069			FALSE							
62311070			FALSE							
62311071			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62311073	H		FALSE		Merced		TRUE	Merced Tuolumne Stanislaus Mariposa should not be with coastal in SD and CD Trying to connect Placer and Fresno in the same district is a bad idea	
62311075	H		TRUE	Merced County GIS			TRUE	SD MERCEDMONT Stanislaus Madera Merced work together in San Joaquin Valley Rural and Agricultural in nature COIs along 99 and I5 Merced has nothing in comment with Santa Cruz and Monterey need senators from Merced region	
62311076	H		FALSE				TRUE	Supports Maldef and Naleo plans	
62311077	H		TRUE	Ridgecrest Chamber of Commerce	Kings		TRUE	Look at Kern curl	
62311078	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	Curl into Kings county could be fixed	
62311079	H		FALSE		Fresno		TRUE	If you use 41 as the divider it will disenfranchise minorities The way the city is split right now is okay	
62311080	H		FALSE				TRUE	41 is most appropriate place to divide Fresno	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62311073			FALSE	FALSE		
62311075			FALSE	FALSE		
62311076			FALSE	FALSE		
62311077			FALSE	FALSE		
62311078			FALSE	FALSE		
62311079			FALSE	FALSE		
62311080			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62311073			FALSE							
62311075			FALSE							
62311076			FALSE							
62311077			FALSE							
62311078			FALSE							
62311079			FALSE							
62311080			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411001	H S		FALSE		Turlock		TRUE	Foothill SDFoothills are different from flatlands Proximity matters as do EW transit corridors Lodi should not be in Northbay Contra Costa Unite San Joaquin County and get pop from StanislausDrop San Jose and Santa Cruz add flatlands on 99	
62411002	H S		TRUE	Turlock Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	Merced SD high unemployment in interior counties should not be with coast Drop Santa Cruz and add Madera and Fresno Need reps that represent inland and not coastal	
62411003	H		TRUE	Turlock Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	Merced SD Santa Clara Monterey and San Benito are not part of San Joaquin Valley San Joaquin has distinct needs water agriculture Coast and inland needs are different and contradictory We need San Jaoquin district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411001			FALSE	FALSE		
62411002			FALSE	FALSE		
62411003			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411001			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062411A
62411002			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062411B
62411003			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411004	H		TRUE	Mayor of Ceres			TRUE	Merced SD air quality needs different in San Joaquin Limit split that has Lodi coming into district There are two VRA counties because of gerrymandering Draw Salinas and Watsonville along 101 into San Jose	
62411005	H S		FALSE		Lake Comanche	Amador	TRUE	supports FoothillsMotherload maps all Foothill residents do not go to flatlands Watershed is not a COI because they produce the water but the East Bay drinks it Please preserve these districts	
62411006	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD StocktonTracy Lodi does not go with Bay Area Pair with Sockton and Galt Since Lodi is same as Davis add Davis to Yolo and Lodi to Sac district	
62411007	H		FALSE		Turlock		TRUE	AD West Stanislaus Thanks for putting my community with N Modesto and not with S Modesto and Foothills Keep 1st draft maps same	
62411008	H		FALSE		Stockton Lathrop		TRUE	Stockton Tracy AD Tracy and Stockton are similar Try to put Lathrop with Tracy and Stockton Swap Lathrop with unincorporated areas E of 99	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411004			FALSE	FALSE		
62411005			FALSE	FALSE		
62411006			FALSE	FALSE		
62411007			FALSE	FALSE		
62411008			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411004			FALSE							
62411005			FALSE					TRUE	print out from Union Democrat	062411C
62411006			FALSE							
62411007			FALSE							
62411008			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411009	H		FALSE				TRUE	Likes 1st draft maps Good to keep poor and more diverse areas separate from the affluent The difference between the two is obvious on her drive to work	
62411010	H		FALSE		Escalon		TRUE	Thank you for keeping Sierra communities and Valley communities separate in first draft Valley is agriculture and dairy and Sierra is recreation and tourism	
62411011	H		FALSE		Atwater	Merced	TRUE	AD West Stanislaus Turlock separate from Merced is good Have son in special ed in merced but dont want to move to get better services So worked to improve services in Merced	
62411013	H		FALSE		Turlock		TRUE	Merced SD Turlock could be in either district We are agricultural based economy in Turlock and we dont have anything in common with Silicon Valley and San Jose Create Central Valley seat for this area Presently it is too diverse	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411009			FALSE	FALSE		
62411010			FALSE	FALSE		
62411011			FALSE	FALSE		
62411013			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411009			FALSE							
62411010			FALSE							
62411011			FALSE							
62411013			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411014	H S		TRUE	Lathrop City Council Member			TRUE	Stockton AD should include Lathrop Doesnt want to be on fringes of Stanislaus county which will dilute Lathrop voice A lot in common with Stockton and Tracy not Manteca	
62411015	H		FALSE				TRUE	Merced SD Santa Cruz Monterey and San Jose should not be with Merced Maybe drop nesting idea in areas where VRA comes into play Region needs a leader who will not beholden to the two area	
62411016	H		TRUE	VP of Modesto City Schools			TRUE	Merced SD Likes idea of nesting but not nesting in this SD Mixing urban and rural 101 and 99 bad Consider nesting with Fresno AD San Benito and Salinas could be nested with San Jose	
62411017	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Merced SD Central Valley is unique with water education economy etc Different from Santa Clara Monterey Should be included with Merced Madera San Joaquin Combine Merced AD with Fresno AD Likes map 200110601q2sdmerced	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411014			FALSE	FALSE		
62411015			FALSE	FALSE		
62411016			FALSE	FALSE		
62411017			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411014			FALSE					TRUE	letter from City of Lathrop	062411D
62411015			FALSE					FALSE		
62411016			FALSE							
62411017			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062411E

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411018	H		TRUE	Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors			TRUE	San Joaquin SD makes sense Put Lodi in take out pop from Stanislaus and portion into Merced Foothill SD Madera and Fresno dont belong with Placer and El Dorado Merced SD hard to represent areas with opposing interests rural vs urban	
62411019	H S		TRUE	Chief Executive Office of Stanislaus			TRUE	Merced SD Split through center of Stanislaus is bad and dilutes Stanislaus polical power Central Valley is COI work together on issues and lobby together in DC	
62411020	H S		FALSE		Lodi		TRUE	CD is good with San Joaquin whole Stockton AD Lodi is part of Central Valley Part of 99 corridor NOT part of I80 Not same demographic as Napa Switch Davis with Lodi Davis with Solano Lodi with Central Valley and Gault	
62411021	H S		TRUE	Peoples Advocate			TRUE	So Cal SD maps We think you can fix coastal COI farmworker issues by reneasting Ads which are good AD in ventura is good but nesting is bad Nest LASCV with EVENT SLOSB and SBWVE together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411018			FALSE	FALSE		
62411019			FALSE	FALSE		
62411020			FALSE	FALSE		
62411021			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411018			FALSE					FALSE		
62411019			FALSE					TRUE	letter from Stanislaus County	062411F
62411020			FALSE					TRUE	landscape notes	062411G
62411021			FALSE					TRUE	AD and SD maps	062411H

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411022	H S		FALSE		Davis		TRUE	ECC AD Davis should not be on Sac side of river Has COI with Yolo county Lodi and Galt dont belong with West Switch Davis with LodiGalt Then put W Sac Into ECC and move Oakleyto east of river Sac river is natural boundary Davis is agricultural	
62411023	H S		TRUE	Tuolumne County Supervisor		Tuolumne	TRUE	Proposed map is about geographic commonality but people might not be similar West Tuolomne county is COI with Stanislaus There is watershed that runs though and with population on opposite sides will creat competition	
62411024	H		FALSE		Stockton		TRUE	Having a district that isnt spread out is important San Joaquin AD Stockton Tracy Lathrop together The corridor moves people and product to Port of Stockton railroad airport	
62411025	H		TRUE	Tracy Planning Commissioner			TRUE	San Joaquin CD Include Tracy and Manteca exlude Antioch Oakley and Brentwood Tracy not with Modesto with Stockton Library newspapers etc shared with Stockton	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411022			FALSE	FALSE		
62411023			FALSE	FALSE		
62411024			FALSE	FALSE		
62411025			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411022			FALSE					TRUE	testimony and map	062411I
62411023			FALSE					TRUE	letter	062411J
62411024			FALSE					FALSE		
62411025			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411026	H		TRUE	Yolo County Supervisor			TRUE	ECC AD on the way here passed through 3 proposed Ads Many divisions of Yolo County in every district Yolo County is a COI Cooperation across county	
62411027	H S		FALSE		Lathrop		TRUE	keep Lathrop as part of Stockton AD Everything passes through Lathrop	
62411028	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD does not want to be with Merced and Fresno Wants to stay in San Joaquin Tracy and Manteca should be in CD United county for better Latino representation	
62411029	H		TRUE	Ceres City Council Member			TRUE	Merced SD splits a lot if you must split Stanislaus for VRA in other county split in way that makes sense for Latinos and all residents Ceres want to be with Modesto Patterson Turlock Merced not San Jose District down 99 Salina San Benito ok	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411026			FALSE	FALSE		
62411027			FALSE	FALSE		
62411028			FALSE	FALSE		
62411029			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411026			FALSE							
62411027			FALSE					TRUE	map	062411K
62411028			FALSE					FALSE		
62411029			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411030	H		FALSE		Lodi		FALSE	Northbay CC SD and AD these will be bad for Lodi Wants to be in San Joaquin Valley San Joaquin should have its own AD and SD If have to split off a Stanislaus city should be Tracy because they work in the Bay Area	
62411031	H S		TRUE	City Council of City of Newman			TRUE	Merced SD Urbanized and Agricultural areas are totally different Move SD more inline with Central Valley	
62411032	H		TRUE	Newman City Planner			TRUE	Merced SD would not be good for Newmans representation Remove Bay Area portion and include Ag parts of Madera and West Stanislaus county	
62411033	H		FALSE		Manteca		TRUE	CD San Joaquin county is COI Tracy Stockton Manteca Lathrop	
62411034	H		TRUE	Lodi Chamber of Commerce			TRUE	Northbay CC SD this district is bad for Lodi erases identity of Lodi 1st draft puts Lodi with larger Eastern cities not with Central Valley	
62411035	H		TRUE	San Joaquin County Consitutional Resdistricting Council	Lodi		TRUE	Keep San Joaquin together No COI with counties North and West of Lodi Submitted map	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411030			FALSE	FALSE		
62411031			FALSE	FALSE		
62411032			FALSE	FALSE		
62411033			FALSE	FALSE		
62411034			FALSE	FALSE		
62411035			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411030			FALSE							
62411031			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062411L
62411032			FALSE							
62411033			FALSE							
62411034			FALSE							
62411035			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411036	H		TRUE	San Joaquin County Citizens for Constitutional Redistricting			TRUE	Do not sacrifice real COIs for maybe fabricated COIs Link local foothills to local valleys Not COI with Placer Calaveras Tuolumne county people do not commute to Fresno	
62411038	H		FALSE			Tuolumne	TRUE	All 3 Foothill districts districts are too long with population at N and S ends Move West instead	
62411039	H		FALSE		Tracy		TRUE	CD Tracy and Manteca should be with Stockton Medical Association and patient referrals come to Stockton Need rep who will improve health programs in the area	
62411040	H S		FALSE				TRUE	San Joaquin is diverse Lodi Unified School District it is in Stockton and Lodi better to have only one rep Remove Bay Area make San Joaquin Valley whole Have Agricultural tourism pollution issues	
62411041	H		FALSE		Tracy		TRUE	Thank you for putting Tracy with Stockton Lathrop should be in Western AD too Tracy should be with Stockton in CD not with Modesto Antioch should not be with Stockton	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411036			FALSE	FALSE		
62411038			FALSE	FALSE		
62411039			FALSE	FALSE		
62411040			FALSE	FALSE		
62411041			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411036			FALSE							
62411038			FALSE							
62411039			FALSE							
62411040			FALSE					TRUE	letter	062411M
62411041			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411042	H		FALSE		Ceres		TRUE	Ceres should be with San Joaquin and with Modesto Not in Merced SD	
62411043	H		FALSE		Stockton		TRUE	San Joaquin County has been hit hard by recession Need congressman to represent Sjoaquin county Include Tracy Manteca Redmond and Escalon to make CD Only 29k short of having own SanJ congressperson	
62411044	H		FALSE				TRUE	Tracy is integral part of Stockton CD Tracy should be with Stockton Shared COI with Stockton not with Modesto Preference is CD	
62411045	H S		FALSE		Modesto		TRUE	Thank you for taking out Stockton finger and putting countaies together Wants Stanislaus intact with Merced Education interests If Stanislaus must be splitW and S ModestoCeres NewmanGraysonWestleyPatterson together linked down i5 see exhibit	
62411046	H		TRUE	Yosemite Community College District			TRUE	AD Keep Latino communities together like Ceres and Modesto Likes maps they unite Latinos is South Modesto Unite west of 99 communities	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411042			FALSE	FALSE		
62411043			FALSE	FALSE		
62411044			FALSE	FALSE		
62411045			FALSE	FALSE		
62411046			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411042			FALSE							
62411043			FALSE							
62411044			FALSE							
62411045			FALSE					TRUE	letter	062411N
62411046			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411047	H S		TRUE	Mayor of Paterson letter read	Ceres		TRUE	AD Patterson Ceres Newman Westley Grayson Crows Landing are not as well represented West side of Stanislaus is Latino and shares COI with Modesto Want to see Ceres and Modesto in AD	
62411048	H		FALSE				TRUE	African American and Latino communities have been building bridges for years Glad that draft maps bring together similar communities Need culturally competent representatives	
62411049	H		FALSE			Yolo	TRUE	Yolo county general plan is a demonstration of COI Yolo is agricultural Not a bedroom community of Sacramento Supports Lodi out of Contra Costa	
62411050	H		TRUE	Mayor of Galt	Galt	Sacramento	TRUE	AD and SD Galt has things in common with Lodi southern most town in Sac County Concerned about Galts boundaries If Galt expands the city could be divided into several districts Share fire depart and transit w Elk Grove School District w Lodi	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411047			FALSE	FALSE		
62411048			FALSE	FALSE		
62411049			FALSE	FALSE		
62411050			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411047			FALSE					TRUE	letter from City of Patterson	0624110
62411048			FALSE							
62411049			FALSE							
62411050			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411051	H		FALSE		Stockton		FALSE	all three districts in San Joaquin unite San Joaquin to better serve COI Dont split Lodi Manteca from Tracy	
62411052	H		TRUE	San Joaquin County Supervisor			FALSE	ECC AD Lodi has strong COI with Valley and San Joaquin County communities through agriculture	
62411053	H		FALSE		Galt		TRUE	Lodi and Galt should stay together with Sacramento maybe	
62411054	H		FALSE		Lodi		TRUE	Lodi AD has worked and lived in Stockton There is no COI along hwy 12 Lodi should be with Stockton not with Fairfield Vacaville	
62411055	H		FALSE				TRUE	Keep Stockton in one CD Manteca Tracy with Stockton in CD Sikh community resides in Stockton Manteca Lodi and Tracy Keep them together in CD	
62411056	H		FALSE				TRUE	San Joaquin CD place include Tracy and Manteca with San Joaquin CD Keep Stockton whole and with San Joaquin County Antioch is connected to Stockton by 2 lane road but connected to Bay Area by 4 lane hwy so better to put Anitoch with Bay Area	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411051			FALSE	FALSE		
62411052			FALSE	FALSE		
62411053			FALSE	FALSE		
62411054			FALSE	FALSE		
62411055			FALSE	FALSE		
62411056			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411051			FALSE							
62411052			FALSE							
62411053			FALSE							
62411054			FALSE							
62411055			FALSE							
62411056			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411057	H		FALSE		Lodi		TRUE	Include Tracy Manteca in same CD as Lodi and Stockton Sikhs come to Stockton from Tracy and Manteca to worship at oldest Sikh temple in US in Stockton Sikh communities should be together in CD centered around Stockton No connection with Modesto or Stan	
62411058	H		FALSE		Tracy		TRUE	Tracy and Stockton medical services part of San Joaquin Valley Legal system connected to Stockton No connection to Modesto Newspaper is Stockton Record education system in Stockton	
62411059	H S		FALSE		Tracy		TRUE	Keep Tracy and Manteca together with Stockton for CD Not connected educationwise to Modesto everything goes through Stockton Mountain House HS students go to Tracy School District Mountain House is with Stockton Tracy should too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411057			FALSE	FALSE		
62411058			FALSE	FALSE		
62411059			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411057			FALSE							
62411058			FALSE							
62411059			FALSE					TRUE	letter	062411P

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411061	H S		TRUE	Citizens for Conservative Action		Contra Costa	TRUE	need summary list and transcripts of COI testimony Pay attention to all COI testimony and realize all is backed with legal action	
62411062	H		TRUE	Stockton Unified School District Boardmember			TRUE	AD bring Lodi into Stockton district Works with law enforcement hospitals economic development agencies Suggest taking out Lathrop or Manteca which are not in district Part of Lodi is ok if it cant be kept whole	
62411063	H		FALSE		Stockton		TRUE	Tracy gives medical assistance to Stockton residence Put Lodi with Stockton Supports San Joaquin as its own CD then one SD but not more than two Ads in the county	
62411064	H		TRUE	Stockton Unified Trustee			TRUE	CD goes too far to Merced and Fresno counties Wants to be with neighbors in San Joaquin county more than with other hispanics two counties away Does not want to be with Merced and Bay Area for CD Not more than 2 Ads for the county	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411061			FALSE	FALSE		
62411062			FALSE	FALSE		
62411063			FALSE	FALSE		
62411064			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411061			FALSE					TRUE	article	062411Q
62411062			FALSE							
62411063			FALSE							
62411064			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411071	H		FALSE		Stockton		TRUE	Wants Lodi as part of Stockton Stockton kids go to Lodi schools Two Lodi high schools in Stockton proper and elementary schools Keep San Joaquin intact The Altamont is big dividing line	
62411073	H		FALSE			Southern San Joaquin	TRUE	had rep from Stanislaus county and they tried to work together but it does not work San Joaquin needs own rep Tracy Manteca Escalon Ripon should be in San Joaquin CD Your current map is outside the COI and makes is difficult to be represented	
62411074	H S		TRUE	Sierra Club	Cameron Park	El Dorado	TRUE	Sierra Club likes 80 percent of lines Switch nesting to have Southern Sac and Northern Sac for SD Ads Shasta w Redding Lassen Modock MTNCAP needs more than a sliver of Tahoe basin Foothills is too long Galt and Lodi are more like Sjoaquin than Sac	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411071			FALSE	FALSE		
62411073			FALSE	FALSE		
62411074			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411071			FALSE							
62411073			FALSE							
62411074			FALSE					TRUE	handout with maps	062411R

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411076	H		TRUE	San Joaquin Farm Bureau			TRUE	CD drop Antioch and add Tracy Manteca Escalon Ripon Does not want Stockton finger Stanislaus w Foothills AD ECC no justification for splitting Lodi off of San Joaquin Keep San Joaquin whole Put E Stanislaus with Foothill Bring Lodi back	
62411078	H		TRUE	Mayor of Lodi	Lodi		TRUE	AD and SD Lodi is a part of San Joaquin Valley not Bay Area Napa and Bay Area reps would be bad for Lodi Lodi has agricultural interests	
62411081	H		TRUE	former Mayor of Patterson			TRUE	Merced AD approves because it links Newman Ceres and other like communities keeps minorities together Thank you for getting rid of Stockton finger Nothing in common with East Modesto or East Stanislaus	
62411082	H		FALSE			Merced	TRUE	happy with first draft map SE Asian community has more in common with Stanislaus than with Stockton	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411076			FALSE	FALSE		
62411078			FALSE	FALSE		
62411081			FALSE	FALSE		
62411082			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411076			FALSE							
62411078			FALSE							
62411081			FALSE							
62411082			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411086	H		FALSE		Tracy		TRUE	Keep San Joaquin together in CD SD AD we have everything in common Diversity Education Medical Include Lodi Manteca Escalon etc	
62411088	H		FALSE		Oakley		TRUE	ECC AD Oakley is part of Bay Area Links are transit public safety hospitals educations Connected to Martinez Antioch Oakley should be kept with CC not paired with cities across the river	
62411089	H		TRUE	Oakley Mayor			TRUE	SD first map is not compact Oakley should be in AD and SD with majority of Contra Costa county They have a big stake in Hwy 4 going East Put American Canyon in ECONT district and take Oakley out	
62411091	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep San Joaquin whole in all three districts 2 Ads is okay School medical tranport in common	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411086			FALSE	FALSE		
62411088			FALSE	FALSE		
62411089			FALSE	FALSE		
62411091			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411086			FALSE							
62411088			FALSE							
62411089			FALSE							
62411091			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411092	H		FALSE		Stockton		TRUE	Stockton residents are San Joaquin people Keep San Joaquin whole Tax themselves to provide transportation If Lodi was in another district their rep might not push what they would want Asian population prefers to stay in Stockton	
62411093	H S		TRUE	CA State Conference of NAACP			TRUE	Presents alternative maps for CD in Southern CA	
62411095	H S		TRUE	NAACP Stockton Branch Secretary on behalf of President			TRUE	Does not want voting strength of minorities diluted just to have San Joaquin county reps Important to be with neighbors but not at the expense of African American community San Joaquin should be its own CD Preserve city and county boundaries	
62411096	H		FALSE		Lodi		TRUE	Keep San Joaquin county together Lodi does not have much in common with Yolo or Bay Area Keep Lodi with San Joaquin in CD and SD Agrees with other speakers SJ has sufficient population centers to make this work	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411092			FALSE	FALSE		
62411093			FALSE	FALSE		
62411095			FALSE	FALSE		
62411096			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411092			FALSE							
62411093			FALSE					TRUE	map with CVAP numbers	062411U
62411095			FALSE					TRUE	letter from NAACP	062411V
62411096			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411099	H		FALSE		Ceres		TRUE	Latino COI Ceres Paterson Grayson Crows Landing Newman Drink same water watch Spanish News from Modesto Keep this COI with Modesto	
62411101	H		FALSE			Merced	TRUE	Merced and Stanislaus should be together Over a million dollars in agriculture business Need reps for water for Agriculture and that know about school situation Foreclosures on farms and houses	
62411102	H		FALSE		Manteca		TRUE	Keep San Joaquin county together Manteca has more in Stockton than Modesto Escalon Tracy Ripon should be included Antioch does not belong in San Joaquin CD	
62411103	H		TRUE	Contra Costa Citizens Redistricting Taskforce	Antioch		TRUE	Thank you for keeping AntiochDiscovery Bay and Oakley together in CDOK with being part of San Joaquin county districtAccess to river water is important issue Mountainhouse should be with them tooPeople drive west on 4 to work or on 680580 to Silicon	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411099			FALSE	FALSE		
62411101			FALSE	FALSE		
62411102			FALSE	FALSE		
62411103			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411099			FALSE							
62411101			FALSE							
62411102			FALSE							
62411103			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62411104	H		TRUE	Lodi Grape Grower Association			TRUE	Agriculture is COI with Victor Lockford Clements and should be with Lodi Keep San Joaquin county Agriculture whole keep Lodi with SJ county If not for SD Lodi and Galt have commonality with N SJ county and Southern Sac county	
62411105	H		FALSE		Lockford		TRUE	San Joaquin is currently respresented by people who do not reside there Worried that it will be the same this time around	
62711001	H		TRUE	Greenlining Institute but speaking as Fremont resident	Fremont	Alameda	TRUE	want Fremont to remain whole asian area rather than split into 2 AD 2 CD with Newark and Union City or w Newark and Milpitas East Palo Alto with CD AD with Redwood City as opposed to what its with in draft	
62711002	H		FALSE		Richmond	Contra Costa	TRUE	keep Richmond whole at state and federal level Richmond is COI	
62711003	H S		TRUE	city council Menlo Park	Menlo Park	San Mateo	TRUE	concern that city is split into 2 CD COI with Menlo Park PA EPA Atherton MP EPA in N S Clara Co CD Redwood City in S Mateo Co CD perfect pop exchange	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62411104			FALSE	FALSE		
62411105			FALSE	FALSE		
62711001			FALSE	TRUE		
62711002			FALSE	TRUE		
62711003			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62411104			FALSE							
62411105			FALSE							
62711001			FALSE							
62711002			FALSE							
62711003			FALSE					TRUE	letters and map	062711A

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711004	H		FALSE				TRUE	likes CCAG maps though not affiliated with them COI in San Mateo County keep job engine going in san jose	
62711005	H		TRUE	represents 5 trade orgs napa wine		Napa	TRUE	unify Napa County american canyon must be with Napa County	
62711006	H S		TRUE	wine growers of napa county		Napa	TRUE	need 4 wine growing counties Napa Lake Mendocino Sonoma together and american canyon with napa big part of wine industry in American Canyon	
62711007	H S		TRUE	Napa County BofSups		Napa	TRUE	propose Wine Coastal District rearranged three draft CD its a compromise for us has a lots of improvements similarities greater than differences	
62711008	H S	spoke on June 9	TRUE	American Canyon City Council brought quorum of city council including mayor vice mayor		Napa	TRUE	keep american canyon whole	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711004			FALSE	TRUE		
62711005			FALSE	TRUE		
62711006			FALSE	TRUE		
62711007			FALSE	TRUE		
62711008			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711004			FALSE							
62711005			FALSE							
62711006			FALSE					TRUE	letter	062711B
62711007			FALSE					TRUE	maps using redrawcaorg and explanation of how changed 3 CD	062711C
62711008			FALSE					TRUE	City of American Canyon opposition packet of letters City of American Canyon petition wsignatures letter w exhibits from City of Napa resident	062711D 062711E 062711F

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711009	H S		TRUE	East Bay Asian Voter Education Consortium EBAVEC part of CAPAFR			TRUE	3 API concentrations in Alameda Co Fremont Newark whole together w nearby areas in S Clara Co oakland alameda berkeley one AD ashland cherryland san leandro San Lorenzo Hayward Union City for another AD CAPAFR will submit new AD SD	cont Q what about Fremont issue A their proposal ok for CD for AD we want to be w Berryessa
62711010	H S	civil rights lawyers worked with Lawyers Committee Disability Rights CA Chinese for Affirmative Action	TRUE	participated in CAPAFR map development process			TRUE	TY for keeping Oakland Chinatown whole in AD Oakland should be kept with Alameda and Berkeley rather than San Leandro and areas to south in three cities COI of similar SES education among AAPI Latino and African American pops underserved groups	
62711011	H S	letter read by another	FALSE			Alameda 30 yrs	TRUE	S Leandro S Lornezo Ashland Cherryland Castro Valley Hayward Union City are COI share school districts religious institutions ethnic shopping center and cultural activities lots of LEP that needs help accessing vital govt services	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711009			FALSE	TRUE		
62711010			FALSE	TRUE		
62711011			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711009			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711G
62711010			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711H
62711011			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711I

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711012	H S		TRUE	Executive Director Filipino Advocates for Justice FAJ part of CAPAFR		Alameda 35 years	TRUE	Union City San Leandro San Lorenzo Cherryland Ashland Castro Valley Hayward in same AD SD CD all under certain pop except Hayward and should be kept whole AsAm in Central AL CO share a lot	
62711013	H S		TRUE	Asian Pacific Islander American Public Affairs Association APAPA	Fremont 30 years	Alameda	TRUE	Fremont should be whole with Newark Milipitas and Berryessas area to keep API community together in AD SD CD large AAPI pops w commonalities and ties	
62711014	H S		TRUE	Asian Law Caucas part of CAPAFR			TRUE	unite LGBT in eastern SF AD HaightAshbury Twin Peaks Diamond Hts in EastSFAD unite AsAm immig COI by Outer Mission Crocker Amazon w Excelsior Vistition Valley in East SF AD remove from that AD pacific heights presidio marina	cont TY for preserving COI in Viz Valley Excels Mission greater Chinatown areas and for keeping Daly City whole
62711015	H		TRUE	representing Latinos in northerh Monterey Co			TRUE	concern with number and not being allowed to vote in 2012 if in northern monterey	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711012			FALSE	TRUE		
62711013			FALSE	TRUE		
62711014			FALSE	TRUE		
62711015			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711012			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711J
62711013			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711K
62711014			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711L
62711015			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711016	H S came back about 852pm	high school student	FALSE			Marin	TRUE	keep marin with most of Sonoma doesnt want to be with Del Norte at end response to marin doesnt have wine but do share dairy farming w Sonoma coast Bodega to Marin also Napa w coast is good for more pop add Yolo connect is UCD viticulture	
62711018	H		FALSE				TRUE	does not like Santa Rosa and Napa out of CD and Marin instead dont share economic social interest w Marin dllutes wine industry and restor SR and Napa back in Coastal CD	
62711019	H S		FALSE			Mendicino	TRUE	proposed Yuba CD bad road to travel if go normal way go thru 6 other CDs border divides huge economic entitiy in wine industry	
62711020	H		TRUE	LGBT SF Community	San Francisco	San Francisco	TRUE	eastern SF district depends on our State Senator If it gets an even number wont have representation for 2 year please keep SF odd also keep east SF together and for that see letters from 5 grps SF log cabin reps ABToklas LGBT Demo Club etc	cont next speaker 21 submits the letter by the 5 groups

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711016			FALSE	TRUE		
62711018			FALSE	TRUE		
62711019			FALSE	TRUE		
62711020			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711016			FALSE					TRUE	testimony map	062711M
62711018			FALSE							
62711019			FALSE					TRUE	long memo	062711N
62711020			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711021	H S		TRUE	SF Board of Sups represents Castro area		San Francisco	TRUE	same as previous give SD in SF an odd number keep east SF together to elect Assembly from LGBT community disagree with CAPAFR dont take Pac Hts Laurel Hts Marina out of EastSF AD add in Diamond Hts TwinPeaks Cole Valley HaightAsh Glen Park	
62711022	H S		TRUE	RAS client		San Mateo	TRUE	do not split zip codes Zip codes are COI	
62711023	H		FALSE		Redwood City	San Mateo	TRUE	use zip codes	
62711024	H S		TRUE	on Cloverdale city council	Cloverdale	Sonoma	TRUE	keep Sonoma intact and within one coastal district need Santa Rosa in too	
62711025	H S		TRUE	City council Dana Point	Dana Point	San Diego	TRUE	keep Dana Point whole and with South OC district put some North OC cities in w LA	
62711026	H S		TRUE	Dana Point City Manager	Dana Point	San Diego	TRUE	echos last speaker keep Dana Point whole add back in Monarch Beach tells history of this and there is COI of northern areas w LA	
62711027	H S		FALSE		Santa Rosa	Sonoma	TRUE	Santa Rosa has to be with rest of Sonoma keep it in coastal district and take out Marin which has less in common with coast counties mendo humboldt DN	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711021			FALSE	TRUE		
62711022			FALSE	TRUE		
62711023			FALSE	FALSE		
62711024			FALSE	TRUE		
62711025			FALSE	TRUE		
62711026			FALSE	TRUE		
62711027			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711021			FALSE					TRUE	ltr from speaker and resolution from 5 LGBT groups	062711O 062711P
62711022			FALSE					TRUE	CD proposals from RedrawCA	062711Q
62711023			FALSE							
62711024			FALSE					TRUE	ltr from speaker	062711R
62711025			FALSE					TRUE	ltr from City of DP w resolution ltr from DP Chamber of Commerce	062711S 062711T
62711026			FALSE					TRUE	ltr from City of DP w resolution ltr from DP Chamber of Commerce	062711S 062711T
62711027			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711U

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711028	H S comes back at 856pm		TRUE	CCAG Log Cabin republicans SF		San Francisco	TRUE	re CCAG maps has hot spot also echoes re LGBT communities in SF comes back at end Californians need to understand that half do not get to elect Senator in 2012 nothing can be done about that make this clear to public	also at end explains method for LGBT data provided
62711029	H		TRUE	CCAG	Lafayette	Contra Costa	TRUE	hot spots marin with American canyon lists lots of other city splits and other problems revised Assembly plan addresses all of these issues	
62711030	H		TRUE	CCAG	Walnut Creek	Contra Costa	TRUE	hot spots of SD plan lots of same ones with AD plan so lists others in SD plan revised SD plan addresses all these successfully	
62711031	H S		TRUE	CCAG	San Ramon	Contra Costa	TRUE	hot spots of CD plan GGB to oregon border and excludes Santa Rosa Yuba Co with Valley of Moon Santa Clara San Mateo TriValley area divided Fremont with divided Downtown San Jose	
62711032	H S		TRUE	CCAG	Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	violation of criterion 3 4 5 our maps are better for underrepresented groups and majority of district to elect rep that represents them	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711028			FALSE	TRUE		
62711029			FALSE	TRUE		
62711030			FALSE	TRUE		
62711031			FALSE	TRUE		
62711032			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711028			FALSE					TRUE	ltr w map about LGBT community	062711V
62711029			FALSE							
62711030			FALSE							
62711031			FALSE					TRUE	memo form completed	062711W
62711032			FALSE					TRUE	memo form completed	062711X

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711033	H		FALSE		San Ramon Valley	Contra Costa	TRUE	trivalley has COI with Contra Costa please listen to us	
62711034	H		FALSE		San Ramon	Contra Costa	TRUE	do not go over oaklandberkeley hills want to be with other CCC cities those that read CC Times etc	
62711035	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep San Ramon Valley together like last two speakers	
62711036	H S came back at 846pm		FALSE		San Ramon	Contra Costa	TRUE	TriValley area San Ramon Danville Dublin Pleasanton Livermore 5 city councils meet every few months bring new biz to area has letters from each major	comes back at end TriValley connected to Lamorinda by 68024 but nothing in common between Orinda and Albany
62711037	H S		TRUE	CCAG	pleasant hill	contra costa	TRUE	likes first draft CCC for AD SD does not like CD CD trivalley does not belong with Fremont dont put parts of S Joaquin Co with CCC	
62711038	H		TRUE	realtor org re San Ramon Valley			TRUE	wants 24 corridor district do not put San Ramon Valley w Fremont	
62711039	H		FALSE		Berkeley	Alameda	TRUE	supports CCAG CD maps	
62711040	H		TRUE	SF Log Cabin Republicans President			TRUE	proposed AD in SF upper market LGBT community in with Mission which is less than 3 same sex unmarried households unusual to collaborate w ABToklas group but agree on this	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711033			FALSE	TRUE		
62711034			FALSE	TRUE		
62711035			FALSE	TRUE		
62711036			FALSE	TRUE		
62711037			FALSE	TRUE		
62711038			FALSE	TRUE		
62711039			FALSE	FALSE		
62711040			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711033			FALSE							
62711034			FALSE							
62711035			FALSE							
62711036			FALSE					TRUE	letter signed by 5 mayors letter from Danville mayor letter from San Ramon business person	062711Y 062711Z 062711AA
62711037			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711AB
62711038			FALSE							
62711039			FALSE							
62711040			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711041	H		FALSE		walnut creek	Contra Costa	TRUE	trivalley should NOT be with Fremont use Oakland hills as border between Fremont and Trivalley area supports letter of 5 trivalley cities	
62711042	H S		TRUE	city council cotati	cotati	Sonoma	TRUE	Yuba CD keep Cotati whole with nearby similar communities	
62711043	H		FALSE			Siskiyou County	TRUE	considering adding good portion of county to Del Norte that is great injustice to residents of Siskiyou County to keep similar communities together use western border of Siski Co as border of district	
62711044	H S came back at 844pm		FALSE		Sausalito	Marin	TRUE	thanks for not jumping GGB agree that American Canyon should be back with Napa proposes maps that also put Santa Rosa back in with Sonoma comes back at end please consider nesting	
62711045	H		FALSE		Diablo valley	Contra Costa	TRUE	likes CCAG maps	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711041			FALSE	TRUE		
62711042			FALSE	TRUE		
62711043			FALSE	TRUE		
62711044			FALSE	TRUE		
62711045			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711041			FALSE							
62711042			FALSE					TRUE	memo with small map at bottom	062711AC
62711043			FALSE							
62711044			FALSE					TRUE	suggested changes to AD draft	062711AD
62711045			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711046	H came back at 849pm		TRUE	CCAG	Berkeley	Alameda	TRUE	our plans are for diverse districts fair districts not for lilywhite countryclub districts came back at endLamorinda nothing to do with Albany Albany is across st from him Lamor is farbelieves current rep wants to fund raise in Lamorinda	
62711047	H		TRUE	city council american canyon	american canyon AC	Napa	TRUE	economic interests that AC represents for Napa Count huge support base of wine industry truck route through hwy 29 Assembly member in past got them to resolve difference cause all Napa County in same district	
62711048	H		TRUE	Napa County Board of Sups	American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	AC has had identity crisis because lumped in w Vallejo 1992 incorporated but still had identity crisis What CRC has done rallied Napa Co to recognize importance of AC to county	
62711049	H S		FALSE		Castro neighborhood San Francisco	San Francisco	TRUE	concern with numbering LGBT of Haight Glen Park in district w LGBT	
62711050	H		TRUE	SF Board of Sups represents southeast SF diverse area	San Francisco	San Francisco	TRUE	needs partner in Sac	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711046			FALSE	TRUE		
62711047			FALSE	TRUE		
62711048			FALSE	TRUE		
62711049			TRUE	TRUE		
62711050			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711046			FALSE					FALSE		
62711047			FALSE							
62711048			FALSE							
62711049			FALSE					TRUE	ltr from SF City Attorney	062711AE
62711050			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711051	H S		FALSE		East Bay		TRUE	natural boundary of ridgelines on west and one east of San Ramon Valley TriValley Diablo Valley dont have much in common with either side	
62711052	H	retired social worker	FALSE		Albany	Alameda	TRUE	draft AD removes Lamorinda from current 14th AD and puts in hwy 80 cities but want Lamorinda with us share alot see COextend AD more into OaklandAfrAmer commun relies on ethnicbased services in Oakland want 2 or more Assemblymembers for Oakland	cont hwy 80 cities placed with in draft Hercules Port Costa Rodeo Crockett Pinole Lamorinda is Lafayette Moraga Orinda and Canyon
62711053	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	put Lamorinda back with us in our AD and balance by taking out 5 hwy 80 cities see speaker 52 Lamorinda has lots in common with us as opposed to 180 cities move southern border of West COCO AD in Oakland from lincoln ave to park blvd	
62711054	H		FALSE		American Canyon AC	Napa	TRUE	history of AC long time establishing identity with Napa County do not take that away	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711051			FALSE	TRUE		
62711052	extend AD 14 more into Oakland pick up Piedmont and hills but want farther into urban Oakland		FALSE	TRUE	AD 14 shares with Lamorinda inc ed level intellectual cultural pursuits UCB Berkeley Rep recreational Sierra Club members Tilden Park media markets SF Chronicle NYTimes med care Alta Bates Childrens Summit Hosp	
62711053			FALSE	TRUE		
62711054			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711051			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711AF
62711052			FALSE							
62711053			FALSE							
62711054			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711055	H S came back at 850pm		FALSE		south San Mateo county	San Mateo	TRUE	you fixed a few things need to fix othersmove line at Stanford and in SF keep together except at border with san mateo countynot anywhere else in SF with San Mateo county	comes back at end does not understand SD going SF down to S Mateo will look at it send email tomorrow
62711056	H		FALSE		American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	diverse community had to fight for everything education cityhood zipcode split us off from Napa affects schools badly American Canyon is gateway to Napa valley	
62711057	H		TRUE	city of american canyon mayor	american canyon	Napa	TRUE	1103 signatures on petition supervisor provided maps and he lists where to find pop part of Santa Rosa etc	
62711058	H	Search Rescue team	FALSE		Yountville area	Napa	TRUE	double whammy take AC out impt to keep napa county together napa lake mendo when doing SAR cant tell difference	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711055			FALSE	TRUE		
62711056			FALSE	TRUE		
62711057			FALSE	TRUE		
62711058			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711055			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711A G
62711056			FALSE							
62711057			FALSE							
62711058			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711059	H S		TRUE	American Canyon Chamber of Commerce	American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	keep us with Napa in AD SD CD	
62711060	H		TRUE	American Cancer Society legislative advocate	American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	American Canyon is with areas that vote against ACS issues in Sac Napa County reps vote for ACS causes	
62711061	H S		FALSE		American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	American Canyon with Napa	
62711062	H		FALSE		American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	keep with Napa Cp	
62711063	H		FALSE		American Canyon 15 yr resident 15 yrs old	Napa	TRUE	keep AC with Napa Co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711059			FALSE	TRUE		
62711060			FALSE	TRUE		
62711061			FALSE	TRUE		
62711062			FALSE	TRUE		
62711063			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711059			FALSE					TRUE	ltrs from COC and various businesses in American Canyon	062711AH 062711AI 062711AJ 062711AK 062711AL 062711A M 062711AN 062711A O 062711AP
62711060			FALSE							
62711061			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	062711A Q
62711062			FALSE							
62711063			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711064	H came back at 848	not American Canyon resident spoke Saturday	FALSE		San Francisco	San Francisco	TRUE	thinks should totally not mostly nest AD in SD and SD in BOE ok to keep Sunset etc in San Mateo district use Oshannasy as demarcation between West East SF agree with LGBT on this	back at end re CD s Marin most of Sonoma one CD another CD of Del Norte Humboldt Mendocino Lake Napa maybe Trinity fix the concern of North Coast people
62711065	H		FALSE		American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	ditto keep AC with Napa County	
62711066	H		FALSE			Napa	TRUE	about wine industry farm workers housing problem for farm workers need to keep AC with Napa and Napa with other winegrowing regions	
62711069	H S		TRUE	Black American Political Action Committee BAPAC of Contra Costa County	born raised in North Richmond	Contra Costa	TRUE	CD cutting richmond in half violates criteria while sharing some socioeconomic interests richmond competes with oakland berkeley alameda emeryville for resources better to have own congressional rep than 8 of district with those cities to south	half with AL Co cities to South half with central eastern CCCo
62711070	H S		TRUE	Sierra Club			TRUE	Monterey Bay Area provided AD maps will submit south CA maps by COB tomorrow	
62711071	H		FALSE		American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	ditto of other AC residents	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711064			FALSE	TRUE		
62711065			FALSE	TRUE		
62711066			FALSE	TRUE		
62711069			FALSE	TRUE		
62711070			FALSE	TRUE		
62711071			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711064			FALSE							
62711065			FALSE							
62711066			FALSE							
62711069			FALSE					TRUE	letter opposing CD draft	062711AR
62711070			FALSE					TRUE	notes from line drawer w revised proposed regional Monterey SF Bay Area maps and w CVAP stats	062711AS
62711071			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711072	H	worked on Census Complete Count Committee for SF	TRUE	Commission on Status of Women works at Equality California	Castro District San Francisco	San Francisco	TRUE	supports LGBT proposal will work on providing CRC data on same sex households	
62711073	H came back at 849pm		FALSE		Pleasanton	Alameda	TRUE	AD SD are great for TriValley area CD is problem agree 3337 41 45 re keeping 5 cities together ag fremont coalition map disagrthey dont speak for me give about five suggests for what to move around specific no hardcopy but will send email	comes back at end keeping San Joaquin whole move Tracy Manteca out of Stanislaus back in San Joaquin
62711075	H		FALSE		Benecia	Solano	TRUE	re CD north and south of co are very different explains how state has split Co in many ways Benecia should be with Bay Area crocket rodeo also all do not belong with central valley very differ from nor co in terms of transp infrastr needs	Cont representation on ABAG and MTC very different than Nor county Q have maps of districts that show how state splits up Solano County A she will submit

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711072			TRUE	TRUE		
62711073	what to move in CD s Antioch Brentwood into COCO move Alamo San Ramon Danville Blackhawk into FRNWU Newark half of Fremont into SANJO southern end of SANJO into Santa Clara district move southeast rural parts of	Santa Clara Co possibly Gilroy into STANI Tracy Manteca	FALSE	TRUE		
62711075			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711072			FALSE							
62711073			FALSE							
62711075			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711076	S	speaker 109 San Jose June 25	FALSE		Montara	San Mateo	TRUE	4 concerns 1Menlo Park EPA not in SNMSC CD 2do not split S Mateo Coast from Pacifica to S Cruz Co line 3do not split downtown S Jose do not extend AD from S Jose to Monterey Co while bypass Morgan HillGilroy 4Marin wOreg border NapaCo split	
62711077	H	fire fighter in SF africanamerican an gay community	FALSE		AD 13		TRUE	look at ltr from LGBT groups keep SD odd number AD move norther border to Arguello Haight Glen Park Laurel Hts Diamond Hts Twin peaks Nob Hill Presidio should be included in LGBT district lots of LBGT in Laurel Hts	cont try to maintain close to current AD shape to allow LGBT to get elected
62711078	H		FALSE		San Rafael	Marin	TRUE	re CDnothing in common with Humboldt Del Norte Marin should be with most of Sonoma COI of Marin Sonomalives revolve betweencommerce events sports comp people commute from Sonoma to Marin move to Sonoma from Marin cause more affordable	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711076			FALSE	TRUE		
62711077			TRUE	TRUE		
62711078			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711076			FALSE					TRUE	memo w 4 concerns and maps	062711AT
62711077			FALSE							
62711078			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711082	H		TRUE		Russian Hill San Francisco		TRUE	quote City Atty letter LGBT same as others SD odd and for AD incl Diamond HtsGlen Park Haight Laurel Hts in ESF AD charts of data provided from 28	
62711083	H		TRUE	SF Pride Board member LGBT community	San Francisco		TRUE	about same letter SD odd number	
62711084	H came back at 842pm		TRUE	vice mayor but not speaking for city but for self	San Pablo	Contra Costa	TRUE	glad to be same CD Miller but Richmond split off need it with us strong connect with Richmond help each other out many collaborations eg violence prev CD rep gets grant for all also share firepolice communicat we are COI lowinc Latino	comes back at end do not split Latino COI in San Pablo Richmond cultural ties festivals also work on 23rd St runs through both cities generally a COI of West CoCo
62711087	H		FALSE		Fremont	Alameda	TRUE	share sanitary water volunteer public safety hosp Ohlone College in tricity area dont split also dont split San Jose City	
62711089	H		TRUE	former president of Oceanview Elementary PTA in Albany CA	Albany	Alameda	TRUE	Albany shops north Richmond Pinole but for educational discussions look to east to Lamorinda similar education levels of children support Lamorinda with us	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711082			TRUE	TRUE		
62711083			FALSE	TRUE		
62711084			FALSE	TRUE		
62711087			FALSE	TRUE		
62711089			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711082			FALSE							
62711083			FALSE							
62711084			FALSE							
62711087			FALSE							
62711089			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62711090	H		FALSE		American Canyon	Napa	TRUE	AC issues been covered object to CD of Napa to Central Valley do not want Napa Lake County to be with Colusa Glenn County impossible to get to nothing in common profound geographic divide	
62711091	H		FALSE		Oakland	Alameda	TRUE	re AD 16 ADdraft that splits Oakland pulls in S Leandro w S Oakl keep Oakland in tactS Leandro friends not want to be w Oakland cause will dominate their interests will be ignored instead be with Eaton area wwhich interests more aligned	cont Q Eaton area A S Leandro S Lorenzo Ashland Hayward Central Alameda
62811002	H		FALSE		West Sacramento	Yolo	TRUE	CD s are good AD s problem that West Sac in YoloSolano area and should be in greater Sac area	
62811004	H S		FALSE		Sacramento	Sacramento	TRUE	oppose WSAC AD SD because split off some neighborhoods Elmhurst from City of Sac that have nothing to do w Roseville North Highlands Foothill Farms into Roseville AD put rest of Sac back in WSAC AD	
62811005	H		FALSE				TRUE	same as 4 re Sacramento North Highlands Foothill Farms are split in WSAC	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62711090			FALSE	TRUE		
62711091			FALSE	TRUE		
62811002			FALSE	TRUE		
62811004			TRUE	TRUE		
62811005			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62711090			FALSE							
62711091			FALSE							
62811002			FALSE							
62811004			FALSE					TRUE		
62811005			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811007	H S		FALSE				TRUE	re BOED Ventura with Central Valley SFV with LA letters of Support LA AfricAmer COC	
62811008	H		FALSE				TRUE	Citris Hts united and put El Dorado Hills back up to do this for AD then nest for SD	
62811010	H		FALSE		Galt	San Joaquin	TRUE	wants Galt Lodi with Sac District not with Solano says how to exchange pop	
62811016	H S		FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	Davis with YoloSolano district 180 corridor district and gives swap suggestion re Galt hwy 99 corridor	
62811018	H S		TRUE	speaking on behalf of Asian group			TRUE	South Sac Elk Grove together keep together put together in CD do not put Davis with Sacramento UCD is agr focus school and doesnt below with urban area	
62811019	H S		TRUE	ChineseAmerican organization			TRUE	thank you for North Natomas North Highlands together immigrant API community here keep together please	
62811020	H S		TRUE	CAPAFR	Davis	Yolo	TRUE	history AsianAmerican representation that comes out of redistricting object to Yolo County division Davis with Sac should be West Sac only with Sac	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811007			FALSE	TRUE		
62811008			FALSE	TRUE		
62811010			FALSE	TRUE		
62811016			FALSE	TRUE		
62811018			FALSE	TRUE		
62811019			FALSE	TRUE		
62811020			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811007			FALSE					TRUE		
62811008			FALSE							
62811010			FALSE							
62811016			FALSE					TRUE		
62811018			FALSE					TRUE		
62811019			FALSE					TRUE		
62811020			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811021	H		FALSE		Davis since birth	Yolo	TRUE	disagrees with Commissioner Forbes that Davis is BR community of Sacramento and lots of his Davis neighbors agree	
62811022	H S		TRUE	NALEO			TRUE	glad taking VRA more seriously report on discrimination toward Latino back to 19th Century SES of latinos in CA many low income lack of health care LEP etc	
62811023	H		TRUE	NALEO			TRUE	adds comments about certain regions	
62811024	H S		TRUE	Equality California LGBT group			TRUE	submits maps	
62811025	H		TRUE	Equality California LGBT org			TRUE	describes how built dataset bec sex orientation not in Census voting records prop 8 opposers donors to no on Prop 8 same sex households from Census member of gr rank each Census Block Group in state to get pop density of LBGT in different regions	
62811027	H S		FALSE		Lodi resident letter read	San Joaquin	TRUE	do not put Lodi with Solano we are different socially economically politically	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811021			FALSE	TRUE		
62811022			FALSE	TRUE		
62811023			FALSE	TRUE		
62811024			FALSE	TRUE		
62811025			FALSE	TRUE		
62811027			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811021			FALSE							
62811022			FALSE					TRUE		
62811023			FALSE							
62811024			FALSE					TRUE		
62811025			FALSE							
62811027			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811028	H		FALSE		West Sacramento	Yolo	TRUE	AD s and CD s of West Sacramento AD ok CD is not because with Davis and should be with Sac and NOT with Davis	
62811029	H		TRUE	Davis city council	Davis letter read for city council member cause at city council meeting	Yolo	TRUE	put Davis with rest of Yolo and w Solano COI on I80 transportation corridor links Davis to bay area as does train and agricultural areas	
62811030	H S		FALSE		Carson resident ltr read for	Los Angeles	TRUE	CRC pays too much attention to our COI re Ports people work there and dont live there	
62811031	H S		TRUE	Flood Control Board for Sacramento	Sacramento	Sacramento	TRUE	do not split Sac because will make flood control hard federal level	
62811032	H		FALSE		West Sacramento	Yolo	TRUE	pleased w CD AD should have W Sac w Sac and not w Davis	
62811033	H		TRUE	Davis Unified School District	Davis	Yolo	TRUE	in favor of Lodi Galt back with hwy 99 corridor Davis with Solano Davis and Solano are true COI because of university cant get to Sac from Davis certain time of day shop in Vacaville instead	Cont Q what about those that live in Davis and commute to Sac A there is a relationship but historically and because of UCD the relationship is more toward east
62811034	H S		TRUE	lots of orgs	Davis	Yolo	TRUE	reunite Yolo County COI agr connection to land values way of life flood control	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811028			FALSE	TRUE		
62811029			FALSE	TRUE		
62811030			FALSE	TRUE		
62811031			FALSE	TRUE		
62811032			FALSE	TRUE		
62811033			FALSE	TRUE		
62811034			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811028			FALSE							
62811029			FALSE							
62811030			FALSE					TRUE		
62811031			FALSE					TRUE		
62811032			FALSE							
62811033			FALSE							
62811034			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811037	H		TRUE	API org CAPITAL part of CAPAFR reach out to lots of other communities LGBT Slavic pop			TRUE	keep South Sacramento Elk Grove together at Florin	
62811038	H		FALSE		Sacramento River Delta		TRUE	like ECC AD with Davis and Sac together in another AD because its a deltainterested district if take GaltLodi out and put with Central Valley then can take more of Delta to south	
62811039	H S		TRUE				TRUE	keep cities and counties together	
62811040	H		FALSE				TRUE	object to way Yolo County divided Yolo has unity of purpose sustainable agriculture land and waterway preservations balance economics and way of life each pop center has own role shattering into 9 districts makes it hard for us to move forward	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811037			FALSE	TRUE		
62811038			FALSE	TRUE		
62811039			FALSE	TRUE		
62811040			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811037			FALSE							
62811038			FALSE							
62811039			FALSE			look at cities intact I did it better also keep communities intact		TRUE		
62811040			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811041	H		FALSE		UCD	Yolo	TRUE	Yolo split 3 ways for each AD SD CD so totally of 9 partial districts COI of Yolo is agriculture UCD is ag school and recently wine institute and commonalities with SolanoNapa	
62811042	H S		TRUE	APALC CAPAFR			TRUE	discussions with public to develop own proposals and then re June 10 drafts and developed response w AARC MALDEF based on drafts providing CDs to CRC today not racial gerrymandering	
62811043	H S		TRUE	Asian American Institute			TRUE	like SGVDB in San Gabriel area concern with Inglewood and Port of LA districts agree re SAC has comment re Sunnyvale	
62811044	H		FALSE		Placerville	El Dorado	TRUE	want county to remain whole wanted Tahoe Basin to be not only together but also with three counties concern re El Dorado Hills being separated outand that distr goes too far south into Central Valley	
62811045	H S		TRUE	Yolo County BOSups			TRUE	mistake to split in all three levels COI of careful land use air water quality etc Davis Enterprise article Daily Democrat article keep Woodland with Davis	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811041			FALSE	TRUE		
62811042			FALSE	TRUE		
62811043			FALSE	TRUE		
62811044			FALSE	TRUE		
62811045			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811041			FALSE							
62811042			FALSE					TRUE		
62811043			FALSE					TRUE		
62811044			FALSE							
62811045			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811046	H S		TRUE	City of Woodland letter from Mayor read reader is UCD student represents a dept at UCD	Woodland	Yolo	TRUE	oppose separating county of Yolo county is COI land use preservation etc	
62811047	H		TRUE	Dana Point City Council	Dana Point	Orange	TRUE	small coastal city must be kept whole and keep with So OC district cities in south OC use OC county services NOT SD Co services some north OC cities DO use LA Co services	
62811048	H		TRUE	Dana Point City Manager	Dana Point	Orange	TRUE	ditto 47 please google Data Point and see how impt it is to CA and shouldnt be marginalized in Sac	
62811049	H S		TRUE	AARC			TRUE	drafts and june 24 visualizations still dont reflect AfrAm COI and do not promote ability to elect Section 2 packing violation is likely some areas to link Compton Carson Signal Hill	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811046			FALSE	TRUE		
62811047			FALSE	TRUE		
62811048			FALSE	TRUE		
62811049			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811046			FALSE					TRUE		
62811047			FALSE							
62811048			FALSE							
62811049			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811050	H S		TRUE	MALDEF			TRUE	submitting 3 updated statewide maps worked with communities and with other groups VRA compliant and respect COI even more within VRA context maps with AARC CAPAFR unity maps for AD nested for SD new CD	
62811051	H		FALSE		Elk Grove work in West Sac	Sacramento	TRUE	Happy that Elk Grove is not split and is currently But Galt must be with us Good to be with Sac and with West Sac but not good to be with Davis instead of with GALT Consider a hwy corridor district	
62811052	H		FALSE				FALSE	see nonCOI district comment	
62811053	H		TRUE	Bird Cage Neighborhood Association in Citris Hts Board Member	Citris Hts	Sacramento	TRUE	Citris Hits wants to be with Rancho Cordova rather than with Folsom and Elk Grove Put Folsom with south Placer County COI of Folsom Dam area strong very different from Citris Hts	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811050			FALSE	TRUE		
62811051			FALSE	TRUE		
62811052			FALSE	FALSE		
62811053			TRUE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811050			FALSE					TRUE		
62811051			FALSE							
62811052			FALSE			use nesting for BOE either 20 AD or 10 SD to make one BOE so borders align this helps to lessen voter confusion				
62811053			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811054	H S	WWII veteran	TRUE	Veterans Board provides services to veterans	Davis	Yolo	TRUE	provide services to veterans all over Co Woodland Davis Winters Esparto West Sacramento draft would require org to go to 9 districts for services for veterans each one is less than 20 Yolo County residents	
62811055	H S		FALSE			Siskiyou	TRUE	no justification for splitting Siskiyou County and putting west with coastal district Reasoning was about American Indian community but they do not want this County should be with Shasta Modoc	
62811056	H S		FALSE		Coachella Valley	Riverside	TRUE	happy to have desert communities together good to have two reps for Salton Sea to get it cleaned up 50 letter into you about this dont want Coachella and Indio with Imperial County finger up to grab a city looks like favoring	
62811057	H		FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	Yolo Co is a historical COI keep it together	
62811058	H		FALSE		Citris Heights	Sacramento	TRUE	do not want to be divided and keep us part of Sac love CD and like nestingmake sure we are together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811054			FALSE	TRUE		
62811055			FALSE	TRUE		
62811056			FALSE	TRUE		
62811057			FALSE	TRUE		
62811058			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811054			FALSE					TRUE		
62811055			FALSE					TRUE		
62811056			FALSE					TRUE		
62811057			FALSE							
62811058			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811059	H S		TRUE	City of Sacramento Redistricting group tonight on behalf of River Park Neighborhood Association RPNA		Sacramento	TRUE	east Sac College Park all with River Park support map from speaker 4	
62811060	H		TRUE	Yolo Co Board of Sups member		Yolo	TRUE	Board voted 40 one didnt vote cause had conflict of interest to opposed drafts Sacramento is a different world from Yolo County share interest in ag open space unique area of state	
62811061	H		FALSE		Loomis near Granite Bay	Placer	TRUE	do not divide Citris Hts from Sac Co and put with us Unite Citris Hts and put El Dorado with us and Lake area	
62811062	H		FALSE		Rocklin	Placer	TRUE	re El Dorado El Dorado Hills	
62811063	H		FALSE		Lincoln	Placer	TRUE	like because center of new AD should have extended to El Dorado Hills to east	
62811065	H S		FALSE				TRUE	Yolo County COI collaboration nurtures small business divided into 9 districts is wrong putting any of us with Sac is wrong	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811059			FALSE	TRUE		
62811060			FALSE	TRUE		
62811061			FALSE	TRUE		
62811062			FALSE	TRUE		
62811063			FALSE	TRUE		
62811065			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811059			FALSE					TRUE		
62811060			FALSE							
62811061			FALSE							
62811062			FALSE							
62811063			FALSE							
62811065			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811066	H S		TRUE	County Clerk Siskiyou County	Yreka	Siskiyou	TRUE	keep Siskiyou County whole its a COI transportation countywide newspaper community college district school districts Q if keep whole what about being with coast A	
62811067	H		FALSE		West Sacramento	Sacramento	TRUE	good when incorporated keep us with Sac and not w Davis suggest Davis with Solano Co	
62811068	H S		FALSE			Yolo	TRUE	AD s keep Yolo County COI whole agrbased community not as many commute to Sac from Davis as people think its not a BR community to Sac UCD and Davis valuable part of Yolo COI	
62811069	H S		FALSE				TRUE	ditto to 68 and especially remove Davis from Sac District	
62811070	H S		FALSE				TRUE	ditto on Yolo comments	
62811071	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep Yolo whole and Davis Woodland together w common representation	
62811073	H		TRUE	nonprofit childrens therapy centers in Yolo all towns	Davis	Yolo	TRUE	keep Yolo County together we need the county pop to donate and fund our services so that we can continue to not deny any child	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811066			FALSE	TRUE		
62811067			FALSE	TRUE		
62811068			FALSE	TRUE		
62811069			FALSE	TRUE		
62811070			FALSE	TRUE		
62811071			FALSE	TRUE		
62811073			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811066			FALSE					TRUE		
62811067			FALSE							
62811068			FALSE					TRUE		
62811069			FALSE					TRUE		
62811070			FALSE					TRUE		
62811071			FALSE							
62811073			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811074	H		FALSE		Sac River Delta Clarksburg	Yolo	TRUE	lives in in primary zone of Delta we live water lives revolve around water likes that kept part of Delta together Davis is urban	
62811075	H	yolo hikers	FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	ditto to all davis residents work in Sac but I am a Yolo County resident	
62811076	H		TRUE				TRUE	YoloSolano region has strong COI water management etc keep Davis and UCD with YoloSolano	
62811077	H S	works with nonprofits	FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	nonprofits need to work with legislators so do not make them work with nine nonpofits are critical to county and provide services at lower cost than govt agencies	
62811078	H		FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	do not split Yolo into 9 districts example of COI in action Yolo County Climate Change Project collaboration of academia government etc and state and congressional legislators have all provided needed support to project	
62811079	H		FALSE		Fairfield	Solano	TRUE	Want Lodi with us more than Davis do not change districts keeping Davis out of our district	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811074			FALSE	TRUE		
62811075			FALSE	TRUE		
62811076			FALSE	TRUE		
62811077			FALSE	TRUE		
62811078			FALSE	TRUE		
62811079			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811074			FALSE							
62811075			FALSE							
62811076			FALSE							
62811077			FALSE					TRUE		
62811078			FALSE							
62811079			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811080	H		FALSE		Vacaville	Solano	TRUE	ditto to last speaker and support draft maps re Yolo Solano	
62811081	H S		FALSE			Butte	TRUE	Butte with YubaSutter district COI of fruit and nuts rice farming versus alfalafa timber in other district its with now take out Shasta to put Butte in Q what about numbers A just telling you COI and what fits better	
62811082	H		FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	corridor community common to commute out of county county has been split and that violates what CRC should do keep border at west of Yolo Causeway	
62811083	H		TRUE	CCAG			FALSE		
62811084	H		FALSE				TRUE	AD not good to have Davis and West Sac with Delta area dilutes Latino pop if put them with Delta rather than with Sac	
62811085	H		FALSE		Clarksberg primary region of Delta	Yolo	TRUE	agree with where Davis placed	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811080			FALSE	TRUE		
62811081			FALSE	TRUE		
62811082			FALSE	TRUE		
62811083			FALSE	FALSE		
62811084			FALSE	TRUE		
62811085			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811080			FALSE							
62811081			FALSE					TRUE		
62811082			FALSE							
62811083			FALSE			not been critical thinking debate instead it has been go-with-the-flow				
62811084			FALSE							
62811085			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811086	H S		TRUE	letter from former Sacramento city council woman			TRUE	Oak Park neighborhood of Sac has been split diverse community mostly people of color extend NE boundary of South Sac from Broadway to hwy 50 or use Enterprise Zone	
62811087	H S		TRUE	remarks of Assemblywoman Yolo area			TRUE	Yolo should be with Solano Napa ag wine etc Keep Yolo in odd number SD	
62811088	H S	small biz owner Gulf War veteran	FALSE		Suisun City	Solano	TRUE	Solano County does not love Davis differences we do ag they do ag science more state govt workers live in Davis than in other towns easier for them to get here than us see how many are here	
62811089	H		FALSE		Vacaville	Solano	TRUE	likes draft agrees w 85 etc	
62811090	H		TRUE	San Juan School District			TRUE	School District is big part of EDCO but school district split around citris heights keep Citris Hts together does not see right to have sliver of El Dorado and El Dorado Hills	
62811092	H		FALSE		Antioch	Contra Costa	TRUE	AD Antioch Oakley btu Antioch Oakley and Brentwood are a COI share economic development put Oakley back in Antioch district and give suggested exchange	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811086			TRUE	TRUE		
62811087			FALSE	TRUE		
62811088			FALSE	TRUE		
62811089			FALSE	TRUE		
62811090			FALSE	TRUE		
62811092			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811086			FALSE					TRUE		
62811087			FALSE					TRUE		
62811088			FALSE					TRUE		
62811089			FALSE							
62811090			FALSE							
62811092			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811093	H		TRUE	Yolo County controller			TRUE	Yolo County COI UCD is all about ag Yuba County District can allow Yolo to be whole	
62811095	H S	speaker 74 in Stockton June 24	FALSE		Cameron Park	El Dorado	TRUE	passes out maps done with Maptitude that solve about seven problems discussed tonight re North CA Yolo Yuba Butte Siskiyou etc with letter deviations suggest create N Sac Co and S Sac Co district	
62811097	H		FALSE		Citris Heights	Sacramento	TRUE	leave Citris Heights whole	
62811098	H		TRUE	San Juan School Distirict Board member		Sacramento	TRUE	Citris Heights should be kept intact would help us take out El Dorado Hills	
62811099	H S		FALSE		West Plainfield rural area of Yolo County near a part of UCD campus which spans Yolo and Solano	Yolo	TRUE	importance of UCD to ag and to Delta water shed Move UCD into AD and SD with Delta	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811093			FALSE	TRUE		
62811095			FALSE	TRUE		
62811097			FALSE	TRUE		
62811098			FALSE	TRUE		
62811099			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811093			FALSE							
62811095			FALSE					TRUE	more on pop exchanges followup to June 24	
62811097			FALSE							
62811098			FALSE							
62811099			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811100	H		FALSE		Davis	Yolo	TRUE	speaking as Yolo Co resident worked long to bring county together cohesion is key more than whether with west or east interests align more with West but still must not have 9 districts we get left out in redistricting but our numbers are less than	cont one whole AD SD CD
62811101	H		FALSE			Sonoma	TRUE	Sonoma COIs 1Coast 2GrapesWine Industry how keep together Del Norte Humboldt Mendo Lake and Napa and part 250K of Sonoma get from North Southern Sonoma with Marin for another district	
62811104	H		TRUE	Yolo County Planning Commission		Yolo	TRUE	keep Yolo County whole in the dirt ag community and small growth cities support that Galt and Lodi in same situation	
62811107	H		TRUE	Davis School Board	Davis	Yolo	TRUE	keep Yolo whole because health social services provided through County elder services children services through county example all HIV funding to county cut off Q What about West Sac A they access county services too	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811100			FALSE	TRUE		
62811101			FALSE	TRUE		
62811104			FALSE	TRUE		
62811107			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811100			FALSE							
62811101			FALSE							
62811104			FALSE							
62811107			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
62811108	H		FALSE		Tahoe Park City of Sacramento	Sacramento	TRUE	AD SD drafts split communities and splits Latinos this area has strong ties to Sac not to El Dorado area	
62811109	H S		FALSE				TRUE	AD on westside suggests how to group like areas together in west CD plan that corrects county city splits in CRC draft	
62811111	H		FALSE				FALSE		
62811112	H		FALSE				TRUE	politics maps coastal districts	
62811114	H		FALSE		Phelan	San Bernardino	TRUE	took our suggestions from Lancaster except our community took off from where want to be our COI is with Victor Valley Victorville Apple Valley Hesperia not with PalmdaleLancaster lists common share resources in Victor Valley not with LA Co AntelV	
62811118	H		FALSE		Sacramento	Sacramento	TRUE	do not carve up Sacramento	
62811121	H		FALSE			Monterey	TRUE	VRA important for Monterey Latinos Ag does not have maps just dropped in	
62811122	H S		FALSE		Vacaville	Solano	TRUE	concern with Latino voters in Solano Co kept together in next ten yrs sent email on may 23 wants Dixon with Vacaville	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
62811108			TRUE	TRUE		
62811109			FALSE	TRUE		
62811111			FALSE	FALSE		
62811112			FALSE	TRUE		
62811114			FALSE	TRUE		
62811118			FALSE	TRUE		
62811121			FALSE	TRUE		
62811122			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
62811108			FALSE							
62811109			FALSE					TRUE		
62811111			FALSE							
62811112			FALSE							
62811114			FALSE							
62811118			FALSE							
62811121			FALSE							
62811122			FALSE					TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
409110xx	H		FALSE		been in tehama 44yrs		FALSE		
409110xx	H		FALSE				FALSE		
409110xx	H		FALSE				FALSE		
409110xx	H		FALSE				FALSE		
409110xx	H		FALSE				FALSE		
409110xx	H		FALSE				FALSE		
409110xx	H		FALSE				FALSE		
409110xx	H	native american - school teacher	FALSE			shasta	TRUE		
409110xx	H		FALSE		Redding area (30 yes)	shasta	TRUE	del norte, humboldt, mendo grouped, not with eastern counties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
409110xx			FALSE	TRUE	44 yrs ago were Democratic county; demog have changed and will change; can't say we are conservative or liberal	
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	TRUE	from coast and our backyard/recreation area is east	count Native Americans-so could add numbers
409110xx	redding has racheria, spread out all over: hoopa, burney, covola (mendo co)		FALSE	TRUE	native american - don't lump in with all brown	
409110xx			FALSE	TRUE	people don't go mountain to coast-bad roads, foggy,rugged,rather go to SoCA for coast; very little in co	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
409110xx	keep counties together cause political bodies - but other wise hard job for you.		FALSE			will try to respect each other whatever difference and diversity where we live				
409110xx			FALSE				great staff - flyers, advertising, signs			
409110xx			FALSE			current CD - Southern part of dist doesn't represent rural Nor Co - but has more population				
409110xx			FALSE				politicians can fix roads-you guys made it there			
409110xx			FALSE			overlap CD,AD,SD - correlary that dist radiate out from there				
409110xx			FALSE			CRC should go to Coast - they do have different interests				
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE			what is def of minority? Native Am are very different	here first, of the earth,			
409110xx	mountain area little in common with coastal		FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep coast & inland together in Dist - even tho roads bad - hard only on representative	
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	keep rural together	
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	not trying to make easier for Politicians	
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	hard to get to DN no matter what	
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	it matters if polit wants to go to see people in district	
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	if cross-state with district travel harder than if up 101 corridor	
409110xx	H		FALSE				TRUE	why is modoc with placer? Southern part of CD has more voters than northern. If run from Modoc won't get votes	
41011001 - return	H	return of #1	FALSE				FALSE		
41011008 - return	H	return of # 8	FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
409110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
41011001 - return			FALSE	TRUE	how different from metropolitan - drive 20 mile to take kids to school, don't cry when electricity off, etc	
41011008 - return		80 corridor going east, move to nevada city on 49 corridor	FALSE	TRUE	foothill dwellers: above fog and below snow; if Grass Valley was connected to us they would be here...can't say that we want to be with them!	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE							
409110xx			FALSE							
41011001 - return			FALSE			do you know what its like to live in rural counties		FALSE		
41011008 - return			FALSE				asian community not outgoing (like us) but if you go to them they speak clearly, have strong family culture which he likes	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41011008 - return	H	return of # 8	FALSE				FALSE		
41011008 - return	H	return of # 8	FALSE				TRUE	yuba county foothills very different from grass valley/nevada city foothills	
41011014 - return	H		FALSE		brownsville returns		TRUE	lives pretty far up in Yuba county and is tied to Yuba-sutter - bec of HS district that goes up to plumas county - bus kids down and sports play Yuba City	
41011021 - return	H	return of #21	FALSE		yuba city?	sutter co?	FALSE		
41011021 - return	H	return re '99 corridor'	FALSE				TRUE	add to 3 county glenn and colusa get close to assembly district and these 5 are like counties - rural	yuba, sutter, butte, colusa, glenn
410110xx	H	return	FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41011008 - return			FALSE	FALSE		
41011008 - return			FALSE	TRUE	Yuba foothills pop is older average than marysville/yuba city - connection with nevada county has not surfaced with any social club	
41011014 - return			FALSE	FALSE		
41011021 - return			FALSE	TRUE	shiek festival - well over 100K people - some in Yuba too, mostly agricultural	
41011021 - return		99 corridor - tri-county	FALSE	FALSE		
410110xx			FALSE	TRUE	yuba shares clubs, health facilities, etc cause Yuba can do it on own	farming in sutter is dominant, some in Yuba Co but different type

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41011008 - return			FALSE				reduce level of language of outreach materials - they just had general plan process and people are exhausted - don't feel bad about turn out	FALSE		
41011008 - return			FALSE					FALSE		
41011014 - return			FALSE					FALSE		
41011021 - return			FALSE				shieks speak Eng fluently	FALSE		
41011021 - return			FALSE					FALSE		
410110xx	see agriculture in five counties and see where ___ are?		FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
410110xx	H	return	FALSE				FALSE		
410110xx	H	return	FALSE				FALSE		
410110xx	H	return	FALSE		weetville?		TRUE	N/S connection is dominant...I-5 corridor strong connection!!	don't link to nevada county
410110xx	H	returnee	FALSE				TRUE	response to west-east, n/s issue -harderwith adding DN	yuba & sutter separate AD but same SD

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
410110xx	olivehurst - very much Yuba county		FALSE	TRUE	have hispanic chamber of commerce as well as general Chamber of commerce	
410110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
410110xx			FALSE	FALSE		
410110xx			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
410110xx			TRUE	Yuba	hmong very much part of community, very respectful, blend in well cause of it being an agricultural area; hispanic community - migrant workers, hmong population - large pop at school - hmong are farmers	Ontai: why not here? They don't speak english - as school teacher she needed to translate		FALSE		
410110xx			FALSE			why Hisp and Hmong not here - not active & lang barrier		FALSE		
410110xx			FALSE					FALSE		
410110xx			FALSE					FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
41511031 - last # issued	H - with ppt re mathematics of compactness		FALSE				FALSE		
41511032 - but had no #	H		FALSE		hanford	kings	FALSE	not a lot of people in East Kings Co. - high mountains (in answer to Comm DeGuillo re east Kings co)	
41511033 - no #	H	retired Sherriff of Kings Co	FALSE				FALSE		Kern dominates Kings in terms of representation; Central valley issues not always heard across state bec dominated by big metro areas- LA, Bay Area
41511034 - no #	H	we are farm, dairy people, nothing to do with other side of mts to west or each - bread basket of world	FALSE				FALSE		
42911018 - took turn at end	H - had passed but took turn at end		TRUE	mayor of Rosemead	Rosemead		TRUE	include Rosemead with other cities in SG Valley that represent his community (Asian); deliver a map that represents his community	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
41511031 - last # issued			FALSE	FALSE		
41511032 - but had no #			FALSE	FALSE		
41511033 - no #			FALSE	FALSE	3 prisons in Kings Co. - 2 in Corcoran, 1 in Avenal? Coalinga has 2-3 prison, 50 miles from here in Fresno; prisons affect other things in county - get priority in health care system	state jobs for communities, but also have people move in to work there; jobs helpful because depressed community.
41511034 - no #			FALSE	FALSE		
42911018 - took turn at end	Monterey park, Alhambra, San Gabriel, Arcadia, Temple City - along I-10		FALSE	TRUE	majority asian pop	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
41511031 - last # issued			FALSE				use mathematics to draw maps- espec for criterion of compactness (not using circles)	TRUE	diagrams - proposal	
41511032 - but had no #			FALSE							
41511033 - no #			FALSE							
41511034 - no #			FALSE				support commission - long said to get rid of gerrymandering			
42911018 - took turn at end			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
42911019 - took turn at end	H - had passed but took turn at end	former Senate staffer - drive over 2 hrs in district	FALSE				TRUE	never again draw district like SD 29?, several proposals: a)Arcadia is Asian, belongs with Monterey Park etc, b)foothills c)	
42911036 - took turn at end	H - had passed but took turn at end	council member Rosemead	FALSE		Rosemead		TRUE	several cities should be together (about 6); need to have own voice	
42911064A	H	city council member Montclair	FALSE		Montclair		TRUE	Montclair residents go west not east; add three cities (see below)	
50611066 & 50611067	S - passed but left handout	former Ass candidate, planning commissioner for Garden Grove	TRUE	city of garden grove, vietnamese community			TRUE	do not split up Little Saigon (G Grove, Westm, and parts of Santa Ana)	
51211022 - return	H - came back		FALSE		imperial valley - calexico		FALSE		
51211042 - one who mentioned prisons came back to answer Commissione r Q re prisons			FALSE				FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
42911019 - took turn at end			FALSE	FALSE		
42911036 - took turn at end	Arcadia,, Temple City, San Gabriel, Rosemeand, Alhambra, South Pasadena		FALSE	TRUE	cities look the same, hard to tell difference; Q: what are common concerns? A: Asian community...	
42911064A	Montclair,ponoma, claremont, upland		FALSE	TRUE	spheres of influence	if added with economically disadvantaged cities, economic interests will be lost
50611066 & 50611067			FALSE	TRUE	Little Saigon is thriving economic zone that spans three cities and froms a continous neighborhood, community has balance, harmony, continuity, unity; worked hard over decades to build	
51211022 - return			FALSE	TRUE	geothermal, solar potential; need assisted living in imperial	
51211042 - one who mentioned prisons came back to answer Commissione r Q re prisons			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
42911019 - took turn at end			FALSE							
42911036 - took turn at end			FALSE							
42911064A			FALSE							
50611066 & 50611067	if split would disrupt the harmony		FALSE					TRUE	testimony described in this record	
51211022 - return			FALSE							
51211042 - one who mentioned prisons came back to answer Commission r Q re prisons			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51311042 - return	H - return to answer question re oceanside		FALSE				FALSE		
51311069 - but no # issued	H - wasn't planning to speak but disturbed by things being said		FALSE				TRUE	reflection on tonight: rich communities want to be together but same people don't like Latinos wanting to be together! Need Latino representation - a voice	
51411055 & 51411056	H - another speaker read letters from #55 & #56 who had left		FALSE		sherman community		TRUE	55: maintain Latino communities; 56: support Latino Redistricting Committee	
51411063 - returned	H	worked at educational cultural center until 1986	FALSE				TRUE	bring Lemon Grove, Spring Valley, and area where the ed cultural center is together	
51411071 - last # issued	H		TRUE	latino redistricting committee			FALSE		
51411072 - but no # issued	H	worked at college?	FALSE				TRUE	keep API communities together (he describes where those are)	
51911005 returns	H		FALSE				TRUE	pop increase in northern districts, less pop in Bay Area - will be taking pop from areas like Amador Co; combine SD to North, consider divide placer county north/south (west of newcastle) vs east/west	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51311042 - return			FALSE	FALSE		
51311069 - but no # issued			FALSE	FALSE		
51411055 & 51411056			FALSE	FALSE		
51411063 - returned			FALSE	TRUE	African-American communitis must be kept together	
51411071 - last # issued			FALSE	FALSE		
51411072 - but no # issued			FALSE	TRUE	API communities must be together so that they will have representation and be engaged/involved	
51911005 returns			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51311042 - return			FALSE							
51311069 - but no # issued			FALSE							
51411055 & 51411056			FALSE							
51411063 - returned			FALSE							
51411071 - last # issued			FALSE			respect our wishes				
51411072 - but no # issued			FALSE							
51911005 returns			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911018 returns	H		FALSE		Truckee	Nevada	TRUE	move truckee south into AD 4; for SD Nevada Co with lake tahoe basin (El Dorado and Placer) - Q: go south? A: no go North	
51911030 returns	H		FALSE			El Dorado	TRUE	keep AD4 = Placer and El Dorado (notes difference in supervisors testimony); Q: go south for SD? A: yes	
51911034 return	H		FALSE			Nevada Co	TRUE	west county is agricultural and share interests within current AD; consider moving eastern Nevada County to AD 4 - interests (lake, transportation, all special districts) mean a lot 2 us and need cohesive level of representation to fight for these interests	
51911051 - last # given	H	political and environ activist in Yolo for years	FALSE				TRUE	link along water sheds that go into the delta	
51911052- assigned on the spot	H		TRUE	colfax, mayor; Sierra Economic Development Corporation	colfax	Placer	TRUE	likes how is now (2 Ass member, and 2 Senators); against drawing lines straight across the state; understand similarities with each other and how support each other	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911018 returns			FALSE	FALSE		
51911030 returns			FALSE	FALSE		
51911034 return			FALSE	FALSE		
51911051 - last # given			FALSE	TRUE	share water rights; air quality, farming	
51911052- assigned on the spot			FALSE	FALSE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911018 returns			FALSE							
51911030 returns			FALSE							
51911034 return			FALSE							
51911051 - last # given	need voice to protect those rights		FALSE							
51911052- assigned on the spot			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
51911052 - returns	H		TRUE	Sac Div League of CA Cities		Placer	TRUE	go west & north (Butte, Sierra, Yuba - agr smaller communities), and not south to metro Sac/Davis; metro Sac almost to metro SF stay same, rural above going north and west	
616110w2	H begin 'white' numbers		FALSE		West Valley	Los Angeles	TRUE	Reseda needs to be unified w West Valley Reseda has nothing in common w LA	
616110w4	H		FALSE		Westchester	Los Angeles	TRUE	likes draft district	
616110w5	H		FALSE				TRUE	Inglewood Lawndale Gardena Westchester Hawthorne are a religious COI and need a common rep to solve the problems of the contiguous community	
616110w6	H		FALSE		Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	CD for AfricanAmericans is diluted do not put Inglewood w South Gate preserve current district	
616110w8	H		FALSE				TRUE	put Reseda Northridge are part of SF Valley so put back in SFV district	
61611g12	H begin 'green' numbers		FALSE		Hawthorne	Los Angeles	TRUE	just found out will get many more comments want something different from previous speakers for Hawthorne want to be w beach city communities where have community commitment ties	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
51911052 - returns			FALSE	FALSE		
616110w2			FALSE	TRUE		
616110w4			FALSE	TRUE		
616110w5			FALSE	TRUE		
616110w6			FALSE	TRUE		
616110w8			FALSE	TRUE		
61611g12			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
51911052 - returns			FALSE							
616110w2			FALSE					FALSE		
616110w4			FALSE							
616110w5			FALSE							
616110w6			FALSE							
616110w8			FALSE							
61611g12			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611g13	H S		FALSE		Compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	from hardcopy use AARC map for Compton Carson LB Signal Hill COI based family friends shopping Alameda Corridor across city similar economics race culture size activities education different from West Athens Torrance joined w in HTGCC	
61611g18	S		FALSE				TRUE	draft divides Chinatown into 3 AD which will ensure will not have fair representation put Chinatown in 1 AD boundaries of LA City Historic Cultural Neighborhood Council best capture Chinatown High percentage linguistically isolated and monoling Chinese	Cont so Chinatown is central core of social support
61611g23	H		FALSE				TRUE	CD w harbor want Port of LA w San Pedro in same CD describes 3 census tracts in Port HQ for harbor in SP dont want different Congressman than Port itself when need federal funding move 8002000 people 16000 that work at harbor live in SP	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611g13	said at hearing Compton Carson LB Signal Hill together		FALSE	TRUE		
61611g18			FALSE	TRUE		
61611g23			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611g13			FALSE					TRUE	testimony completed form outside group include draw map	061611BA
61611g18			FALSE					TRUE	testimony in form from outside org	061611BN
61611g23			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611g25	H		FALSE				TRUE	North Coast draft SD is improvement over current draft CD is improvement cause current extends to far to south east disagrees w incumbent because new CD makes sense concern w splitting SAsian pop in Fremont is this bec VRA in Monterey Merced	
61611g35	H		FALSE		lived in LA Riverside Reseda Westchester	Los Angeles	TRUE	Reseda is part of West Valley Westchester is part of Beach Cities can carve LAX out to Inglewood Westchester out to west side wants more competitive districts to not get ignored	
61611w13	H		FALSE		Pico Union area	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft map does not represent Latino or reflect growth does not comply with VRA for AD reccom see strtsSD is good CD not bec connects Latinos communs with Beverly Hills	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611g25			FALSE	TRUE		
61611g35			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w13	COI is Rampart Village Westlake Koreatown Pico Union University Park South LA Rancho Salvador corridor etc	propose for AD on N Sepulveda to Wilton Place along 101 fwy on S Arlington to Florence Ave on W Wilton Place to Arlington Blvd and on E 210 to city of Huntington Park	FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611g25			FALSE							
61611g35			FALSE							
61611w13			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w16	H		FALSE		Woodland Hills West SFV	Los Angeles	TRUE	concern re SD LASCV all over to beach canyon country desert etc likes Mulholland boundary on S does not want to be w Brentwood BelAir arm that shoots out is Latino but divides us up pls respect W Val real thing from 405 west Simi Val ok	
61611w18	H		FALSE		Inglewood 30 hrs	Los Angeles	TRUE	always been part of South Bay until saw draft map will resubmit what things should be done does not know anything about area to East of 110 or even closer	
61611w19	H	mixed ethnicity drawing district based on ethnicity is insult to him	FALSE				TRUE	Reseda Lake Balboa need to be in West SFV district rather than w areas on east of 405	
61611w20	H		TRUE	City of Inglewood City Council member	Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	Inglewood Westchester Playa del Ray all affect by LAX unfair to link Inglewood w area to east	
61611w22	H		TRUE	Concerned Citizens of Compton	Compton	Los Angeles	TRUE	LB Carson Compton in same CD COI based on transportation railway	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w16			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w18			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w19			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w20			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w22			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w16			FALSE							
61611w18			FALSE							
61611w19			FALSE							
61611w20			FALSE							
61611w22			FALSE							

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w23	H		FALSE				TRUE	Same economic challenges for LB Carson Compton Signal Hill Watts Willowbrook	
61611w25	H S		TRUE	Coalition of Suburban Communities for Fair Representation			TRUE	AD SCV WSFV is near perfect salute you move Aqua Dolce from Ant Val seat into it oppose Santa Clarita ValleyMalibu Senate Seat instead support nesting S Clarita Val W SFV AD w east Ventura Co AD like CD historically E Vent w SCV in SD	
61611w27	S		TRUE	Canterbury Knolls Block Club in S LA	Canterbury Knolls Los Angeles	Los Angeles	TRUE	draft AD Vermont SqFlorence AD Firestone CD IngleSouthgate diminish our communities political economic educational voice worked hard to establish	
61611w28	H S	school psychologist LAUSD	FALSE		Topanga	Los Angeles	TRUE	opposed to putting us w Santa Clarita Valley instead keep school districtbased communities where parents active for special needs children together SD ignores school community especially by going into SCV which has different concerns	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w23			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w25			FALSE	TRUE	E Vent SCV tied together thru SG mt S Clarita Woodlands Wildlife corridor	E Vent SCV 118 corridor serves job centers share biotech aerospace defense film industries
61611w27			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w28			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w23			FALSE							
61611w25			FALSE					TRUE	testimony re support oppose map	061611A M
61611w27			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611AN
61611w28			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611A O

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w32	H		FALSE		Long Beach	Los Angeles	TRUE	LB Carson Compton area setback in recent gains in education w 2 CD s w 9 different school districts that is too many for 2 congress members do not let children suffer	handwritten on hardcopy wanted and hoped to get based on overwhelming supportCompton Carson LB and Port of LA
61611w33	H	part of minority group psychologically pained shes bipolar paranoid schizophrenic	FALSE				FALSE		
61611w34	S		FALSE				TRUE	came up to say would like to submit comments in writing Ms Slaughter	
61611w37	S		FALSE				TRUE	does not like CD 36 for members of union alienates members and citizens in Port of LA	
61611w38	H S		FALSE		Lawndale	Los Angeles	TRUE	consider South Bay region see cities column taken from hardcopy as a COI keep region intact especially Redondo Beach w Lawndale	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w32			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w33			FALSE	FALSE		
61611w34			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w37			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w38	from hardcopy Beach Cities Manhattan Beach Hermosa Beach Redondo Beach El Segundo the PV Peninsula Inglewood Hawthorne Gardena Carson Lawndale Harbor City Torrance Lomita and San Pedro		FALSE	TRUE		South Bay widely regarded as economic COI given presence of aerospace industry formation of South Bay Association of Chambers of Commerce SBACC

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w32			FALSE					TRUE	testimony typed and handwritten	061611AP
61611w33			FALSE			include component for psychologically pained and how will suffer from being isolated		FALSE		
61611w34			FALSE					TRUE	testimony Ms Slaughter	061611A Q
61611w37			FALSE					TRUE	Letter from International Longshore and Warehouse Union	061611AR
61611w38			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611AS

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w39	H	Persian community	FALSE		Reseda	Los Angeles	TRUE	Reseda into 2 CD in draft want Reseda whole please put all of Reseda in West Valley district Valley Glen Van Nuys in East Valley district	
61611w42	H		FALSE		La Crescenta	Los Angeles	TRUE	La CanadaFlintridge Foothill Blvd and 2 fwy go through share 91214 zip code also connected to BurbankGlendale area keep together	
61611w43	H S		FALSE		Wilmington LA Harbor area	Los Angeles	TRUE	LA Harbor Area Wilmington San Pedro Harbor City lower Harbor Gateway keep them whole and together and w communities of common interest	
61611w44	S		TRUE	ThaiAmerican Chamber of Commerce of California SecretaryGeneral			TRUE	from written submission for ThaiAmerican community SD is good but split in AD make small change on Hollywood Blvd and all of Thai Town can be in one AD	
61611w51	H S		FALSE		Reseda	Los Angeles	TRUE	know as hub of West SFV draft split Reseda down middle please correct and keep it together	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w39			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w42			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w43			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w44			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w51			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w39			FALSE							
61611w42			FALSE							
61611w43			FALSE					TRUE	proposed changes to AD SD CD around Palos Verdes Beach Cities	061611AT
61611w44			FALSE					TRUE	letter and Thai Town Information Guide	061611AU 061611AJ
61611w51			FALSE					TRUE	1989 news article about Reseda as pursuing lost popularity hoping to be hub of West Valley	061611AX

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w58	H		FALSE		Topanga	Los Angeles	TRUE	finished reading school psychologist testimonyspeaker w28 objects to same district Topanga should not be considered part of SFV	
61611w59	H		TRUE	HS district Board member	Santa Clarita	Los Angeles	TRUE	like AD draft for SD draft take New Hall school district away from Santa Clarita maybe because of ethnicity but need community over ethnicity	
61611w66	H		TRUE	City Council	Gardena	Los Angeles	TRUE	April 28 ltr CD 35 AD 51 dont change if split us up from rest of area Inglewood Hawthorne Lawndale then split up council of govt water district environment services center workforce center sanitation district etc	
61611w79	S		FALSE		South LA Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	give LAX Westchester Marina Del Rey back to Inglewood CD IGWSG	
61611w81	H		FALSE		Del Rey City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles	TRUE	want to stay whole whole in CD now split along Centinela our main st for AD and SD shouldnt be	
61611w82	S		FALSE		Lives in LA works in Inglewood	Los Angeles	TRUE	put LAX back in AD CD with Inglewood have formed coalitions to work on airport related issues	

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w58			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w59			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w66			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w79			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w81		south of WashingtonButte Blvd east of Marina Del Rey north of Jefferson west of culver city	FALSE	TRUE		
61611w82			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w58			FALSE							
61611w59			FALSE							
61611w66			FALSE							
61611w79			FALSE					TRUE	testimony in form from outside org	061611CB
61611w81			FALSE							
61611w82			FALSE					TRUE	testimony completed form from outside org	061611AY

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Spoke at Hearing (H) and/or Provided Supplemental Hardcopy Materials (S)	Speaker Background	Organizational Affiliation?	Description of Organizational Affiliation	City of Residence	County of Residence	Geographic Comment?	Summary of Geographic Comment	Geographic Comment: Counties
61611w88	S		FALSE				TRUE	Describes MidCity Jewish community states that draft maps dilute this COI by adding in neighborhoods not connected like Venice Marina Del Rey Westchester Santa Monica	
61611w89	H S	office at UCLA spoke at April 28 mtg re compactness scores	FALSE				FALSE	see non COI comment	
61611w95	S		TRUE	Hatzolah Emergency Medical Rescue			TRUE	Los Angeles Orthodox community in Los Angeles Basin very interdependent and lives that resolve around area only please keep in one ADone SD one CD	
61611w96	H S		TRUE	Del Rey Homeowners Neighbors Association president	Del Rey City of Los Angeles	Los Angeles	TRUE	Del Rey is COI been split in AD CD and want to stay whole draft CD is good keeps DR intact incld MDR and LAX please pattern AD SD after that traffic goes through us so imp that kept together whichever way go with Santa Monica or Westchester	glad dropped from 3 to 2 in AD SD but want to be one of each which ever way go Q preference A more in common w Westchester than Santa Monica

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	Geographic Comment: Cities	Geographic Comment: Streets/Rivers/Other Dividers	Neighborhood Comment?	Community of Interest?	COI: Social Interest(s)	COI: Economic Interest(s)
61611w88	Beverly Hills Westwood Beverly Fairfax Pico Robertson Hancock Park Beverlywood Bel Air		TRUE	TRUE		
61611w89			FALSE	FALSE		
61611w95			FALSE	TRUE		
61611w96			FALSE	TRUE		

Public Input Hearing Spreadsheet

Speaker #	COI: Why keep together	VRA Sec. 2 Comment	VRA Sec. 5 Comment?	Sec. 5 County	Summary of Sec. 5 Comment	Non-COI-based Comment	Comment on Commission Process	Speaker Submitted Materials?	Description of Submitted Materials	Exhibit #
61611w88			FALSE					TRUE	testimony	061611BB
61611w89			FALSE			wants densityvariationcompactness scores so citizens can better judge plans did them wout trying did well wants these to be published for public access		TRUE	ppt re densityvariationcompactness DVC scores	061611BC
61611w95			FALSE					TRUE	letter from Hatzolah Emergency Medical Rescue re Orthodox community incl map of where live	061611BD
61611w96			FALSE					TRUE	letter from Del Rey Homeowners and Neighbors Assoc maps of boundaries of Del Rey	061611BE